MEDIÆVAL INDÍA

UNDER MUSLIM KINGS

VOLUME II

THE RISE AND FALL OF

THE GHAZNAWIDS

 \mathbf{B}

S. M. JAFFAR

Author of 'Education in Muslim India,''
'Some Cultural Aspects of Muslim
Rule in India, "The Mughal
Empire from Babar to
Aurangzeh,'

ele

LIEST LDITION 1940

PESHAWAR CITY (INDIA)

· MUHAMMAD SADIQ KHAN

Published by S. Muhammad Sadiq Khan, Khudadad Street, Peshawar City

Copyright
All rights of reproduction and translation reserved by the author

DEDICATED

WITH PROFOUND LOVE

AND RESPECT

то

SIR SHAFAAT AHMAD KHAN, Kt.,

MA, LITT D, FR HIS SOC, ETC,
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HISTORY,
UNIVERSITY OF ALLAHABAD, A VETERAN
HISTORIAN WHOSE ACTIVE INTEREST IN
THE CAUSE OF INDIAN HISTORY HAS
LED TO THE RISE AND GROWTH OF THE
MODERN SCHOOL OF INDIAN HISTORY

AND THE

INDIAN HISTORY

CONGRESS



1.11(4)

M PH 1 V 1 V 7 10 5

IP NIII SA

CHAPTER I

THE LAKEY GHAZAMIDS

FROM MIPTIGIN TO SUBURBIGIN

I the Look — Alpha it — Itall or in and I mind in — Subaktion Complex of I is and Quidat — kit of Afghais — Indo Afghai Alliance— kee antion of Afghai Independence—Japad it was on of Gratina id defer. His breach of faith and junishment — Improve of Subalticina core — keliac owith the Sanundo of id—Characterad estimate of Subaltigin—ke roject — 1, 1—2

CHAPTEK II

SULTAN MAHMUD THE GROAT-E

CONOULSIN IN CENTRAL ASIA

Introductor I also life of Mahmod — Stackle for Stack ston — Relation with Sancial — Dealing with First of Turk in Compact of Grantina Kie of Salarge — Conque t of Stan — Vampion of the Conque t of Churcheduction of Quadar Conque t of the valley of Normad Quada Typedition in an Meliar — Kelitic with Zivial and the rule of Meliar — Conque t of Kriv, Humadin and I film — 19-24-48

THE GHAZNAN IDS

CHAPTER III SULTAN MAHMUD THE GREAT -II INVASIONS OF INDIA

Introductors — (1) Towns of Khyber Pass — (2) Pesh iwar — (3) Bhatiya — (4) Multan — (5) Bhatiya— (6) Punjah-Nagarkot — (4) Nariyan or Narayanpur — (8) Multan— (9) Thanesar— (10) Nandan—(11) Kashmir—(2) Larai—(13) Kanauj and Kalinjar—(14) Punjah—(15) Gwalior and Kalinjar—(16) Somnath—(17) The islands of the Indus

CHAPTER IV

SELTAN MAHMED THE GREAT THE MOTIVES & RESULTS OF INDIAN INVASIONS

Introductory - Motiver Political factor — Lean mile factor -Religious force — Were Indian Invision — July 1 Protaction of Islam — Latingation of Idulative Conque of territorial annivations — The reporting motive Lead and event of Indian Invarious — 19 = 1.0

CHAPTEP A

SULLY MAIMED THE GLEAT IN

CHAPTER VI

SULTAN MAHMUD THE GREAT - A

Introductors — Legerd of Firdusi (1) Information contradictors — (2) Sources secondary and devoid of authority — (3) Was Firdaust appointed by Mahmud to write the State and 2 — (4) Apocraphal authoriship of the State in a time. The Satire—Clarge of bastardy—Mahmud and Ave — The Idol of Someth — Story of ty o Dulish lims — pp. 129 100

CHAPTER VII

SULTAN MAHMUD THE GREAT - AT CLOSING DAYS CHARACTER AND ESTIMATE

Introductors — His illness and death — Review of ricies and expression of sorrow — Deginning of the end — Maliniads character — His personal appearance — His virtues — His religious beliefs and piets — As a soldier and a general — As a kink — Maliniads seaknesses — His estimate — pp. 10118—

CHAPTER AIII

SULTAN MASUD I

Introduc ora — The war of succession — Release and reinstatement of Maimandi — Execution of Hasanak — Appointment of new Wazir — Conquest of Mekrin and Kirm in—Rebellions of Ala ud Daulah and Majd ud-Daulah — Recovery and loss of Khwarizm — Jurjan and Tabaris tan — Condition of India — Tilak s rise — Capture of Haisi and Songat — Campaign against Saljūqs — Hight to India — The Wizirat department under Mas ūd — Patronage of learning and promotion of education — Character and estimate of Mas ūd — pp. 182-21.

CHAPTER IX

SULTAN MAUDUD & HIS SUCCESSORS

Maudūd — Appointment of Wazīrs and Governor of the Punjāb — Recovery of Transoniana — Condition of India — Campaign against the Saljūqs — Abū Ja'far Ma'sūd II — Abul Hasan 'Alī — 'Abdur Rashīd — Tughral 'the Ingrate' — Farrukhzād — Ibrāhīm Mas'ūd III — Sher zād and Arslīn Shāh — Bahrām Shāh — Rebellion of Indian Governor — War with Ghūrid Maliks — Progress of learning and education — Khusrau Shāh — Khusrau Malik

CHAPTER X

THE GHAZNAWID GOVERNMENT

Introductory — The nature and functions of government —
Toleration — Absence of the Law of Succession — The
Central Government — Dīwān 1-W1zārat — Dīwān 1 'Arz
—The Army—Gradation of army officers—Dīwān 1-R1sālat
— Dīwān-1 Shughl-1-Ishrāf 1-Mamlukat—Dīwān 1 W1kālat
— Mazālim — Dīwān 1 Muhtasib — Dīwān 1 Mumlikat 1
Khās—Sālub 1-Barīd—Khāzan—Provincial Government—
Administration of cities — Government Service — How
India was governed — Revenue System — Taxes and
other sources of revenue — Famine Relief — Cultural
Progress — pp 237-64

ABBREVIATIONS

(These abbreviations are used only in respect of works which have been frequently cited in this volume. Works rarely referred to are excluded from this list, for their names and those of their authors are given in full in the foot-notes at their proper places)

eroot hotes at t	nen proper passes,
A A	Al-Mukhtasar fi Akhbār-ıl-Bashar (Cairo edition), by Abul Fida
A G I	Ancient Geography of India, by A Cunningham
A S I	Archæological Survey of India (Annual Reports)
BIS	Bibliotheca Indica Series of R A S B
ВМ	British Museum
CHI	Cambridge History of India, The, Volume III, edited by Sir W. Haig
C G K	Coins of Ghazm Kings, by E. Thomas
C M	Chahār Magāla, by Nizāmī-al-'Arūzī al Samarqandī, edited by Maulyī Ghulām Muhammad Abūharī
$C \circ C$	Collection of Oriental Coins in B M
E B	Encyclopædia Britannica, The
En of Is	Encyclopædia of Islām, edited by T Houtsma and others
E & D	Elhot and Dowson's History of India as told by its own historians
E M I	Education in Muslim India, by S M Jaffar
G D A M I	Geographical Dictionary of Ancient and Mediæval India, by N L Dey
GI	Gates of India, The, by Sir T Holdich
GMS	Gibb Memorial Series
H I	History of India, The, by M Elphinstone

turc, by I Fergusson

Is d an Antiquary, The

History of Indian and Eastern Architec-

Mahn üd ad Firdausi, by Ouzi 'Abdus

Malmud of Glazna The Lite and Times

١

I A

 1 F

M G

HIEA

I G I	Imperial Gazetteer of India, The
I K.	Ibn Khaldün's Kıtāb ul- Ioār translated into Urdū by Maulyī Hakim Ahmad Hussain
J.H	Jawāmi-ul-Hıkāyā+, by Awfī
J.R A S	Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland
JASB	Journal of the (Royal) Asiatic Society of Bengal
J T	Jām:-ut-Twārikh, by Rashīd ud-Dīn
K T	al-Kāmil fit-Twārikl, by Allāmā Ibn-ul Asir, edited by Tornberg
L E C	Lands of the Eastern Caliphate, The, by G Le Srange
LHP	Literary History of Persia, The, by L G Browne

of Sultan, by M. Narim Mediaval India, The History of, by Ish 11 1 wari Prasad Tic Militar of Suid and its Tribitaries. Walren by H G Raverty in J A S B Vurug-uz-Zalāb, by Abul Hasan 'Alī bir W Z

Samad

Hussam al Mas udi translated into I ng lish by A Sprenger Ne val Kishor Press (Lucknow) N = K = POHIOxford History of India, The by V Smith

PPVIT Polit es in Pre Mughal Tines, by Ishwara Tora. Rauzat us Safā, by Mīr Khwand (NKP) RS

ABBREV IATIONS

S A	Shir-ul- Ajam, by Allama Shibli No'mani
Sachau	E C Sachau's translation of Alberuni's Tahqiq ma lil Hind under the title 'Alberuni's India'
SAMA	Some Aspects of Muslim Administration by R P Tripathi
SCANRI	Some Cultural Aspects of Muslim Rule in India, by S. M. Jaffar
SIMH	Studies in Indo Muslim History, by S H Hodivala
S M G S N	Sultān Mahmūd of Ghaznīn, by M Habīb Snāsat-Nāmah, by Nizām-ul Mulk, edited by Schefer
S P L	Studies in Persian Literature, by Hcdi Hasan
T A	Tabqāt-1-Akbarı, by Nızām-ud Dīn Ahmad (N K P)
T B	Tārīkh-1-Bathaqt, by Abul Fazl Bathaqī
T F	Tārīkh-1 Ferishta (Gulshan 1-Ibrāhimi), by Abul Qāsim Ferishta. (N K P)
T G	Tārīkh-1-Guzīdāh, by Hamdullah Mastaufī (G M S)
T H	Tārīkh-1-H1ndūstān, by Shams ul-Ulamā Dr Muhammad Zakāullah Khān
T M	Tārīkh-1 Mas'ūdt, by Abul Fazl Muhammad Hussain al-Baihaqī, edited by Morley
T N	Tabqāt-1-Nās1rī, by Minhāj us-Sirāj Juz jānī. (B I S)
T S	Tazkarat-ush Shu'arā, by Amīr Daulat Shāh Samarqandī
T Y	Tārikh-1 Yamıni (Kıtāb ul-Yamini), by Muhammad bin Muhammad al-Utbi
Z A	Zınā-ul-Akhbār, by 'Abaul Haī bin Az- Zuhāk bin Mahmūd al Gardīzī, edited by M Nāzim

CHAPTER I

THE EARLY GHIZNIWIDS

I KOM ALPTIGIN TO SUBUKTIGIN

The Arabs were the first Mushim conquerors of India, but they did not Introductory carry their conquests far beyond the Inaus Even in Sina their rule did not endure iong and they left no lasting legacy behind except that a large part of the population of that. place became Muslim in faith. After a period of about two centuries that elapsed after the Arab occupation of Sind, India presented a scene of confusion A mere geographical expression or a conglomeration of small states, without a paramount power at the centre, showing no signs of national unity — she was rent up by dynastic jealousies and internecine warfare, so much so that even in the event of a common danger the people would not offer a united front, It was on this India that the avalanche of Turkish, invasions from the North-West broke and eventually changed the course of her history. After the conquest of the country, the Muslim rulers of India set themselves sedulously to the task of establishing law and order and building up on that foundation an empire capable of protecting the people and opening up new avenues for the advance of their intellect. In the train of Muslim warriors and war-lords came Muslim

saints and scholars, poets and philosophers, who permeated the ranks of Indian society and tried to give India that cultural unity without which political solidarity could not be achieved

When the 'Abbasid Khilafat began to decline in power and prestige, the gover-Alptigin nors of the far-flung provinces declared their independence One of them, Ismail by name, Samanid by tribe and Tartar by origin, seized upon Khurasan, Transoviana and Afghanistan in 872 A C and fixed his capital at Bukhara, where he founded the Samanid Dynasty, which remained in the saddle for more than a century His son and successor, Ahmad, had a Turkish slave, named Alptigin, whom he enrolled in his body-guard Manumitted by the next king, Nasr bin* Ahmad, and entrusted with the command of some troops by his successor, Nuh bin Nasr. Alptigin rose from that rank to one of Hanbul-Hujjāb and acquired immense power and influence after his victory over Bakr bin Malik. the commander of the troops of Khurasan, in 956 A C Under the patronage of his youthful master, 'Abdul-Malık, he rose to be the governor of Khurāsān by dint of his ability and industry On the death of 'Abdul Malık when he was consulted about the appointment of his successor. he voted against Mansur, the brother of the deceased king. Mansur having been elected by other Amīrs, the life of Alptigīn was in danger, and

^{*} Bin, an Arabic word, means 'son of '

government of the territories conquered by him The places which next felt the force of Alptigin's arms were Bust and a part of the kingdom of Kabul, which were attacked and occupied. After that he led some expeditions against the neighbouring chiefs, defeated the Afghans of the Khyber Pass and Peshawar valley and established his authority there. But for the fact that he had a very brief reign, he would have considerably extended the area of his kingdom. He passed away in September, 963 A. C. after a successful reign of about eight months. He was a just, wise and vigorous ruler.

Alptigin was succeeded by his son, Abū Is-haq, but not before he had Bilkātigin defeated Abū 'Alī son of Abū and Piri Bakr Lawik, who had driven him out On his death three distinguished generals of the late king occupied the throne of Ghaznīn one after the other The first was Bilkatigin who is said to have been a very pious, brave and Justiceloving man The second was Pīrī (Pirītigīn) who turned out to be 'a great villain' The reign of this ruler is remarkable for the first clash between Muslims and Hindus in the vicinity of Ghaznīn It is narrated that the disaffected people of Ghaznīn, who worked for his overthrow and plotted for his deposition, requested Abū 'Alı Lawik to make common cause with the Shah of Kabul and put an end to the rule of

^{*} See p 5, note, and M G, 24-27 and 175-76

Pirtugin the tyrant The allies marched against Ghaznin from their respective places Subuktigin, the third general of Alptigin and a seasoned soldier, fell upon Abū 'Aiī Lawīk with a body of 500 Turks and inflicted a sharp defeat and heavy losses on him. The Hindūs, who were advancing under the son of their Shāh thing of Kābul- for seizing Ghaznin, were successfully repulsed and routed by Pirtugin. It has been correctly remarked by Sir W. Haig that it was "the first conflict in this region between Hindūs and Muslims the former being the aggressors' * Pirtugīn was deposed on account of his unpopularity and then the third general, Uz, Subuktigīn, was raised to the throne?

^{*} C H I m 11

[†] Some historians have only ignored while others have altogether denied the existence of Bilkātigīn and Pirītigīn (Pīrī) which is proved by documentary as well as numismatic evidence. The regnal dates of these two kings as well as of their predecessor and successor are different in different accounts. This has led to a heated controversy among modern scholars who have advanced various arguments in support of their respective theories. Raverty wrote a fairly long note on the subject and maintained that Alptigin ruled for cigl+ y cars and died in 352 A. H, that his son Is-hāq, who succeeded him, remained in power till 355 A. H, that Bilkātigīn, who succeeded Is-hāq ruled for eight years and died in 362 A. H, and that Pīrī (Pirtugīn) came next and occupied the throne till 367 A. H, when he was defeated and deposed by Subuktigīn (Vidc Raverty's translation of T. A, 71-73). Recently Dr. Muhammad Nāzim took up the question again and, after reshuffling the evidence

Subulting was originally a descendant of Yizd-Jard, the last Sasand king of Persia, who had been defeated and whose kingdom had been included in the commonwealth of Islam during the khilafat of Usman. After the conquest of Persia the members of its royal family do not seem to have seen better days for at least five generations, for we are informed that Subuktigh, who was sixth in descent from Yazd-Jard, was taken from Turkistan to Bukhara and sold to Alpigh by a merch int named. Nast the Hajt "All that we know about his early life is that he was

hitherto available tried to close the controversy for good His conclusions are that Alptigin conquered Ghaznin about Zil hij 351 \ H after a siege of about four months and died after a reign of eight months (not rears, as is erroneously said by many, including Raverty) in the month of Sha ban, 352 \ H, that his son, \lambda hi Is haq, succeeded him, but not before he had suffered a defeat and exile at the hands of \lambda bi \cdot \lambda ii (son of \lambda bi \text{Bakt}) \text{Lavik} and recovered his kingdom from him after inflicting a defeat on him on the 27th of Shawwal, 351 \ A \ H that Is had died on the 25th of \text{Zil qa'd, 355 \ \ \ \ \ H, that \text{Bilk itigin} ruled for eight or nine years (355-364 \ A \ H), and that \text{Piri (Piritigin) remained in power for two or three years (364-366 \ \ \ H) \text{See M G, 24-27 and 175 176}

(364-366 \ H) See M G, 24-27 and 175 176

* See T F Vol 1, p 18, T N (Cal ed 1864), p 6, and I K, II, \(\cdot1\), 256 ff (translator's note) It may be noted here that Subuktigin was not a born slave. When the fortunes of his family had fallen to their lowest ebb, he was sold by one and purchased by another. Many a man of noble birth, including mighty monarchs, has often suffered this fate. Slavery, moreover, is not an inherent weakness. It is something imposed. Finally, a slave is as good a man in Islam as any other follower of it.

born about the year 331 A H (= 942-43 A C, that his father Juq, was the chieftain of a minor principality in Turkisian and was renowned for his unusual physical strength, that at the age of twelve his father's principality was raided by a hostile lashkar and he was carried away by the raiders, and that he was kept as a prisoner for four years and then sold to Nasr the Haji, who took him to Bukhaia and sold him to Alpugia Impressed by the abilities of the promising youth, Alptigin treated him with great kindness and entrusted him with responsible posts, and it must be said to the creat of the latter that he fully justified the confidence reposed in him by the former devotion to the descendants of his master cannot be called in question. He served them with unmixed lovalty and enjoyed their confidence and favours till he was elevated to kingship by the people in accordance it is said with the will of Alptiglin and married to his daughter * Not content with what he had, he united the various clans of Afghanistan into a nation and conquered Lamghan and Sistan with their help and extended his sway right up to Khurasan, and turned his kingdom

^{*}Authorities are not in agreement on this point In view of the fact that Subuktigin was the fourth in the line of succession (the first 3 being Is-hāq, Bilkatigin and Piritigin) it may be said that the will was not made at all, or if at all it was made, it must have remained a dead letter, for it was not acted upon for a long time for some reason or the other

into a well-organized and prosperous state

When SubuktigIn ascended the throne, Bust was occupied by Tughan One of Conquest the Samanid adventurers exof Bust pelled him from his stronghold and occupied it Tughan requested Subuktigin for help and agreed to acknowledge the Amir as his overlord after the recovery of Bust Subuktigin took up his cause and, after inflicting a defeat on his rival, restored him to his lost possession After restoration, however, he became lukewarm in owning allegiance delay in remitting the promised tribute Ghaznīn and the Amīr's insistence on it led to a scuffle, in which the latter sustained an injury at the hands of his vassal. But for the timely intervention of his servants, Tughan's career would have been cut short. He took to flight and sought shelter in Kurmaj, from where he Bust was occupied without never returned encountering opposition and the Samanid Chief's Dabir (secretary) Abul Fatch, who had been living in retirement, was brought before the Amir who treated him with great kindness in recognition of his wide learning and proficiency in arts. The learned recluse, it is said, was offered an important post, which he occupied till the death of the Amir, but later he fell out with Mahmud and went away to Turkistan *

^{*} T F, 1, 19, and T H, 1, 256

Afghāns who migrated to the border-land between India and Afghānistān and colonized in the territory which comprised Kurmaj, Peshāwar and Shnūiān This colonization was viewed with grave concern and resented by Jaipāl, the Hindū Rāja of Waihind, who scented danger from the Afghāns Some skirmishes followed and pitched battles were also fought, but nothing decisive was accomplished by either party ⁵

Sometime later the Raja incurred the displeasure of the Hindū Gakhars who joined hands with their neighbours, the Afghāns, and formed alliances with them against him Cowed down by this combination, Jaipal made peace with the Afghāns and also ceded a number of towns from Lamghān to Afghānistān to them for colonization on the condition that they would protect India against all Muslim invasions from beyond For sometime this policy proved quite effective and the Afghānis defended the Indian frontier well and even checked the Sāmānid advances for Indian booty Thus India enjoyed immunity from external

^{*} It is stated that when the belligerents withdrew to their respective places, the people of Kābul and Khal) replied, when inquired about the fate of Musalmāns and the state of affairs in Kohistān, that Kohistān should henceforth be called Afghānistān (i e habitat of afghān or noise) because afghān (noise) reigned supreme there Thus, according to Ferishta, the people are called Afghāns and the place inhabited by them Afghānistān. (T F, i, i, i)

invasions as a result of the Indo-Afghan alliance But conditions changed abruptly when the Ghaznawids sprang into power and prominence

Alptigin led a number of expeditions against the Afghans and harassed them Recognition so much that they could not of Afghan but seek the aid of the Indian independence Raja agunst him The Raja responded to their request with scant courtesv and treated the frontier problem with indifference After consulting the Raja of Bhatiya,' he disposed it of by handing over to the Afghans the territory inhabited by them so that they might establish their own kingdom which might serve as a buffer state. Thus for the first time did the Afghans have an independent government in their own country. The indifference and aloofness of the Raja, who did not want to frontier iffurs, coupled with the meddle in political pressure that was brought to bear upon the Afghans by the Ghiznawid ruler Shaikh Hamid, the Afghan ruler of the Frontier, made peace with SubuktigIn and agreed to pursue a policy of neutrality in so far as India was

^{*} Various names such as Bhatner, Bhatinda, Bhawalpur Uchch and Bhera have been advanced as possible identifications of Bhatiya, but none except Bhera can stand the 'crucial test of strategical considerations', Professor Hodiwala has put forward some sound reasons in favour of Bhera (See S I M H, 13839) Dr M Nizim prefers Bhatinda For his discussion on the subject, tide M G, 197 ff I am inclined in favour of Bhera

concerned Political expediency, coupled, perhaps, with religious considerations, prompted Subuktigin to agree to the proposal and enter into an alliance with the Afghāns. He scored a brilliant point in that the Afghāns. He scored as a stumbling block in his way, was removed with one stroke of political sagacity. And, for the Afghāns, it was a question of life and death. Their political existence was in danger. It was ensured as soon as they made peace with the Ghaznawids and formed an alliance with them. Henceforth they ceased to bother themselves about the safety of India. Subuktigin gave them many concessions and won their sympathies and thus cleared his own path to India.

The rise of a powerful kingdom under a Muslim monarch in the vicinity Jaipāl's invasion of the Indus must have most of Ghaznin and naturally disturbed [aipāl's his defeat peace of mind and created fears and misgivings in his heart, especially when his dominions lay contiguous to those of his rival, Subuktigin The recognition of that kingdom spelt the negation of his political suzerainty had but two alternatives before him either to arrest the rising tide of the Ghaznawid power or to forego his claims to political supremacy and risk the political existence of his own kingdom He decided to follow the first course,

^{*} T F, 1, 17-18

and despite the opposition and protestations of the 'whole council, both Brahmans and Kshatris,' he made preparations and mobilized his forces against his ambitious neighbour. The first great clash between the armies of Islām and those of Hindūism took place at Lamghān in the Khyber Pass." It was accompanied by a violent storm, rain and thunder, which the superstitious ascribed to supernatural causes, it calculated to cause their destruction, so much

^{*}Some modern scholars, (c g Dr I Topa,) aver, without assigning any reasons, that the fight did not take place They give credit to the Rija for his 'warlike mentality' and say that he was quite propared for the fight, but changed his mind at Ghaznin. How he could go as far as Ghaznin without fighting a battle and then suddenly change his mind and enter into a humiliating treaty is not explained (See Politics in Pre-Vinghal Times, by Dr Ishwara Topa, pp. 28-29). It may also be noted here that in this clash also the Hindus were the aggressors

[†] The phenomenon of storm, rain and thunder, referred to above, is said to have been the direct result of Mahmud's throwing a filthy thing in a clean fountain of water, haunted by spirits. This tale of wonder, is preserved in the Tarikh-i Yamını, Tarikh i-Perishta and other historical works, as a relic of the past To be brief, it is said that there was a clean fountain of water which became tumultuous and gave rise to black clouds, thunders and snow storms whenever a filthy matter was thrown in it, that Mahmud, son of Subuktigin, who was aware of its qualities, caused it to be polluted, and that the results produced caused consternation in the Hindu army who believed that their gods had become angry with them therefore sent snow-storms etc for punishing them This 'tale of wonder' would at once remind the

so that Jaipal himself stood aghast at the horrible sight and saw safety in submission. He sued for prace and his request was accepted. According to the prace treaty that was concluded, the Raji agreed to the following conditions (1) to pry an indemnity of one million dirhams, (2) to deliver fifty elephants, (3) to cede some cities and fortresses situated in his kingdom, (4) to accept the appointment of some officers in India by Subuktigin and (5) to keep some of

reader of a number of similar stories, such as the immaculous pitcher', 'the lake of wonder' and 'the well of wonder' It records the survival of an ancient superstition, according to which Nāpās i c, demons or draggons - half-human and half brute—residing in such natural reservoirs as springs, fountains, wells and lakes, got offended when anything dirty was thrown in them and poured forth their wrath in the form of such phenomena as tempests, whirlwinds, clouds and snowstorms. It is told by the Buddhist travellers such as Fr Hirn and Hiven Tsiring; and the contemporary Muslim traveller, Albertini, had also heard of a well in the hills of Farghan, which was haunted by spirits endowed with supernatural powers. The phenomenon that caused consternation in Jaipal's army must have been similar to that which destroyed the Anglo Indian army in 1842 A C - a snow-storm, and the story that the gods of the Hindus had got annoyed with them seems to have been set up with a view to frighten the Hindu soldiers who had a firm faith not only in supernatural but also in unnatural and even anti-natural causes, and who felt sure that their gods were really angry with them For references to the tale of wonder vide Tārīkh-1-Yamını in E & D, 11, 20, T F 1, 19, Dr Sachau's translation of the Asār ul Bāqiva, 235, and S I-M H 134 136

his relatives with Subuktigin as hostages until the indemnity was paid

Historians are unanimous on the point that when Jaipal returned safely His breach his capital and found of faith and secure from external danger, he punishment thought that the treaty could be set at naught at his own sweet will Behaving in a Kautilyan fashion and regarding the terms of the treaty as a scrap of paper to be torn to pieces, he not only refused to abide by them but also threw into prison the officers whom Subuktigin had left to see that Rāja lived up to his words This brazen breach of faith drew down upon him the wrath of the Ghaznawid overlord who marched against him in order to punish him for his perfidy * Jaipal was not idling away his time He had, in the meantime, prepared himself for the inevitable and enlisted the support of the Rajas of Delhi Ajmer, Kalinjar and Kanauj 7 He set out to receive the Afghan army with his hordes of Hindus In the engagement that was

^{*} At first Subuktigin did not believe the news of Jaipal's betraval and, therefore, paid no heed to it. According to 'Utbi, it was only when he was convinced by the repeated accounts' that he had been defrauded and bamboozled that he marched against Jaipal to punish him for his 'wickedness' and 'infidelity'

⁷ T F, 1, 20 It is, however, contended that Ajmer was not yet founded. It is said to have been founded in 1100 A C (Indian Antiquary, NNA), 162)

fought on the bank of the Indus, the allies suffered a crushing defeat. After victory, Subuktigin levied heavy contributions on the neighbouring districts, received assurances from the Rāja that he would remit tribute regularly to Ghaznīn and amassed immense booty, including 200 war elephants. Leaving Peshāwar under the command of a trusted officer and putting 10,000 horse at his disposal, the victor marched back to his native Ghaznīn

Politically considered, the result of this invasion was that a mighty Hindū Importance of confederacy was defeated and Subuktigin's the superiority of the Musalmäns over the Hindūs was established, and though India was not yet conquered, the right route to attack her was discovered, for Subuktigin was the first Muslim king who invaded India from the North-West Frontier It may also be noted here that in the first clash between Subuktigin and Jaioāl, the latter was the aggressor and the second was the direct outcome of his breach of faith *

When Subuktigin ascended the throne of Ghaznin the Samanid power Relations with was on the wane and the Kingthe Samanid dom of Bukhara was in the overlord throes of disintegration Amir Nüh, the Samanid King, was hemmed in by insurgents on all sides and the governors of

^{*} T F, 1, 27, and C H I, 111, 12

the outlying provinces were in constant rebellion. All this afforded a most favourable opportunity to an ambitious leader for the realization of his ambitions, and the Amīr of Ghaznīn could have successfully fished in the troubled waters Far from betraving his over-lord he continued to acknowledge him as such and gave ample proof of his lovalty and devotion by lending him a helping hand against his enemies When Abū 'Alī Sīmjūrī' and Faig, two seasoned intriguers joined hands against their overlord, Amīr Nūh, the latter called in the aid of Subuktigin, who was ever ready to help him in his hours of need. In response to his request Subuktigin set out with his forces and reached Herat, where the insurgents had mustered in strength He opened negotiations with Abū Alī and Faiq and proved himself a good peace-maker by prevailing upon them to make peace with their overlord and to pay him an indemnity of 15,000,000 dirhams. Not long afterwards, however, Abū 'Aiī violated the terms of the peace-treaty and Subuktigin took the field against him in person, firmly determined to punish him for his perfidy. The rebel put up a vigorous opposition, but a timely attack, delivered by Mahmud decided the day against him When defeated and driven to despair,

^{*} Sir W Haig calls him Abū 'Alī Sunjūr, which is without authority and hence incorrect ($C\ H\ I$, iii, 12)

he fled to Raiy and found shelter with Fakhr ud-Daulah The victorious father and son, viz, Subuktigin and Mahmud, triumphantly entered Herāt, where they were accorded a right royal reception by the grateful Amīr of Bukhārā Amīr Nūh rewarded the services of Subuktigin by conferring upon him the government of Balkh and the title of Nāsir-ud-Dīn-waa-Daulah * and those of his son, Mahmūd, by bestowing upon him the command of the troops of Khurasan and the title of Sast-ud-Daulah When Mahmud entered Nishapur he was taken by surprise by the forces of Abū 'Alī Sīmjūrī and Fā'ig and the town was occupied by them After this reverse Mahmud retreated to Herat and reorganized his forces there When Subuktigin learnt of this disaster, he marched post-haste and attacked Abū 'Alī Sīmjūrī Again a timely attack delivered by Mahmud decided the day in his favour Fed up with fruitless struggles and humiliated by repeated reverses, both Abū 'Alī and his friend, Fa'iq, made pourparlers for peace to Amīr Nūh When their ambassadors reached Bukhārā, the Amīr threw into prison the one sent by Fa'iq and received with marked respect the one sent by Abū 'Alī This was done with a view to breaking down their combination When Fa'iq learnt of the fate of his ambassador, he

^{*} Subuktigin already enjoyed the title of Mu'in ud-Daulah (Vide Sachao The Chronology of Ancient Nations, p 130)

fled to Ilak Khan and sought his interference, while Ibū Alī was taken prisoner on his arrival at Bukhārā and made over to Subuktigīn for safe custogy Meanwhile Fa'iq had prevailed upon Ilak Khan to launch an attack on Bukhara When Nuh heard of the impending invasion of Bukhara by Ilak Khan, he again invoked the assistance of Subuktigin Ever willing to furnish proof of his fidelity, the Amīr or Ghaznīn set out at the head of his army to the help of his overlord. On reaching Bukhārā, however, he found that Amīr Nūh had changed his mind and was not prepared to meet the invader under the advice of his minister, 'Abdullah bin Muhammad bin Uzair But for this, he would have plunged himself into a decisive war against Ilak Khān He too, however, now made peace with Ilak Khan and ceded all the Sămanid territory to the east of Qatwan to him At the same time he also sent his son, Mahmud, to Bukhara at the head of 20 000 horse to bring about the dismissal of the cowardly Vasir, Abdullah Frightened out of his wits, Amīr Nūh dismissed 'Abdullah and appointed another Vasir nominated by Subuktigin A little later when Subuktigin and Mahmud were both away from Khurāsān, 'Abul Qāsım, brother of Abū Alī Sīmjūrī, availed himself of their absence and occupied Nishāpur without encountering much opposition His success was, however, short-lived, for he had to eva-

^{*} T Y, 98-100

cuate the place on the arrival of Mahmud and his uncle, Bughrajuq,* from Herat

Amidst these transactions, at the height of his power, Subuktigin, who was exhausted by the strain and stress of cerseless war and conquest, sank and questy passed away in

sank and quietly passed away in 997 A C, bequeathing a fairly large and wellorganized kingdom to his son, Mahmud He was a brave, righteous and upright ruler, who combined in his person the qualities of a soldier and a statesman. He ruled his kingdom with great prudence, justice and moderation for full twenty years He has gone down in history as an Amir-1-'Adit or Just Ruler, who was adored and obeyed by his soldiers, whose sufferings he shared, and loved and respected by his subjects, whose interests he always tried to promote His loyalty and devotion to the Samanid King, whom he acknowledged as his suzerain, stand in dramatic contrast to the treason and treachery of the Bukharan nobility in relation to their overlord and reflects great credit on his character Towards the close of his reign he had grown so strong that foreign potentates eagerly sought his friendship. He was a really great lover of learning and an equally great patron of the learned His advice to his son Mahmud, who rose to be one of the greatest patrons of literature,

^{*} $Vide\ T\ Y$, 75-107, $T\ M$, 235, $Z\ A\ p$, 58, $T\ N$, 75, and $M\ G$, 30-32

is particularly interesting. He told him to conquer the hearts of the literary luminaries of his kingdom by looking after their welfare and carrying out their wishes, pointing out that his generosity in this sphere would immortalize his name " The treatment meted out by him to Abul Fateh, the Dabir of the Samanid Chief, after the expulsion of Tughan from Bust is a glowing tribute to his patronage of learning T Later Muslim historians have tried to represent him as a champion of Islam whose principal occupation was to wage wars with the infidels of India and to propagate his religion with fire and sword despite the fact that he 'never crossed the Indus and led only two expeditions against the Hindus' His hands were too full of affairs at home zolla to time to draw out his sword and propagate Islām in India at its point. It was only on two occasions that he had to fight the Hindus of India and that too for political The first of his Indian expeditions was undertaken when he was attacked Raja Jaipal of Lahore and the second when the Raja proved perfidious and violated terms of the treaty solemnly entered into after his defeat in the first expedition W Haig is perfectly right in saving that 'these expeditions were undertaken rather as measures of reprisal and for the purpose of securin

^{*} T F, 1, 31

[†] See supra, p 8

his dominions than with any intention of propagating the faith '* There is absolutely no doubt that as a good Muslim he was profoundly interested in the cause of Islām, but it will be too much to credit him with what he never did or attempted to do He did not propagate Islām in India at the point of the sword. In fact he did not propagate it there even by peaceful means Apart from the fact that his sway was confined only to a fringe of the Indian Continent, the rest of which remained untouched by him, his political pre-occupations left him but little leisure to do so. This is the verdict of history

From the preceding account it is evident that about the end of the 10th century the Ghaznawid Empire Retrospect was in the making The Ghaznawids, with the solitary exception of Alptigin, the founder of the dynasty, were gradually exerting themselves and asserting their authority in the name of the Samanid King Their motives were political and economic and not religious—creation of an independent empire of their own and its maintenance and not the propagation of Islam and its principles and practices in India They had but one end in view, i e, political aggrandizement, and they laboured to achieve it by all legitimate means The Samanid name and authority served their purpose well and they

^{*} C H I, m, 12

SUBUKTICIN

exploited these words fully to their best advantage Under this political cloak the Ghaznawid power expanded unseen and without exciting jealousies or awakening rivalry. The main object of the growing Ghaznawid State was to strengthen itself and to cement its foundations and to develop itself into a powerful empire It was for purely political considerations that the Ghaznawid rulers acknowledged the suzerainty of the Samanid King, otherwise they had little regard for him or for his power and prestige This is borne out by the fact that they freed themselves from the nominal political tutelage of the Sāmānid Sovereignty the moment they felt themselves strong enough to curb the opposition of their enemies The foundations of the great Ghaznawid Empire were laid by Subuktigin and the splendid superstructure was raised by his more famous son and successor, Mahmud, under whom it became a living force, a real symbol of power and a sure sign of authority

CHAPTER II

SULTAN MAHMUD THE GREAT - I

HIS CONQUESTS IN CENTRAL ASIA

Mahmud is really the first remarkable figure in the history of Muslim India to claim our serious attention He was the real maker of the Ghaznawid State Introductory and the first Muslim conqueror of a considerable part of India Under him the semi-independent State of Ghaznin rose to a full-fledged and fully developed Ghaznawid Empire He picked up the policy of his father, pursued it with prudence and carried it to its logical conclusion Though it is only in a limited sense that he can be regarded as a ruler of India, yet the spade-work he did and the ground he prepared for the establishment of a Muslim empire in India cannot be ignored, and it is mainly in this capacity that he deserves a prominent place in Indian history

Abul Qasim Mahmud was the cidest son of Subuktigin from his wife who Subuktigin from his wife who was the daughter of an Imir of Mahmud Zabulistan * In spite of the efforts of his historians mediaval as well as modern.

 $r \in \mathbb{N}$ 108, $T \in \mathbb{N}$ 1, i, 23 and $T \in \mathbb{G}$, 395. It is for the reason that Mahmad was also known as Mahmad i Z ibuli.

the early life of this mighty monarch of his age still remains wrapped in obscurity A few strav facts bearing on the subject are known and they will not be out of place here. He was born on the night between the 1st and 2nd of November, 971 A C * He received his early education on orthodox lines under the tuition of a learned man Well-grounded in various branches of Islamic literature, he knew the Holy Quran by heart and was fully conversant with Muslim law and Tradition His father was particularly keen about the education of his children He himself had instructed Mahmud in the science of statecraft and crystallized its principles, as propounded by himself, into a Pand-Nāmah Mahmūd, moreover, seems to have undergone a regular course of apprenticeship in the art of administration We find him acting as a deputy of his father during his absence from Ghaznīn He was hardly seven years of age at that time Later he was entrusted with the government of the province of Zamīn-Dāwar He was given a

^{*} Historians have spun some strange stories round the birth of Mahmūd For instance, at one place it is recorded that his birth 'synchronized with the falling down of an idol-temple at Waihand, and that shortly before his birth Subuktigin saw in a dream a tree issuing out of the chafing-dish in his room and spreading out rapidly so as to overshadow the whole world, thus presaging the future iconoclast and conqueror' (M G, 34, note 3, T F, 1, 23, and T N, 76) Such stories are not peculiar to the case of Mahmūd Similar stories are told about the birth of Alexander the Great (See Plutarch's Lives, 11, p. 104)

regular training in the military arts and sciences of his day His skill and proficiency as a swordsman, lance-fighter and marksman were par excellence As a constant companion of his father in his military expeditions, he had picked up experience, which proved so useful in his career of conquest We have had occasions to meet him as a lieutenant of his father on a number of battle-fields During his boyhood he gave a good account of his military genius by successfully conducting a punitive expedition against Ghur He acquitted himself creditably in a battle that took place between his father and Jaipal near Lamghan in 986-87 A C As a result, perhaps, of some court intrigue, he fell out With his father and was thrown into prison This estrangement was, however, short-lived The misunderstanding was soon removed and Mahmud was restored to filial favours Mahmud's services to the Samanid King against Abū 'Alī Sīmjūrī and Fā'iq, in conjunction with his father, have been dealt with and need not be dilated upon here * He was at Nishapur and in the 26th year of his life when he heard the sad news of the death of his father †

In accordance with the will made by
Struggle for Succession his death, the nobles of Balkh raised his younger son, Ismail, to the throne and proclaimed him as their

^{*} See supra, pp 16 ff

t See M G, 34 ff

king With a view to strengthening his position, the new king did homage to his Samanid overlord and to win the good-will of the army he lavishly distributed wealth among his soldiers There was, however, a party of the people who believed that fitness to rule was the first and foremost qualification for succession to the throne That party espoused the cause of Mahmid, who was the elder and decidedly the abler and more energetic of the two brothers Mahmud, who was no less ambitious than Ismāīl, refused to acquiesce in the settlement He wrote a letter of condolence to his brother Ismail, assured him of his fraternal love and asked him to retain Balkn and cede Ghaznīn to him in recognition of his superior rights He also pointed out in that letter that he would not have disputed the will of his father if Ismail had possessed the requisite qualifications for kingship The demand was not met and the rival claimants referred the matter to the arbitrament of the sword At this juncture Abul Hāris Farīghūnī, father-inlaw of Mahmud, tried to patch up the differences between the two brothers, but he was considered as an interested mediator and thus his efforts to bring about a compromise ended in smoke After that the two brothers mobilized their forces and advanced on Ghaznīn from their respective places-Mahmud from Nishapur and Ismāīl from Balkh Before they met on the battle-field, Mahmud won over his brother, Abul Muzaffar Nasr, the governor of Bust, and his

uncle Bughrajuq, the governor of Herat, and made a final attempt at compromise, but that too suffered the same fate His father-in-law had already thrown the weight of his power and influence on his side and now there was nothing to check him from asserting his claims by force of arms The two armies, almost equal in numerical strength, met on the plain of Ghaznin and a well-balanced battle was fought in March, 998 A C. Ismāīl, who was more of a scholar than a soldier, held out heroically for the whole day, but eventually bowed before the calm and cool courage and superior generalship of his brother Towards the close of the day Ismail's forces took to flight and he himself sought shelter in a fort, but feeling sure that he could not sustain a long siege, he threw himself at the mercy of his brother, who had promised to treat him with kindness After winning a decisive victory, Mahmud placed Ismail in nominal cofinement and provided him with every comfort and convenience. About the end of 999 A C Ismail abused the indulgence shown to him by his brother and hatched up a plot against him Before the nefarious plan was put into practice, Mahmud got an inkling of the plot*

^{*} How Mahmud came to know about the plot is thus stated by 'Utbi -

One day the Sultan went out hunting in company with Ismail and Nushtigin On the way he saw the latter putting his hand on the hilt of his sword and looking towards the former for some pre arranged signal Mahmad

MARNET THE GILAT

an i immediately ordered the execution of Nushagin Kai who was the principal agent After that Ismail was transferred to the custody of Abac Haris at Jugatan, where he passed the rest of his life in prace?

After restering law and order in Chaznin Mahmua marched to Balkh and Remove with the Siminal Hiris Mineur, who had suc the Siminid cecaca his father amir Nuh. The Amir congraturated him on his successing unsernist brother and confirmed him in his government of Balkn Heral, Bust, and Tirmin As regards Knurssan the Amir said that he had ilready granted it to Begtunus an officer who vicided enormous influence at Bukharat Mahmud made another constitutional attempt to get back Khurdsan He ant Abul Hisan Harmud to Bukhara to plead his case, but the Amir declined to do want he defined. Friling to achieve his object by peaceful means, he resorted to force. He advanced against Nishapur At his approach Begaurun deserted the place

detected the move in d. Is mult, feeling that the Sultan had seen Nushtigms action, showed himself unconcerned (T - Y), 132)

 $^{^{\}bullet}$ T $_{G}$, 393 , T $_{Y}$, 110, 114 16, 115, 128 32 T I , 1, 22 and if G , 35 41

^{*} For the events leading to the appointment of Bertaran to the command of the troops of Khurisin, see M/G, p. ± 2 , no e. 2

and applied for reinforcements The Amir hastened to the scene for helping him and halted at Sarakhs Mahmüd, who had occupied Nishapur in the meantime, left it without fighting a battle and retreated to Marv-Rud * Nishapur was re-occupied by Begtūzūn, who next marched to Sarakhs to join the Amir Suspecting the Amīr of secretly favouring Mahmūd, Fā'iq and Begtuzun formed a plot against him, took him prisoner on the pretext of a meeting in connection with an alleged problem of importance and then enthroned Abul Fawaris Abdul Malik, anyounger brother of Amir Abul Häris Mahmud now took up the cause of the ex-Amīr and proceeded to Sarakhs to punish Fa'iq and Begtuzun At his approach, both the traitors fled to Marv Mahmud pursued them thither and actually encamped in front of that place Before, however, the fight began the parties came to an understanding, whereby Begtuzun was allowed to retain the command of the troops of Khurāsān as before and Mahmūd was confirmed in his possession of Herat, Balkh, etc Thus ended the campaign without achieving the object for which it was launched † The peace concluded was short-lived. It was broken as soon as the followers of the Amīr, instigated by Dārā bin Qābūs who was opposed to the terms

^{*&#}x27;Utbi accounts for this unconditional retreat of Mahmud by saying that he disdained to deal the final blow to the Samanids (T Y, 124)

 $[\]dagger$ See Z A, 60, and T M, 805 It is stated that

of the creaty, actacked the rear of Manmads forces under the command of Nasr. This was enough to serve as a cas is bellefor Mahmud Marshalling his forces in the items and distributing the commands of the main divitors of his army to trusted officers he accided the combined forces of Amir Ab in Mail Begiña, Fr quanta Abii Qasim Simpari, and defeated them in a bittle near Mary on the 16th of May 1999 Y. C. Ab ful Mark floor to Bukhāra Abul Oasim to Ivons an and Begiñam to Nishabur. Driven one of Nishabur pur Begiürün fica to Jurjin a, a when pu was pursued thitner also ne crossed over to Bukhara. Abul Queim, who had es abished himself in Kohistan was at icrea by an officer of Mahmud, defeated and disloged from the position ha had occupied Manmua was now the master of the whole of Khurasan Entrusing the command of the troops of that province to his brother Nasr he proceeded upace to Balkh to see the state of offine at Bukhara About this time he intorined Al-Oadir Bilinh, the Khalifa of baghdad of ms victory over Abdul Malik and received from him a diploma of investiture and the titles of Amin ul-Hillah and Yamin-ud-Da uah Abdul Malik was preparing himself for a final fight with Mahmud when the death of his skilled and seasoned

Mahmud was so pleased with this result that he distributed 2,000 dinārs as alms among the poor. Why he felt delighted at this unsatisfactory peace treaty is not explained.

general, Fa'iq, brought his schemes to an abrupt close At the end of October, 999 A C Ilak Khān conquered Bukhārā, captured the Amīr together with his kith and kin and put an end to the Samanid Dynasty Abu Ibrahim Ismāīl al-Muntasır, son of Amīr Nūh, who had managed to escape from the custody of Ilak Khan, made abortive efforts to retrieve the fallen fortunes of his family In Khwarizm he enlisted the support of those who still clung to the cause of the Samanids With their help he attacked Bukhārā, but failed to take it Thereafter he attacked Nishapur and inflicted a defeat on Nasr on February 25, 1001 A C When, however, Mahmud arrived with reinforcements, he fled to Jurjān In September next he appeared again in Khurāsān At his approach Nasr evacuated Nishāpur and applied for reinforcements Mahmūd sent Abū Sa'īd Altūntāsh to his help and the combined forces made an attack on Muntasir, who suffered a crushing defeat and took to flight Ere long he came back from Jurjan, whither he had fled, and took Sarakhs He was defeated by Nasr and many of his officers, including Abul Qasim, were taken prisoners and sent to Ghaznin Muntasir tried his luck in Transoxiana and other places, but failure followed him everywhere He now made an appeal for thelp, to. Mahmud who issued instructions to the governor of Herat to join forces with him in his venture against Ilak Khān Without waiting for reinforcements, he marched against Bukhārā and after suffering

heavy losses returned to Khurāsān. To put a stop to the disturbances he was creating in his new dominions, Mahmūd sent a large army against him. He fled to Jurjān and made yet another unsuccessful attempt to recover Bukhārā. His stormy career was cut short by an Arab in the Ghuzz desert

After the conquest of Bukhārā by Ilak Khan* and that of Khurasan by Dealings with Sultān Mahmūd, the two great the khāns of conquerors of their day exchanged friendly greetings and accepted Turlustăn the river Osus as the boundary-line between their kingdoms. To strengthen this friendship, Mahmud married the daughter of Ilak Khan But the Khan coveted the province of Khurasan so much that even this matrimonial alliance did not exercise any check on his ambitions. No sooner had Mahmud marched against Multan, than he detailed two divisions of his army against Khurāsān—one under the command of his brother, Chaghartigin, and the other under that of his relative, Subashītigīn The two generals conquered Balkh and Herat and with their conquest the whole of Khurāsān passed the hands of Ilak Khan Mahmud had anticipated this invasion and had therefore issued necessary instructions to his officers before his departure from Ghaznīn As soon as he

^{*} Muslim historians call these Khāns of Turkistān 'Afrāsivābī Turks' and modern historians call them 'Qarā-Khānids' (M G, 47, p 3)

the people of Transoxiana against the tyranny of 'Alltigin provided a most plausible pretext and Mahmud marched against Samarqand where 'Alltigin had taken his stand At his approach 'AlItigIn took to flight, but his wife and children were taken prisoners and treated with respect consistent with their position. Qadir Khan of Kāshghar and Mahmūd exchanged greetings and cemented their friendship by matrimonial alliances While Mahmud married his daughter, Zainab, to Qadir Khān's son, Bughrā Khān, * Qadir Khan gave one of his daughters in marriage to Muhammad, son of Mahmud † Hardly had Mahmud left Samarqand for Ghaznin when 'Alltigin emerged from his retreat, defeated Oadir Khan and took possession of Samarqand. Qadir Khan sought but failed to secure the support of Mahmud, because the latter was about to proceed against Somnath On his return, however, Mahmud sent a large force to Qadir Khan who defeated 'AlItigin and compelled him to come to terms Thereafter Qadir Khan continued to be friendly towards Mahmud

The Ma'munids, * e, the rulers of Jurjaniy-yah, were at first the feudatories of Jurjaniyah of the Samanids but became independent later on One of them, Abul Hasan 'Ali, married Mahmud's

^{*} His original name was Yaghantigin (T M, 230)

[†] For marriage ceremonies etc, see Z A, 83-84, and T M, 230-31

sister called Kah-Kahi His brother Abul Abbas, who succeeded him, married his widow and professed to possess profound respect for Mahmud When, however, the Sultan asked him to acknowledge him as his overlord he referred the matter to a council of officers who refused to submit to foreign yoke. When the soldiers learnt of this, they mutinied, but were silenced by a lavish distribution of gold. This was, however, a luil before the storm that was yet to come. Finding himself between the devil and the deep sea 'Abbas contracted a secret alliance with the Khāns of Turkistān. When Mahmud heard of this secret alliance, he set out with a huge army against Balkh But for the timely intervention of the Khans of Turkistan Mahmud would have dealt a decisive blow to his brother-in-law 'Abbās had now no alternative but to submit He acknowledged Mahmūd as his suzerain and inserted his name in the Khutba The soldiers, who had been silenced only with gold, regarded this submission as a standing insult to the honour of their country. They advanced on the capital and perpetrated a number of atrocities which culminated in the assassination of 'Abul Abbas. On hearing of the tragic fate of his vassal and brother-in-law, Mahmud marched against Khwarizm at the head of his army Before taking action he arranged the safe return of his sister and secured the neutrality of the Khans of Turkistan The regicides inflicted heavy losses on the Ghaznawid forces, but

ultimately victory sided with the Sultan The rebels were completely crushed and the murderers of 'Abul Abbās were put to the sword The government of Khwārizm and Jurjāniyyah was entrusted to Amīr Hājib Altūntāsh, who had distinguished himself in the fight, and the title of Khwārizmshāh was conferred upon him in recognition of his services After introducing necessary administrative changes and making appointments and transfers, Mahmūd marched back to Ghaznīn

Abū Nasr Muhammad bin Asad-ash-Shār was wise enough to bow before Conquest of the rising star of Sultan Mahmud Gharshistan He acknowledged the Sultan as his suzerain and read the Khutba in his name But his son, Shah Muhammad, the younger Shar, offended Mahmud by refusing to accompany him on an expedition and behaving improperly when called upon to explain his conduct As a result, Gharshistan* was attacked The elder Shar submitted, but the younger took position in an almost inaccessible hillfort and from there offered resistance. The fort was besieged and a breach was made in one of its outer walls. The garrison held out heroically, but eventually they sought safety in surrendering themselves. Shah Muhammad was made captive along with a number

^{*} Gharshist in is also called Gharjistan and Gharj ash Shar in some accounts

of his officers and sent to Mastang," where he died some time later. Gharshistan was annexed to the Gharnawia Empire and placed in charge of Abul Hasan-al-Mani'i, governor of Mary-Rūd, in 1012 \ C. The elder Shār was taken to Ghizanin and treated with marked respect.

The origin of the Saljugs is shrouged in the thick mist of antiquity. There The rise of are several conflicting accounts the Saljais about them Of them, the most probable seems to be this. In important section of the Ghuzz tribe shot off from the parent-stock under their leager, named Saljüg, after whose name it came to be known later on, and migrated to Transoviana and settled down there times they rendered help to the Samanids in their wars with their neighbours of Turkistan lending a helping hand to 'Alltiglin in his conquest of Bukhara, Israil son of Sanjug acquired immense influence at Bukhārā When Mahmūd marched against Transoxiana, 'Alltiglin managed to make good his escape but Israil fell into his hands, was taken prisoner and sent to the fort of Kalanjar T. According to an account, the Saljugs were either induced or forced to migrate to the south of the Oxus and settle down in Khurasan

Mastang is situated in modern Balüchistän

This Kilanjar was different from the fort of Kilinjar, situated in Bundhelkhand. It was situated to the north of Jhelum in the pass leading into Kashmir (Vide M. G., 64 foot note).

It seems more probable, however, that they remained in Transoxiana, owning allegiance to the Sultan and waging wars on their own account till the close of his reign when they began to make encroachments upon his immediate possessions. Lest they should become a menace to his power, Mahmud led a number of expeditions against them personally and defeated them in many battles. They were held in check at that time and they could not enter Khurasan in force until the accession of Sultan Mas'ud to the throne *

When Bughrajuq, the governor of Fushanj, had gone to help Mahmud in his Conquest struggle for the throne, his proof Sistan vince was occupied by Tahir son of Wali-ud-Daulah Abū Ahmad Khalal bin Ahmad, the governor of Sistan, who had become independent after the fall of the Samanids. After his accession Mahmud helped Bughrajuq and enabled him to recover his province, but he pursued the enemy in a state of drunkenness and got killed In December, 999 A C. Mahmud marched against Khalaf and forced him to purchase peace on payment of 100,000 dinārs as indemnity After that the Sultan returned to his capital Subsequently a quarrel took place between Khalaf and his son, Tahir, and the father managed to imprison his son and put him to death. Infuriated by this,

^{*} See K T, 1x, 266 68 and 321 ff, T N, 116 ff, T G, 434-35, Z A, 84 ff, and Ency of Islam, 11, 168 ff

act of khalaf, the people invited Mahmud to rescue them from his tyranny. In response, the Suitan set out at the head of his army and laid siege to the fort of Sistan. Ishalaf offered a stubborn resistance, but sought safety in submission Mahmud extended him his pardon, allowed him to retain his riches and sent him to Jüzjanan at his own choice. After appointing Hajib Qinji as governor of Sistan, the Sultan went back to Ghamin. Not long after his departure a rebellion broke out in that province and Mahmud had to take the field in person. He put down the rebellion and massacred a large number of the rebellion and massacred a large number of his own brother Nast, Mahmud returned to Ghaznin.

According to the Tarikh-s-Guzidah when

Assumption of the title of Sultar!

Ishalaf bin Ahmad of Sistan was defeated by Mahmad, he addressed the victor as 'Sultan'-an appellation which signifies authority or

power-and the latter liked it and adopted it as his title, giving up that of Amir* Baizawi, on the other hand, would have us believe that the Khalifa of Baghaad (Al-Qadir Billah) confirmed Mahmud in the government of Khurasan and Sistan, sent him a robe of honour and also conferred upon him the title of 'Sultan' in honour of his victory over Abdul Malik T. This seems to be improbable for the simple reason that Mahmud never

^{*} T G, 396, and Coins of Glazm Kings, 5

TS A W A, 9, foot note

used this title in his coins and remained content with the title of $Am\bar{\imath}r$, with which the Khalīfa distinguished him ${}^{+}$ There is a strong tradition that Mahmūd was the first king who styled himself as Sultān ${}^{+}$

Muhammad bin Sürī, the ruler of Mandīsh (eastern Ghur), acknowledged the Conquest suzerainty of SubuktigIn, but renounced allegiance after his of Ghur death and adopted a hostile attitude In 1011 Mahmud marched against him The Ghūrids pooled their resources, offered him a united front and inflicted a defeat on Altuntash who led the advance-guard. Mahmud joined him immediately afterwards and their combined forces defeated the Ghurids in some engagements After these reverses. Ibn Suri retired to the inaccessible hills from where he could not be dislodged Mahmud resorted to a ruse He turned back and feigned flight The simple unassuming Ghurids now rushed out in pursuit of the Ghaznawids, and when they reached a plain Mahmud turned round and made a desperate charge on the disorderly hordes and scattered them pell-mell Ibn Sūrī, his son and officers were taken prisoners and sent to Ghaznīn Abū 'Alī son of Ibn Sūrī, who had quarrelled with

^{*} C H I, 111, 14, foot-note

[†] S N, 44, K T, 1x, 92, T N, 75; and W Barthold's Turkestān, 271

^{‡ &#}x27;Utbī calls him simply Ibn Sūrī Also see M G, 70, foot-note 4

he fainer and taken refuge at Ghaznin, was nade governor of Manaish In 1015 \ C Manmud macked Khwanin,* captured some fores and returned to Gnamin. Some time later the north-western part of Ghur, called Tab, was conquered by M is ud and almost the whole of Gnur excepting perhaps the inaccessible interior, was annexed to the Gharnawia Empire i

Queatr was converted into a dependency of Gharnin by Subuktigin In Keduction 1010-11 \ C its ruler renounced of Qued ir allegiance and withheld tribute ac the instigation of Ilak Khan In December, 1011 A C Manmud mobilized his forces against it and laid siege to its fort. Its ruler offered submission and promised to pay 15,000 000 airhams. is an indemnity to deliver lificein elephants and to remi, the annual tribute regularly. In return for all this he was allowed to retain his kingdom as a dependency of Ghaznin

Conquest of the valleys of rivers Nor and Oirit

In 1020 \ C Mahmūd undertook an expedition against 'the pleasant valleys' of the rivers Nur and Qirat & While the ruler of the Ofra, villey offered submission and accepted Islam

^{*} This was the south western district of Ghar (Vid. V. G. 72, foot note 4)

T Ibid, 70 ff

T Y 250 51 That the amount is rather exag

gerated admits of no doubt

[§] These rivers are in modern Kufiristán

with most of his men, that of the Nūr valley adopted a hostile attitude, but had to bow before the might and means of Mahmūd The people of these valleys worshipped the lion and were apparently Buddhists who worshipped the Sākiya Sinha (lion), the Buddha Mahmūd appointed a number of teachers to acquaint them with the rudiments of Islām and returned to Ghaznīn *

At the end of the year 1019 A C Mahmūd marched against the Afghāns,†

Expedition against the Afghāns who inhabited the region between Ghaznīn and the Indus He inflicted a most severe chastisement on the Afghāns who used to make raids into the frontier towns of the Ghaznawid Empire and had become so daring as to waylay the Imperial forces on their way back from Kanauj It is said that very few, except women and children, escaped the terrible execution ordered by the Sultan

Subuktigin had promised Shams-ul-Ma'ali Abul Hasan Qābūs bin Washm-Relations with the Ziyārids gīr bin Ziyār to restore his kingdom (Jurjān and Tabaristān) to him, but died before fulfilling the promise Mahmūd wanted to do what was left undone by his father on account of his death, but accomplished nothing. Qābūs was, however, able to occupy Jurjān in August, 998 A C and to

^{*} Z A, 78-79

[†] They were neither Ghilzais nor Ghürids as erroneously supposed by some scholars (See M G, 76, f n 1)

establish his sway over Tabaristān and Jibāl He was not destined to enjoy a long reign He was deposed by the army and his son, Minūchihr, was raised to the throne Mahmūd took up the cause of Dārā, another son of Qābūs, who had quarrelled with his father and taken refuge at Ghaznīn But Minūchihr disarmed his opposition by recognizing him as his suzerain and promising to pay $50,000 \ d\bar{\imath}n\bar{a}rs$ annually as tribute A little later Mahmūd married one of his daughters to him Once, when the fall of Raiy created misgivings in his mind, Minūchihr adopted a defiant attitude, but made ample apologies and paid a fine of $500,000 \ d\bar{\imath}n\bar{a}rs$ to appease his overlord*

The rulers of Mekran were the feudatories of the Buwaihids. After the fall of -with the the Buwaihids, one of them, viz, rulers of Ma'dan, acknowledged Subuktigin Mekran as his suzerain On Subuktigīn's death he renewed allegiance to his successor. Mahmud On his own death one of his sons, named 'Isa, defeated the other, called Abul Mu'askar, and forced him to seek shelter in Sistan Mahmud was at that time away in India on his expedition against Somnath On his return to Ghaznīn when Abul Mu askar waited upon him, he treated him with kindness Lest the Sultan should raise Abul Mu'askar to the throne, 'Isā acknowledged Mahmud as his overlord. He was

^{*} T Y, 278-80 and 283, and T M, 245-46

then called upon to provide maintenance for his brother and was confirmed in the government of Mekrān Towards the close of Mahmūd's reign when he was harassed by the Saljūqs, 'Isā declared his independence, which was ensured for some time on account of Mahmūd's death

On the death of Fakhr-ud-Daulah, the Buwashid ruler of Rasy, his widow Conquest of was allowed to govern his do-Raiv. Hamaminions as a regent of his minor dan & Isfahan son, Majd-ud Daulah Mahmud was too clever to risk his reputation by leading his forces against a defenceless widow. This magnanimity was not, however, extended to her son when he grew to manhood and assumed the reins of kingship on the death of his mother His reign was a continuous scene of misgovernment Terrorized by the Dailamite troops, he invoked the aid of Sultan Mahmud The Sultan, who was waiting for such an opportunity, immediately sent an army under the command of Hajib 'Ali who took him captive and sent him to Ghaznin, from where he was sent to India Then followed a ruthless persecution of the Carmathians, Batinis and Mu'tazilites and a wholesale destruction of their literature * The chiefs of the neighbouring places came forward and owed allegiance to him But the ruler of Abhar, Sarjahan, Shahrazur and Zanjant, named

^{*} See Z A, 91, K T, 1x, 262, and M G, 83 T For the locality of these places, vide The Lands of the Lastern Caliphate, by G Le Srange, p 221

Ibrāhīm bin Marzubān of Dailam, gentiai, known as "Salar", had the audacity chock to incur the displeasure of Mahmud by telus to owe allegiance to him His destruction was therefore decided upon and a large arm; was sent against him under the command of an old rival of his, called Marzuban bin Hisa who had sought shelter with the Sulian, to punish him for his patriotism Forming in alliance with some of the leading chiefs of the Dailamites, Marzuban marched against life rival and captured Qazwin without experiercing much opposition Meanwhile, Mahmud returned to his capital and, availing himself of his ab cneed the Salar emerged from his retreat, inflicted on his rival a sharp defeat and occupied Oazilla Prince Mas'ud, who was placed in charge of the new province and entrusted with the task of reducing the remaining parts of the Buwaihid territory, opened his regime by leading an expedition against him in conjunction with Marzuban He laid siege to the stronghold where the Salar had taken shelter, but the garrison held out heroically and frustrated the efforts of the besiegers Failing to take the fort by storm, Mas'ud resorted to underhand means and achieved his object Holding out high hope, to some of the responsible officers of the Salar and thus winning them over to his side, he acquainted himself with the vulnerable point of the fort and commenced operations in tight earnest Finding himself betrayed by h son officers, the gallant Salar came out/ Ħ

and made a desperate charge on the besiegers He was, however, defeated and taken prisoner His son offered submission and promised to pay tribute. Mas'ūd next attacked and occupied Hamadān after inflicting a defeat on the deputy of 'Alā-ud-Daulah bin Kākawaih*. The turn of Isfahān came next 'Alā-ud-Daulah was allowed to retain it at the recommendation of the Khālīfa of Baghdād on the condition that he would pay a sum of 20,000 dīnārs annually as tribute Meanwhile, Mahmūd breathed his last (30th April, 1030 A C) and Mas'ūd marched to Nishāpur to make a bid for the throne †

His full name was Abu Ja'far Muhammad biit Dushmanziyār and was also known as simply Ibn Kākawaih The famous philosopher Abū 'Alī Sīnā (Avicenna) flourished at his court under his patronage (K T, ix, 279, and Tārīkh-ul-Hukamā, by Al-Qifti, pp 419-26)

[†] K T, 1x, 262-63 and 279, T M, 11-25 and 359, T N, 87 Baihaqi also states that Mas'üd was left at Raiv with an ill-equipped army of only 2,000 (T M, 258)

CHAPTER III

SULTAN MAHMUD THE GREAT-II

HIS INVASIONS OF INDIA

Aiptigin and his successors had led a number of expeditions against the frontier towns of India and Subuktigin Introductory nad 'fought numerous battles with Raja Jaipal of Waihind (modern Hung) and extended his kingdom as far as Lamghan It was left for Mahmud to continue the forward policy of his father and to carry his conquests far into the interior of India When the Khalifa of Baghdad recognized him as an independent ruler in 999 A C 'he made it incumbent upon himseif to lead an expedition against India every vear * In pursuance of this resolution he lea not less than seventeen expeditions against India and it must be recorded to his credit that in none of them he suffered a defeat.

^{*} This is what 'Utbi savs (T Y, 134) The translation of this passage in $E \in D$ (Vol. 11, p. 24) is inaccurate, and it has misled many in that it implies that Mahmud took a row to undertake a roly war against Hind every year and casts a ralo of $Jir\bar{a}d$ on or rather gives a rough of religious fanancism to what were purely secular exploits (Vice Chapter IV)

The accounts of Mahmud seventeen (not twelve as erroneously stated by some historians) expeditions against India, as given in the contemporary chronicles and later histories, are materially discrepant. Their number and dates after in different accounts, the

The first of his Indian expeditions was directed against the frontier towns and (1) Towns of forts of the Khyber Pass in the Khyber Pass month of September, 1000 A C It resulted in the capture of several places Having entrusted the government of the newly conquered territory to an able officer, Mahmud returned to Ghaznin with as much booty as he was able to collect t

Jaipal, the Raja of Waihind, whom Subukfigin had twice defeated and reduced to submission, was no (2) Peshāwar longer friendly Muhammad bin 'Alī, the reputed author of the Majma-ul-Ansab. informs us that after the death of Amir Subuktigin, Raja laipal tried to win back what

spoils obtained by the Sultan in each of them have been variously estimated—in some so exaggerated as to stagger our imagination—, and the names of persons and places are too often corrupted, confused and carelessly recorded by the scribes I have exercised the greatest care in reconciling these conflicting and even contradictory accounts and tried

to be accurate as far as possible

* Gardizi is the only contemporary chronicler who makes a mention of this expedition Ferishta and Nizām-ud din Ahmad are the two later historians who have reproduced it in their works. They seem to have drawn upon Gardizi's Zain-ul-Akhbar, but both of them have made some mistakes in copying it Sir W Haig (C H I, 111, 13) regards this expedition as 'apocryphal' without assigning any good reasons. It was not made much of by the contemporary chroniclers obviously hecause it was a comparatively minor expedition, confined only to the frontier towns of India

the Amīr had conquered from him and attacked Mahmūd, who set out from Ghaznīn at the head of his army to repel the invasion. The army of the Rāja is said to have consisted of 30,000 foot, 12,000 horse and 300 elephants. The two armies encamped in the neighbourhood of Peshāwar and in a fierce fight that took place between them on the 27th of November, 1001 A.C., the Hindūs were defeated and put to flight. Their Rāja, with fifteen of his sons and grandsons, was made captive and sent to 'a slave market' in Khurāsān and sold there for 80 ainārs.† The spoils were 'beyond

* Majma-ul-Ansāb quoted by Dr M Nāzim in M G, 87, foot-note 1

The original word of which the English equivalent is taken to be a market is Man-Yazid, which has puzzied a number of competent scholars On the authority of the MSS of the Tabgat-1 Nasiri. Raverty assures us that 'nearly every copy' has the word 'Man-Yazid' While translating the relevant passage, Sir H Elliot (E & D, 11, 270) has erroneously written 'Yazd' for 'Man-Yazid' On the authority of the MSS of the Tabaat 1-Nasiri, Raverty calls it 'Man-Yazid', and relying on the MS of a qasida of 'Unsuri, Dr M Nāzim calls it Mirand Both these scholars have taken it to be the name of a place This is perhaps because it is followed by the word Khurasan in the original text On the authority of the Ghijās-ul Lughāt and the Mūyjad ul-Fuzalā, Professor Hodivala (S I M H, xiii-xiv and 191-93) takes it to mean 'a market, an auction, and an auctioneering room' and, after discussing the point at length, comes to the conclusion that "Jayapāla was publicly exposed at one of the slave auctions in some market in Khurasan, just like thousands of other Hindu captives" His conclusion is confirmed by Isami, the author of the Futuh-us-Salatin, a metrical history of Mediæval India (1000 1349 A C). all bounds of calculation' They included, inter alia, sixteen* precious necklaces of pearls, one of which was of 'enormous value' The Raja was restored to his kingdom on the conditions that he would pay 250,000 dinārs as ransom and give fifty elephants Jaipāl was then allowed to go to his capital, but in order to ensure the fulfilment of the conditions of the peace-treaty, the Sultan detained two princes, a son and a grandson of the Raja, as hostages After spending the winter months at Waihind and reducing the neighbouring places, Mahmud returned to Ghaznīn in the spring of the same year Humiliated by repeated reverses, the Raja sank low in the eyes of his subjects 'who refused to

written in 1350 A C century and recently lighted upon and published by Dr Mahdi Hussain of Agra The relevant verses are

متادش همال رائے حیبال دست ندلال نارار برده سپرد شیدم نفرمآن فومانووا بهشتان دینار حینال را مقیمان نارار نفروحتند بهانش نظارن در اندوحتند

يبك حملم ا مواح هددو شكست مرورا باقصائے عربیں سرد

In view of all this, it is impossible to agree with Dr M Nizim who says that "the sale of Jaipal meant only the fixing of his ransom" (M. G., 87). The object of Mahmud seems to have been to intimidate the Raia so that he might yield to his demands unconditionally

* Dr M Nazim erroneously gives the number of the necklaces captured as 'fifteen' (M G, 87) One necklace, which was of 'enormous value', was taken from the Raja himself and fifteen from his relatives. Hence sixteen is the correct number (T F, 1, 24),

acknowledge a king who had been a captive in the hands of the Muslims' and being fed up with his lot, he solemnly made over his kingdom to his son, Anandpāl, mounted a funeral pyre and burnt himself to death in the customary manner of his proud race *

Bijī Rāi, the Rāja of Bhātiya,† had been on good terms with Subuktigīn (3) Bhātiya When, therefore, Mahmūd attacked Jaipāl he expected aid from his father's friend On being disappointed, the Sultān marched against him to punish him 'for his failure to support him '‡ At his approach the gallant Rāja came out of his fort and offered a pitched battle to the invader The battle raged for three days and the Rāja defended his position very bravely, but on the fourth day a

 $^{^{\}star}$ T Y, 159, Z A, 56, and T F 1, 24

[†] See supra, p 11, note Bhera seems to be a more probable identification of Bhātiya, for the capture of Waihind had just 'opened Mahmūd's way into the Northern Punjab' and 'he had not even crossed the Indus', and it is difficult to believe how he could have penetrated as far as Uchch, Bhatinda, Bhatner or Bhāwalpur, which have been offered as identifications of Bhātiva by different scholars Each of the said four places is at a distance of not less than 300 miles from Waihind and it would not have been possible for the Sultān to reach there "without possessing a single base of operations and means of keeping up his communications or of preventing the rulers whose territories he had invaded from cutting off his retreat" (See S I-JI H, 138-39)

[‡] C H I, m, 14

desperate charge led by the Sultan decided the day in his favour. The Raja took to flight and found refuge in a fort, which was surrounded by a broad deep ditch. The Sultan laid siege to the fort and ordered that the ditch be filled with earth, stones and trees. The satisfactory progress of this process depressed the spirits of the Raja who fled to a forest,* leaving the garrison to defend the fort But he was not safe even there. for his whereabouts were soon discovered and he was pursued to the place where he was sheltering himself Surrounded by Muslim soldiers on all sides and feeling sure that he would soon be taken prisoner, "the high-spirited' Raja turned his sword against his own breast and stabbed himself to death † Deserted by their Chief, the garrison also got depressed and allowed the invader to occupy the fort without facing much opposition "No quarter was given to the enemy (Hindus) and only those who embraced Islam escaped the vengeance of the conquerors" Enormous booty, including 120

TY, 210 Gardiei (Z A 67) says that the Raja fled to the bank of the river Sasind, "which," according to Dr M Nazim "might be the old name of the river Hakra" (M G, 100, n 8) Perishta substitutes the word Sind (Indus) for Sasind (T F, 1, 24)

 $[\]dagger$ T Y, 210, and Z A, 67 It is difficult to reconcile this heroic act of the "high spirited" Raja with his flight from the fort at the approach of the invader

I M G, 101 Mark the nature of conversion

elephants, fell into the hands of the victors. After the victory the Sulfan staved there for sometime to subjugate the surrounding places. During his stay he is said to have "appointed teachers to instruct the converts in the rudiments."

^{*} Referring to this boots, Dr M Nazim says that "the share of the Sultan alone amounted to 120 elephants besides gold, silver and arms" (M G 101) This statement conveys the impression that there were some more elephants as well, forming the share of others Dr Nazim seems to have followed Sir H Elliot who has expressed the same view in a foot-note in $E \in D$, ii, 30 which reads "Ferishta says 280 (elephants were captured) and Mirkhond 120, but does not notice that this was the personal share of the Sultan 'In view of the well-known fact that in those days all elephants formed the property of the king and no private individual had the right to keep them, it was absurd to point out the personal share of the Sultan Altogether 120 (or 280 according to Gardizi and Ferishta) elephants were captured and all of them spso facto belonged to the Sultan Not a single one went to anyone else The words "personal" and "alone" used by Sir H Elliot and Dr Nazim respectively are highly misleading 'Utbi has used the words حص السلطال and in the original Arabic text (Delhi Lith, 260) they are not qualified by any such word the equivalent of which may be "personal" or "alone" All that Gardizi (Z A, 67) says is that 280 elephants were captured. In the Newal Kishor edition of the Tārikh-i-Fcrishta, Vol 1, p 24 the number of elephants captured is ع ا دونست فشدا د ا times twenty and eighty, which work out to be 120 and not 280, unless سنة is taken to be a mistake for مد For the royal prerogative about the keeping of elephants, vide E & D, 11, 40, 111, 77, 91 92, 120, 235, 316, 11, 504, T N (Rayer ty), 650 note, 662, T M, 349, 488, and T A, 33

of Isiam '* When he left for Ghaznin he suffered great losses on the way, in the first place because the Indus was in flood and it exacted a heavy toll, and secondly because Abul Fatch Daud, the ruler of Multan, blocked the passage of his army through his territories and augmented its sufferings † Thus after suffering many hardships and sustaining many losses, the Sultan reached Ghaznin about the middle of 1005 A C

Shaikh Hamid Lawit had cultivated friendly relations with Amir Subuktigin and thus secured his position in Sind and Mulian But his grandson, Abul Fatch Daud bin Nasir, reversed this cautious policy and gave offence to Sultan Mahmud by embracing the Carmathian creed, entering

^{*} Ilid, and T Y, 210 Note that conversion preceder preaching and propaganda

K T, 1x, 149

Lodi and the error has been repeated by Sir W Haig (C H I in, 14), Professor M Habib (S M G, 23) and others despite the fact that it was fully exploded by directly long ago (Mihran, 325 note) "Lodi" is a miswriting of Lawi who, according to Mas'adi, was one of the ancestors of Diad (W Z, 234, 385) Mas adi is supported by many other authorities and they all agree that the rulers of Multan vere the descendants of one Usama bin Lawi bin Ghilib The Lodis were Afghans and according to Raverty, "there were no Lodis nor Lodi rulers in Multan at this time nor centuries afters ards' (See W G, 96, foot-note 5, and S I W H, 141)

into an alliance with the Raja of Bhatiya and attacking the Ghaznawid army on its returnmarch after the conquest of Bhatiya* Thus enraged, Sultan Mahmud set out from Ghaznīn in the spring of 1006 A C and launched his next expedition against the ruler of Multan The direct route to Multan being risky because it was not safe to cross the Indus lower down, the Sulian proposed to pass through Anandpal's territories and cross the river near Peshawar, but was opposed by the forces of the Raja After defeating the Raja and driving him into the hills of Kashmir, he resumed his march to Multan Not willing to court destruction in an open battle, Daud shut himself up in the fort and defended his position for a week, after which he sued for peace † Imposing a tribute of 20,000 golden dirhams per year on

^{*} Ferishta simply says that Dâud had annoyed Mahmud by his unbecoming behaviour $(T \ F, 1, 25)$

TAccording to 'Utbī, he (Dāūd) determined to load all his property on elephants and carry it off to Sarandīb, and he left Multān empty" (E & D, 11, 31). This is a most preposterous statement. It has given rise to many surmises and speculations, some of which are no less amazing Raverty identified Sarandīb with Kachh Bhuj (Mihrān, 325 note). Professor Hodivala suggests the name of "Debal-Sind" and says that it "would appear to be a more plausible restoration" (S I-M H, 141). Dr. M. Nīzim says that he (Dāūd) "fled to an island in the Indus" (M G, 97). In view of the fact that the garrison defended the fort for seven days (Z A, 67), the flight of Dāūd is improbable. Had he fled away, the garrison would have submitted without resistance.

Daud and exacting promises of future allegiance, good faith and abjuration of heretical beliefs, Mahmud marched back to Ghaznīn, leaving Sukhpāl, alias Nawāsa Shāh, in charge of the campaign against the outlying parts of Multān* He would have taken a decisive step against Daud and completed the subjugation of the entire province of Multān, but had to content himself with the terms stated above because hardly had he won victory when he received the news of the invasion of his homelands by llak Khān, the King of Kāshghar

Taking advantage of Mahmūd's troubles,

(5) Bhātiya

Nawāsa Shāh† apostatized and declared himself independent

Such a conduct on his part was bound to bring

^{*} Dr M Nāzim, on the authority of Guzīdah (T G, 397) says that Sukhpāl was left in "charge of the government of Multān" (M G, 98) and Sir W Haig says that he was appointed governor of Und (Waihind) but does not specify his authority (C H I, 111, 15) In view of the fact that a peace was concluded with Dāūd, it is wrong to say that Sukhpāl was entrusted with the government of Multān Haig seems to have followed Ferishta (T F, 1, 25) a little carelessly All that Ferishta says is that Sukhpāl was left in charge of the campaign against Bhatinda (Bhātiya)

[†] He was a grandson of Rāja Jaipāl, who had been left as a hostage with Subuktigīn and who is said to have been taken prisoner and converted to Islām by Abū 'Alī Sīmjūrī after the defeat of Mahmūd at Nishāpur (Z A, 69) He was called Nawās Shāh because he was the Nawāsa (grandson) of the Shāh (Jaipāl of the Hindūshāhiya Dynasty)

on him the usual punishment. The danger from Kāshghar being over, Mahmūd advanced at the head of his army early in the year 1008 A C and attacked him Nawāsa Shāh was defeated, dispossessed of 400,000 dirhams, which he had accumulated, and thrown into prison for the rest of his life *

Anandpāl, who had allied himself with

Dāūd, the ruler of Multān, and blocked the passage of the Muslim army through his territory, now sent ambassadors on all sides and invoked the assistance of the neighbouring princes of Hindustān for stemming the rising tide of the Muslim conquest Among those who readily responded to his request were the Rājas of Ujjain, Gwālior, Kālinjar, Kanauj, Delhi and Ajmer, all of whom Joined him in his invasion of the Ghaznawid Kingdom This was the first Hindū confederacy formed against Mahmūd and to overthrow it was no easy task † Trilochanpāl,‡ son of Anandpāl, was entrusted with the

^{*} Z A, 69, T Y, 223, and T F, 1, 26

Therishta alone is responsible for making a mention of this confederacy (T F, 1, 26). In the list of the confederates he includes the Rajas of Delhi and Ajmer as well, but it is contended that Delhi and Ajmer were not founded at that time. (See M G., 89, foot-note 3, and Iraian Antiquary, xxvi, 162)

Dr M, Nazīm, following 'Utbī (E & D n 33) calls him Brahmanpāl, which is an error (See S , H, 133)

command of the combined forces of the Rajas and directed to advance towards Peshawar. These forces were further swelled on the way by the enlistment of 'the wild and warlike' Galhars So great was the national excitement among the Hindus on this occasion that they spared nothing to destroy the neighbouring Muslim kingdom root and branch Those who were poor gave ample proof of their patriotism by contributing as much as they could from their meagre earnings Even their women are said to have sold their jewellery and gold ornaments in order to augment the resources of war On receiving the news of this Hindu invasion, Mahmud marched out on the 31st of December, 1008 A C, crossed the Indus and reached the plain opposite Waihind This time Mahinud observed that unlike before the Hindus had sunk their differences and pooled their resources for national defence. He was highly impressed by the devotion of the Hindus and their women When the battle began, the bare-headed and bare-footed Gakhars, armed with spears and swords, appeared on the scene, rushed into the thick of the fight and killed a large number of Muslims. The prudence and personal provess of the Sultan, coupled with a piece of good luck, turned the tables against the Hindus When the fury of the Hindu attack abated and the Hindus were exhausted, the Argus-eyed Mahmud sent his personal guards to sweep round and make a sudden attack on the rear of the Hindu army This was done

and, while effecting a partial change of front to repulse the attack, the Hindū ranks ran riot and in a state of confusion they took to flight Mahimūd won the day and a large amount of booty including thirty elephants, fell into his hands. The dispersal of the Hindū hordes opened the way for further penetration by revealing the weakness of the Indian military system.

Mahmudnext marched to the fort of Nagarkot. situated near Kangra on the sum-(7) Capture mit of a hill and surrounded by the of Nagarkot river Baganga The fort was a place of great sanctity, because it had a temple, which stood high in the estimation of the people and enjoyed a wide reputation as the repository of most of the wealth of the neighbouring Rajas Mahmud laid siege to it and the garrison surrendered it after three days "heroic defence ' spoils obtained were 'beyond the limit of calculation' Among other precious things, they included a vast amount of gold and silver ingot, costly apparel, a profusely decorated throne and a house of silver * Even after making considerable allowance for exaggeration, the fact cannot escape recognition that the spoils were colossal, so much so that envoys from different countries flocked to

F'Utbi has given a graphic description of this 'house of silver From it we can safely infer that it was a folding pavilion made of silver, chiefly meant for use in royal journeys and progresses. It was not a silver throne as 'Unsuri would have us believe (See S. I. M. H., 143)

Ghaznīn when that wealth was being displayed there Placing the fort in charge of his own officers, the Sultan returned to Ghaznīn in the middle of the year 1009 A C. After his departure Anandpal managed to re-establish his sway in the Salt Range, with Nandāna as his capital

In 1009 A C. Mahmud invaded India again This time he attacked Narayan or (7) Narāyan (7) Narāvan Narāyanpur* and inflicted a stunning defeat on its Rāja After capturing and plundering the town, he returned to his capital Some time later the agents of the Raja waited upon him with proposals of a permanent peace and their good wishes for his future prosperity According to the terms of the peace-treaty that was then concluded, the Raja offered to pay a yearly tribute and fifty elephants and to send 2,000 soldiers to serve in the Ghaznawid army Mahmud was pleased to accept these terms The peace is believed to have given a great encouragement to trade between India and Khurasan Politically, this expedition opened the way to the heart of Hindustan and the Sultan could now march through Narayanpur and attack the Rajas beyond

^{*}Cunningham identified it with Narayanpur in Alwar State (See his Ancient Geography, pp 338-44, and ASI, Vol 11, pp 242 47 and Vol vi, pp 91-93) Of all the contemporary chroniclers, 'Utbi alone has mentioned this expedition in his book. He does not give the date but places it between the expeditions to Bhimnagar (Nagarkot) and Ghur

Taking advantage of the invasion of Khurāsān by Ilak Khān and of Mahmūd's absence. Abul Fateh Dāūd, the ruler of Multān, renounced allegiance to the Sultān and declared his independence Mahmūd's next expedition was, therefore, directed against him It was undertaken in October, 1010 A C This time Dāūd was taken prisoner and sent to Ghaznīn and confined in the fort of Ghūrak Multān was completely subjugated and annexed to Ghaznīn After appointing a governor there, Mahmūd marched back to Ghaznīn

In 1012 A C Mahmud proposed to march from Ghaznīn with the object of capturing Thānesar, which was then one of the most famous shrines of India, renowned for the age-long riches accumulated in its vaults. On learning of his intention, Anandpālt sent his men to Ghaznīn to request Mahmud to take fifty elephants from him every year and impose an annual tribute on the people of that place

^{* &#}x27;Ghūrak or Ghorak (﴿,) is situated about fifty miles north-west of Qandhār' (M G, 99, n 3) In the Tārikh-i-Fcrishta (1, 27) it is written as ; It appears that has been left out by the scribe, for Ghūr was conquered a year later (1011 A C)

The Nazim calls him Trilochanpal, which is virong, because the Thanesar campaign was undertaken during the life of Anandpal (S. M. G., 32, n. 14 and T. F., 1, 27). Sir W. Haig erroneously calls him Jaipal II (C. H. I., 11, 17—18).

and spare it because it had an idol called Chakraswāmīn,* to which the Hindus were so devoutly attached The Sultan declined the offer and ordered the Raja to allow full and free passage to his forces through his territory The Raja could not but obey, but at the same time he warned the Raja of Thanesar about the impending danger. On receipt of this timely information the Raja of Thanesar sought and secured the support of the neighbouring princes. But before the clumsy machinery of the Indian confederacy could move, Mahmud marched through the Punjab, defeated on the way a Raja called Ram, who opposed his advance near the Sutley, and reached Thanesar At his approach. the Raja took to flight, leaving the Chakraswāmīn to take care of itself. The invader entered the town without encountering opposition, because it was found in an undefended position A vast hooty, together with a large number of captives and the idol Chakraswamin, was transported to Chaznin +

^{*} T Γ , 1, 27 Chakrāswānun means "The Lord of the Wheel" It was a bronze image of Vishnü, which held the weapon, called Chakra, in one of its hands (See Sachao, 1, 117, and Z A, 70)

[†] Utbi places the invasion of Thânesar after that of Nandana He does not give the date and therefore seems to have made a mistake Elliot follows him in the error to mislead many others, including Dr M Nāzim (M G, 91 and 103) and Sir W Haig (C H I, ii, 17) The expedition against Thânesar was undertaken during the lifetime of Anandpal and therefore the one against Nandana, which

Trilochanpal, the Raja of Nandana, t"was personally well inclined towards (10) Nandana. the Mussalmans, but he seems to have been a weak man and the direction of affairs came into the hands of his son, known to contemporaries as the 'Nidar' (Fearless) Bhīm, who stoutly reversed the policy of his grandfather and put an end to the Ghaznavid alliance"! In order to keep his way clear to India, Mahmud marched against Nandana in the autumn of 1013 A C but abandoned the expedition owing to a heavy snow-fall In the following spring (1014 A C) the Ghaznawids set out again, "ascending the hills like mountain-goats and descending them like torrents of water "On hearing of Mahmud's advance, Trilochanpal entrusted the defence of the fort to his son, Bhīmpāl, and himself retired to the Kashmir Pass, presumably with the object of enlisting the support of Sangramārāja, the ruler of Kashmīr On his arrival, Mahmūd laid siege to the fort and, after some days' futile fighting, succeeded in drawing out a detachment of Bhimpal into the plain and putting it to the

was directed against his son, could not have preceded it Gardizi (Z A, 70) places it in 1011—12 A C, which seems to be correct Ferishta adheres to the correct order (T F, 1, 27-28) I have followed Gardizi and Ferishta.

 $^{^{\}diamond}$ Sir W Haig (C H I, iii, 17) calls him Jaipāl II which is wrong

[†] It is called Nardin by 'Utbī and Nandunah by Baihaqī and Gardīzī It is situated in the Salt-Range

¹ See S M G, 34

sword On receipt of fresh reinforcements, Bhīmpāl appeared in the plain and attacked the invaders, but was beaten back. The Hindūs took to flight to find refuge in the fort of Nandana. The fort was besieged and the garrison sought safety in submission. After placing a garrison in Nandana, Mahmūd pushed on in pursuit of Trilochanpāl, defeated him in a valley to the north of Jhelum and received the submission of "numerous Rājas of the neighbourhood". Leaving Sārūgh as governor of Nandana and possessing himself of immense booty, Mahmūd returned to Ghaznīn

In 1015 A C the Sultan started from Ghaznīn at the head of his army and proposed to penetrate into Kashmīr, probably with the object of punishing Sangramārāja for helping Trilochanpāl He, however, failed to storm the fort of Lohkot * After reducing the neighbouring regions, he abandoned the enterprise and returned On his way back his soldiers lost their way, with the result that many of them perished in the extensive morasses that blocked their passage This expedition against Kashmīr was followed by another in 1021 A. C, but that too suffered the fate of its predecessor and the Sultān finally gave up the idea of conquering Kashmīr †

^{*} Kalhāna and Gardīzī call it Lohkot and Lohārkotta respectively Both these names mean 'The Iron Fort' It is identified with modern Loharīn (M. G., 104. f. n. 6) † Z. A., 79

The twelfth expedition was undertaken with a view to penetrate right into the (12) Baran interior of the Indian Continent In 1018 A C the Sultān set out at the head of a huge army, recruited chiefly from Central Asia Passing through the Punjāb, crossing the Jumna and capturing all the forts and fortified places, including Sirsāwa,* that blocked his passage, he reached Baran (modern Bulandshehr), whose governor, Hara Datta, tendered submission and embraced Islām along with his 10,000 men From there Mahmūd marched to Mahāban,† situated in the Muttra District (U P), whose chief, Kul Chand,‡ also submitted without offering any

^{*} For the origin and antiquity of Sirsāwa, see A S I, 1, 1, 1

Gardīzī calls it Mahāwan and 'Unsurī calls it Mahāwīn It is situated six miles east of Muttra on the left bank of the Jumna. (See S I-M H, 146)

Thul Chand is said to have been only a governor of the fort (C H I, 111, 19). This is incorrect 'Utbī's reference to him that he was "a Satanic leader, who had assumed superiority over all other rulers, defeated, put to flight everyone he had fought with and possessed a great army, numerous elephants and strong forts which were secure from attack and capture" militates against the theory that he was but a 'governor' and conclusively shows that he was one of the greatest and strongest rulers of his day—a conclusion which is also confirmed by the fact that in the battle he fought with Mahmūd as many as 50,000 of his soldiers were slain and not less than 150 of his elephants were captured after his defeat Ferishta (T F, 1, 29) calls him a Rāja. Professor Hodivala suggests that Kul Chand was none other than Kalla Chīd (See S I-M H, 146 47)



pure gold,* he proceeded towards Kanauj, a great citadel of Hindūstān, and appeared before its walls early in 1019 A C The descriptions of the grandeur of the city and of the splendour of its Court, as given by Hindū and Muslim chroniclers, stagger our belief It contained seven forts and ten thousand temples Its army consisted of eighty-thousand men in armour, thirty-thousand horsemen and five hundred thousand infantry Notwithstanding this overwhelming strength at his disposal, Rājyapāl's† heart melted after a short and feeble resistance and he sought safety in flight. Mahmūd entered the seven forts, and seized the wealth treasured there

After the capture of Kanauj Mahmud marched to Munj,‡ a strongly garrisoned fort, well-

^{*} Sir W Haig's estimate of the gold yielded by these idols is 548 lbs (CHI, iii, 19) This again is without authority 'Utbi informs us that it was 98,300 misqāls tc, 1010 lbs Avoirdupois (See SI-MH, 149)

[†] He is called Jai Chand by Sir W Haig (C H I, 111, 19) Professor Hodivala dismisses it as 'wrong' and calls him Rājyapāl He supports his contention by citing evidence from inscriptions etc. (S I-M H, 149) 'Utbī calls him Rājpāl

[‡] Elliot identified this place with Majhāwan, some ten miles south of Kānhpur or Cawnpore (E & D, 11, 458) Dr Vost was of opinion that it corresponded to Manaich, which is said to have been the old name of the modern town of Zafarābād, situated near Jaunpur (J R A S, 1905) His hypothesis is followed by Sir W Haig in The Cambridge History of India (Vol 111, p 20) Dr M Nāzim differs from them and, while stating that Mahmūd

left it to the mercy of the invader and gone into a forest with his army and treasure Mahmūd pursued him thither, defeated him and dispossessed him of his wealth. This was the crowning exploit of a most laborious campaign, after which Mahmūd returned to Ghaznīn with enormous wealth and la large number of captives.

The cowardly conduct of Rājyapāla, the Rāja of Kanauj. earned him the enmity of the neighbouring Rājas who formed a league against him with Ganda,* the Chandela Rāja of Kālinjar, as their head. The allied forces were put under the command of the Chandela crown-prince, Vidhyādhāra, and sent against Rājyapāl of Kanauj to punish him for his pusillanimity Rājyapāl was killed in battle and probably his son, Trilochanpāl,† was placed on the vacant throne Flushed with this victory, Ganda entered into an alliance with Trilochanpāl, son of Anandpāl, and promised to help him in winning back his ancestral kingdom from Sultān Mahmūd † On receipt of information about these events, Mahmūd made up his mind to march against the Chandela prince and his

^{*} Some historians call him Nanda, but Ganda is the correct name

⁷ He is said to have been a son of Rājvapāl (O $H\ I$, 383)

[‡] Z A, 76, and K T, 18, 218

accomplices and to teach them a lesson. In the autumn of 1019 A. C. he left. Ghaznīn with a arm determination to retaliate the assassination or his vassal, Rījyapāl, and to deal a death-blow to the league that had been formed against num and was now contemplating to overthrow the Gharnavid power in the Punjab On heuring of his approach, Trilochanpal of Lahore proceeded south to join forces with his names de of Kanauj Mahmud pursued him postna to and overtook him near the river Ruhut * The Raja managed to cross the river and sent a describment to prevent the enemy from crossing it Encouraged by their leader's promise of "a life of repose after that day of trouble", the Muslims plunged into the river and landed on the other side of it without the loss of a single life. Marshalling his forces in battle arriv. Mahmud inflicted a sharp defeat on his opponents and obtained enormous booty, including many elephants. The Raja, though wounded in the bittle, managed to escape and sought shelter with the Chandela Raja of Kalinjar. The turn of Ganda therefore came next. He was twaiting the invader with a huge army, consisting of 36,000 horse, 145,000 foot and 640 elephants. Ascending an eminence with a view to reconnoitre the position of the Hindu army, Manmud saw 'an imposing panorama of camps, pavilions and embankments and he regretted

The river Ramgings is known as Ruhut (Rahib) in its layer courses (I G I, xxi, 175)

having ventured so far 'In this hour of distress and disappointment he prostrated himself on the ground and sought divine aid, which never failed him In the evening the Muslims fought a successful engagement with a detachment of the Rāja and in the morning they were told that the Hindūs had deserted the camp Stricken with panic, the Rāja seems to have taken to flight in the guise of night All the valuables, which the Hindūs had left behind, were taken possession of and the Rāja was pursued to some distance, but he managed to make good his escape, though many of his men were captured or killed Thereafter, Mahmūd marched back to Ghaznīn On the way 580 elephants, belonging to Ganda, happened to fall into his hands*

The opposition of Trilochanpal, the Raja of
Lahore, to the forces of Sultan
Mahmud in the expedition against
Kalinjar furnished a good ground for invading his
kingdom. In 1021 A. C. Mahmud appeared in
India again and inflicted a crushing defeat on
Bhimpal who had succeeded his father, Trilochanpal, in the meantime. The Raja took shelter with
the ruler of Ajmer and died there in 1026 A. C. †

^{*} Z A, 77

[†] T Γ , 1, 31 Dr M Nāzim (N G, 96, n 1) does not seem to be justified in criticising Sir W Haigs statement that "he (Bhīmpāl) fled and sought an asylum with the Chauhān rāja of Ajmer" (C H I, 111, 22) He is, however, right in saying that Bhīmpāl succeeded to the diminished dominions of his father and died in 1026

With him the Hindushahiya Dynasty came to an end The results of this expedition were more enduring than those of others. The Punjab was cleared and annexed to the Ghaznawid Empire A regular Amīr was entrusted with the government of the province with his head-quarters at Lahore, and garrisons were stationed at important places

Next year Mahmud marched against Ganda who was still strong enough to defy (15) Gwalior the authority of the Sultan He and Kālınjar first laid siege to and stormed the 'impregnable' fort of Gwalior, and after receiving the submission of its chief, called Arjan, who was a feudatory of Ganda, he proceeded towards Kalinjar, the stronghold of the Chandela Raja, and surrounded it on all sides, so that all approaches to the fort were closed Finding himself in a perilous situation, Ganda purchased safety by offering submission without resistance Having obtained enormous amount of wealth. Mahmud concluded a peace-treaty with the Raja and went back to Ghaznīn, laden with riches Ferishta and Nizam-ud-Din Ahmad say that, in order to please the Sultan, the Raja composed a flattering verse in Hindi and sent it to his overlord who, in return, rewarded him with a robe of honour and conferred upon him

A C (M G, 95-96) In his presence, however insignificant his power might have been, a Muslim governor of the Punjab would not have been appointed

government of as many as fifteen fortresses *

The crowning achievement of Sultan Mahmud was indeed the sack of Somnath (16) Somnath in Kathiawar (1025-26 A C)† The shrine of Somnath‡ was the most celebrated, the most opulent and the most revered on the Continent of India "The wealth and importance of the shrine," says Sir W Haig "far exceeded those of any temple which he had yet attacked One thousand Brahmans daily attended the temple, three hundred and fifty of the unfortunate women whom the Hindus dedicate nominally to the service of their gods and actually to the appetites of their priests danced continually before the idol, which was a huge lingam or phallus These priests and attendants

^{*} Both these authorities seem to have drawn upon Gardizi (Z A, 80)

[†] Ibn-ul-Asīr (K T, 11, 241-42), Ibn Zafir (M G, 115), Sibt-ul-Jawzi (M G, 115), Alberūnī (Sachao, 11, 9 & 103), Gardīzī (Z A, 86-87), Nizām-ud-Dīn Ahmad (T A, 9) and Khwāndmīr (E & D 11, 180) place this expedition in the year 1025-26 A C While translating the relevant passage of K T in E & D, (Vol 11, p 469), Elliot has carelessly recorded 414 A H for 416 A H This is an 'inadvertent error,' which has misled all those who have drawn upon E & D Ferishta has recorded 415 A H as the year of this expedition (T F, 11, 32), but his evidence cannot overweigh that of the original authorities quoted above Mark the mistake repeated by Sir W Haig (C H I, 111, 23), M Elphinstone (H I, 334), and Sm ' H I, 193), who have blindly followed Ferishta and

I For its site etc , see M G , ?

were supported from the endowments of the temple, which are said to have consisted of the revenues of 10,000 villages, the idol was washed daily with water brought from the Ganges, 750 miles distant, and the jewels of the temple were famed throughout the length and breadth of India "* The object of Mahmūd in undertaking this arduous expedition is thus expressed by Allāma Kāmil Ibn-ul-Asīr —

"When Yamīn-ud-Daulah (Mahmūd) was gaining victories and demolishing temples in India, the Hindūs said that Somnāth (a Hindū god) was displeased with these idols and that if he had been satisfied with them, no one would have destroyed or injured them. When Yamīn-ud-Daulah heard this, he resolved upon making a campaign to destroy this idol, believing that when the Hindūs saw their prayers and imprecations to be false and futile they would embrace the faith "†

Allāma Ibn Khaldūn also assigns almost the same motive to the expedition against Somnāth He says —

"Before this whenever Sultan Mahmud stormed a fort or destroyed an idol, Hindus would say that Somnath was annoyed with it and therefore allowed it to be destroyed, otherwise he would have killed Mahmud much earlier

^{*} C H I, 111, 23 † K T, 12, 241

From some source Mahmud received this information and with a view to extirpate idolatry, to prove the helplessness of man-made gods and to belie the claims of the Hindus, he mobilized his forces against Somnath "*

Ferishta also strikes the same note † Sir W Haig follows these authorities and thus expresses Mahmūd's motive in The Cambridge History of India—

"The Brahmans attached to this famous shrine boasted that their master Shiva, the moon-lord, was the most powerful of all the gods and that it was only owing to his displeasure with other gods that the invader had been permitted to plunder and pollute their shrines. This provocative vaunt suggested to Mahmūd the destruction of the temple of Somnāth as the readiest means to a wholesale conversion of idolaters "t

In other words, the object underlying the expedition against Somnāth was to disillusion the Hindūs and thus bring them within the fold of Islām. How far Mahmūd was moved by religious and how far by politico-economic motives in invading India time and again is a matter of controversy which has been dealt with

^{*} I K, II, vii, 245,

T T F, 1, 32

[‡] C H I, 111, 23

in a separate chapter * It may, however, be noted here that the motive assigned by the aforesaid historians to the Somnāth expedition is unwarranted in-as-much as the object of the expedition, if it was really to effect a wholesale conversion of Hindūs, was not achieved, nor did Mahmūd try to achieve it even after a complete conquest of the place, though there is no doubt that this expedition, like others, was understood as a Jihād by the common people

On the 18th of October, 1025 A C, Mahmūd started from Ghaznīn and reached Multan on the 9th of the following November. There he took some rest and put his forces in order Leaving Multan on the 26th of the same month, he passed through the desert, stormed the strong fort of Lodorva,† that fell on his way, and reached Anhilwāra about the end of December, 1025 A C At his approach Bhīmdeva, the Rāja of Anhilwāra, fled to the fort of Kandahat,‡ situated in the neighbourhood Mahmūd took

^{*} See Chapter IV infra

[†] M G, 218

The situation of this fort, which is also written as Khandama, Khandabā, Kandana, Khandana, etc., has taxed the ingenuity of a number of scholars who have indentified it with Kanthkot in Vāgad (East Cutch), Gāndhavī on the Kāthiāwār coast, Gandhār to the north of the Dhadar river, Khandadhar to the north-east angle of Kāthiāwār, and Kanbāhat or Cambay, which bear some phonetic resemblance to it, but none except Kanbāhat (Cambay), which answers well to the description given of it by Ibn-ul-Asīr, seems to be correct (See S I-M. H. 187-88)

possession of the town and then resumed his march to Somnath Defeating an army of 20,000 Hindus at Mundher (or Mudhera) and overrunning Delvada near Una on his way, he took his stand before the very gates of the famous shrine on the 6th of January, 1026 A C † He invested the fortress, which was situated on the seashore and washed by the wayes. The commander of the fort took to flight and the garrison did not stir out to meet the invaders, believing that their god, "the mighty Someshwar," would completely annihilate the "impious assailants" The Hindus, who had climbed the ramparts to see the besiegers, shouted to the Musalmans that their god had drawn them to that place in order to destroy them at one blow for the idols they had broken in Hindustan On the morning of the 7th of January, which was Friday, the fight began and before the time of Juma' prayer the defenders were overthrown by a deadly fusiliade of arrows The Musalmans escaladed the walls of the fort and proclaimed their victory by chanting a call for Juma' prayer The Hindus then entered the temple, prayed fervently to the idol for their success and sallied forth for fighting. They delivered a most desperate attack on their enemy and dislodged them from their newly occupied position Next morning (Saturday) Mahmud renewed the attack with such vigour that the Hindus were driven back to the doors

^{*} For Mundher and Delvada, see M G, 215 ff

of the shrine, where a most terrible drama of bloodshed was enacted, so that 'few were left alive' Meanwhile, the neighbouring princes hastened to the rescue of the shrine and on the morning of the following day Mahmud found his camp surrounded by hostile forces, which were constantly strengthened by fresh reinforcements An irretrievable disaster seemed to be in store for Musalmans and Mahmud's position was extremely critical As usual, the Sultan bowed down before Allah in all humility quite as fervently as the Hindus knelt before the archidol, invoking assistance Then rising up and springing into his saddle, as if inspired, he cheered up his soldiers and exhorted them to action with the usual battle-cry of Allah o Akbar, The exhortation had the desired effect, for the Muslims made a most desperate attack, broke through the ranks of the Hindu army and threw it into confusion. This victory decided the fate of Somnath, for thereafter the panic-stricken garrison offered no resistance Mahmud entered the temple to reap the fruits of his victory Never perhaps were his labours more materially rewarded The idol of Somnath was broken and the temple was divested of its wealth. After a stay of about fifteen days, Mahmud left for Ghaznīn The Rājas of Rājpūtāna, who had been taken unawares by Mahmud's march through their country, were now advancing under

^{*} For the legend relating to the breaking of this idol by Mahmud, vide Chapter VI

Virama or Viryarama Deva* of Sambhar to block his passage Avoiding conflict with them, as he did not wish to risk the spoils he had with him, he took a more westerly route and wished to reach Multan through the desert of Sind He marched northwards till he reached a shallow arm of the sea running between Cutch and Kathiawar With the aid of native guides, who pointed out the passage to him, he led his forces across the channel This heroic move on his part struck terror into the heart of the Raja of Anhilwara who had fled to the fort of Kandahat at the approach of the Muslim army The Raja again fled from the fortress in mean disguise leaving it at the mercy of the invader who occupied it and captured a number of prisoners After that Mahmud resumed his return-march, and proceeded across Cutch It was there that he was led astrav by a Hindū devotee of Somnath who had offered to act as his guide Extricating himself from this perilous situation, he safely crossed over to Sind and then marched to Mansura, whose rulert took to flight While marching along the Indus towards Multan, Mahmud and his followers

^{*} Dr M Nāzim (N G, 119) calls him Parmadeva of Abū, which is a mistake (See S I-N H, 237) Sir W Haig (C H I, 111, 25) has cautiously omitted his name and merely stated that he was the Rāja of Sambhar Ferishta (T F, 1, 34) states that he was the Rāja of Ajmer, but Ajmer was not founded till then

T Farrukhi calls him Khafif He was a Carmathian (See M G, 120)

suffered much at the hands of the Jats who inhabited that part of the country. It was with great difficulty that the Sultan reached Ghaznin on the 2nd of April, 1026 A. C.

"The expedition to Somnath," says Dr M Nazim, "is one of the greatest feats of military adventure in the history of Islam. The news of this victory sent a wave of joy all over the Muslim world, and the Caliph heaped titles and honours on the Sultan, his sons and his brother." Like many other heroes, Sultan Mahmud became transformed into an almost mythical figure and generations of enthusiastic authors surrounded his name with a huge literature of fanciful stories which were intended to glorify him as a king and a warrior. The idol of Somnath itself perished but it immortalized the name of Sultan Mahmud."

The last of Mahmud's Indian expeditions

^{*}On his return from Somnath the Sultan received complimentary and congratulatory letters from Al-Qadir Billah, the Khalifa of Baghdad, conferring upon him the title of Kalif-iid-Daulah wal Islam and upon his sons, Mas'ad and Amir Muhammad, those of Shahab-iid-Daulah wa Jamal-iil-Millah and Jalal iid-Daulah wa Jamal-iil Millah respectively and upon his brother, Yusuf, that of Azud-iid-Daulah wa Mu'ayyid-iil-Millah, as well as recognising the Sultan as the ruler of Khurasan, Hindustan Sistan, Nimruz and Khwarizm, and empowering him to nominate his successor

[†] For some of these stories, see Chapter VI Also see 'Awfi's Jawāmi ul Hikāyāt, Attār's Kulliyāt, Senāl's Hadigali, etc

I M G, 120-21

was directed against the Jats* who had wantonly molested his army on its return from Somnath It was undertaken in the spring of 1027 A C

At the approach of the invader, the Jats took refuge in an island, enclosed by the smaller channels of the Indus, which could not be waded across and from where they could escape from one island to another in the hour of need Mahmud was quite on guard against this expediency and had therefore prepared a fleet of 1,400 boats, each of which was armed with three ironspikes—one in front and one on either side Each of these boats carried twenty men armed with bows, arrows, hand-grenades and naptha-balls This fleet was launched on the Indus against the Jats, who too had made ample preparations and brought a flotilla of 4000† boats, properly manned and equipped When, however, the action began, it is stated, the boats of the lats were broken and capsized by the ironspikes with which the boats of their opponents were provided ! The victors then landed on the islands, where the Jats had kept their families for safety, and captured a large booty.

^{*} Alberuni informs us that the Jāts were the worshippers of linga or phallus (Sachao, 11, 104) They were not the inhabitants of the Salt Range in the Punjāb, as alleged by some They were the Bhatis of Bhatner who had migrated to Sind (Burgess A S W I, 11, 193)

[†]According to another account, their number was 8000, which seems to be an exaggeration

[‡] How this could be possible puts a strain on our credulity

CHAPTER IV

SULTAN MAHMUD THE GREAT-III

MOTIVES AND RESULTS OF INDIAN INVASIONS

What were the aims and objects of Sultan Mahmūd in leading so many expeditions to India and what were the results and effects of those expeditions? This is a question which has been asked and answered too often and while answering which historians have expressed different views, almost all of which are, however, based on a superficial study of Sultan Mahmūd's life and character and of the history of his times. We shall deal first with his motives and then with the results of his invasions of India in order to show how far the motives are justified by the results

As regards the motives, there are at present three distinctive schools of thought According to one school, Mahmud was a raider-in-chief who, in order to satisfy his greed for gold, "came, burnt, killed, plundered, captured and went" According to another school, he was "one of the greatest champions of Islam," whose principal aim in invading India was to extirpate idolatry and to propagate Islam at the point of the sword. The third school simply sidetracks by saying that "Mahmud's character was complex" and it is nothing short of a wild goose chase to try

to ascertain the inspiring motive. The task is not so difficult as it seems to be at first sight, but in order to arrive at correct conclusions it is necessary to dive deep into the history of his reign and to find out the factors that were directly responsible for his invasions of India. For the sake of clarity and convenience these factors may be studied under the following heads, viz, (1) Political, (2) Economic, and (3) Religious

Among the political factors that were responsible for Mahmūd's wars in India the following will be found to be the most conspicuous. The first was the non-fulfilment or rather deliberate violation of the terms of the peace-treaty solemnly concluded by Rāja Jaipāl of Lāhore with Amīr Subuktigīn of Ghaznīn after suffering a crushing defeat in a battle in which he himself was the 'aggressor'*. The second was the invasion of Ghaznīn by Jaipāl with a view to win back what Subuktigīn had conquered from him †

^{*} In the words of Dr Ishwara Topa "The first campaign against India was undertaken in order to effect the recognition of political obligations and to enforce the political supremacy with a view to raising the status and prestige of the Ghaznavide power in the eves of the Indian Rāja." (Politics in Pre-Mughal Times, 33)

T See supra, pp 50-51 In view of Rāja Jaipāl's previous conduct and breach of faith, vic, I have absolutely no hesitation to believe the statement in the Majma-ul-Ansāb, in which he is shown as the aggressor Since

The third was the breach of faith on the part of Indian Rajas who, after entering into alliance with the Sultan, betrayed him It will be remembered that at times Mahmud contracted friendly relations with Indian Rajas, binding them under political obligations' When these 'obligations' were cast to the corner or broken, he declared war against them and punished them for their betrayal The fourth was the renouncing of allegiance to the Sultan and the withholding of tributes promised to him by Indian Rājas When defeated, Indian Rājas owed allegiance to him and agreed to pay him tribute annually When the promised tribute was withheld and allegiance renounced, Mahmud marched against them to punish them for their insubordination and to maintain his own political supremacy The fifth was political betrayal in the form of help given to the enemies of the Sultan Often Mahmud's Hindu allies colluded with his enemies and plotted to overthrow him in league with them This was no less responsible

his defeat by Subuktigin for the second time he must have been anxious to retrieve his reputation and to wipe off the stigma of repeated reverses and humiliation. The death of Amir Subuktigin supplied him with the long-sought-for opportunity and he must have invaded Ghaznin to achieve his object—to overthrow the Ghaznawid power and thus maintain his dignity among the Hindū Rājas and his own subjects. His son and successor, Anandpāl, also enacted the same role soon after his accession. He formed a formidable confederacy for the invasion of Ghaznin and tried to do what his father could not. See supra, pp. 59 ff

for spurring him to take drastic actions against them and to pounce upon their States. The sixth was the molestation of the Sultan's Indian allies by their hostile neighbours. Whenever any Hindū Rājas turned against his allies and attacked them with a view to deprive them of their political power for the simple reason that they owed allegiance to the Ghaznawid Sultān, he had to come to their aid because regard for political obligations and respect for pledges given compelled him to do so? The seventh was the rebellion of his Indian vassals. Defiance of his authority in India by the Rājas of Indian States, who acknowledged his suzerainty, also led him to invade India for suppressing their rebellions and re-establishing his authority.*

The economic factors were quite as strong and stimulating as political. The importance of wealth as a means of attaining political power and retaining it is too evident to be dealt with in detail. Suffice it to say that Mahmūd understood the importance and appreciated the miraculous powers of wealth. He knew that it was the very source of political strength and stability and was therefore keen on possessing as much of it as possible. India's fabulous wealth must have stirred his soul and stimulated his ambitions and

^{*} These causes have been brought out at their places m Chapter III and here they are just referred to in order to facilitate the discussion

THE GHAZNAWIDS influenced his course of action. The unbroken brought in their series of victories won in India brought in their train a regular downpour Ghaznawid Treasury mensely augmented the Graznawid Treasury mensery augmented the Guardawid for the The Wealth thus acquired was used for the cultural as well as political advancement of the State, and this was mainly responsible for the glory that was Ghaznin and the might that was Mahmud It had no mean share in establishing manning it has hingdom and his superiority his authority in his kingdom and his superiority over the kings of the Islamic world in general and of the Indian world in particular It enand of the reputation of Ghaznin all over the world as a centre of power, as a source of light and as a seat of learning and culture The wealth in his possession must have, moreover, served as a sure bait for the mercenaries who, served as a sure pair for the mercenaries who, were given enough of it as unless and until they services, would not have a reward for their services, accompanied him to his distant expeditions finance his schemes successfully, he stood in need of money and India was a veritable El Dorado *

Before dealing with the religious factors which might have served as causes of the Ghaznawid invasions of India, it is necessary to know the tone of Muslim religious life in Mahmud's times, he was, after all, a product of his own environ-Religious, ments and his actions must have been influenced ments and me actions must have been inquenced A by the ethical standards then in vogue A

^{*} Hence the need and not the greed for gold lay at the root of his Indian invasions

reference, however brief, to the Quranic conception of State is quite apropos of the subject. The method of government contemplated by the Qur-ān and recommended by the Prophet is theocracy, e, a system of social and political control founded on divine laws and sanctions, reflecting the will of God in all its forms and phases, motives and movements The idea is to Islamize life in accordance with the laws of the Qur-an and to level down all differences and diversities of rank and race and to remove all inequalities, social as well as political. The ideal put before the Islamic State was to I slamize the people without injuring the religious susceptibilities of the Zimmis (non-Muslims) The Prophet of Islam founded a theocratic State and gave a conclusive proof of its practicability Not long after him, however, reactions set in throughout the Islamic world and 'shifted the Islamic political ideal from its fulcrum to an ever-changing political gravitation'. So great in fact was the change that came over the Islamic world that even the sponsors and stalwarts of Islamic principles and practices lost respect for them and cared little to safeguard or promote the interests of Islām With the conquest of Persia and the flow of wealth the theocratic form of government made room for a secular State The Shariyat ceased to influence politics On the other hand, it was influenced by political considerations. With the rise of the Ommayyades to power and the transfer of the seat of government to Damascus,

the change became more pronounced Amir Muawiya resorted to all sorts of means for winawiya resorted to all sorts of means the Under him the his political aggrandizement State was completely secularized and the integrated of Talam was allowed to the talam the talam to talam . · rests of Islam were relegated to the background rests or islam were relegated to the unique sacrifice of Hussain made, at the desert of Karbala, the fate of Islam would at the desert of Narvala, the rate of Islam, Nound Islam, have been sealed * Musalmans not 1.... have been sealed * Musalmans not Islam, had drift as erroneously supposed by some, had drift as erroneously supposed by some, had drift as erroneously supposed by some, had drift as erroneously supposed by the Aposted afar from the high action by the Aposted afar translated into action by the Aposted afar and translated into action ambitious Islam and translated into of religion ambitious the Under the Cover their political interests the leaders easily advanced their political huilders. They were as a matter of fact makers huilders. leaders easily advanced their political interests builders.

They were, as a matter of fact, makers, builders I ney were, as a matter of fact, makers, bunders and not preachers, and founders of States of the Faith, propagators or defenders of the Faith, propagators or defenders. propagators or defenders of the Faith, though propagators or defenders of the Faith, assed for the possed to be and easily passed for they often possed to be and easily passed for may perhaps be justified persons but not Islamically. Quite in such persons but not Islamically. Quite in the product of his environments. he also the product of his environments, he also was the product of his spirit of the age, he cloak keeping with the spirit of the age, he cloak keeping with the spirit of the age. The political interests under the advanced his political informs us that soon after advanced his political informs us that soon are the product of religion to the product of the advanced his political informs us that soon are the product of religion to the product of the of religion | Delicion informs us that soon after

by Ibn Asir Swaig-1-Muhriga, History of the Saraceus by Arabs by Osborn, and A Short History of Arabs by Anir 'Ali, 95
Amir 'Ali, 95

[†] Clever leaders too often hired the meaning of the Ulama who turned and twisted the meaning of the Ulama of corrupt Ulama who turned and twisted the meaning of some verses of the Holy Our an and interpreted them Some verses of the Holy Qur an and interpreted them so as to serve their ends, and to those who were always out to find or force moral and relimine out to find or forge moral and religious support for their

this recognition as an independent ruler by the Johnlifn of Balhaaa Mahmud made it obligafory on himself to javide India every verr. Modern writers have put a new interpretation on this statement and tried to ascribe religious, motivation to what were admittedly political exploits Their interpretation is not supported or substantrated by historical evidence. The pledge given to the Ishaifin was not the only 'leitmotif in his invasions of India It would perhaps be more proper to say that underlying the piedge were political motives and the cries of 'holy wars' ele were raised to sur up the soldiers to action and to ensure further recruitments. The resolution was made with a view "to please the Ishalifa wish whom he wished to cultivate most cordial and joyal relations in order to cover the illegitimate revolutionary origin of his dynasty, which was still fresh in the memory of the men of time he maintained the most loyal relations with the spiritual head of Islam, the Khalif of Baghead, Alkadar (381-422 A H), who had clad the usurpation of his family with the mantle of legitimacy and in order to please him he hunted down the heretics in his realm in Khurasan and in Multan, impaling or stoning

introns, such verses of the sacred text as Obey Allah, when the Apostle and obey those in authority from amory son (S 1, V 59) and "Do Jihād against the un believers (5 1x, V 73) were full of possibilities of ingenious interpretations. They fully exploited these verses for gaining their ends. (See my book on Some Cultural Aspects of Muslim Rule in India, pp. 13, 15 18).

them He tried to rid the Khalif of the real or suspected votaries of his opponent, the Anti-Khalif in Egypt, the famous Hakim, " Politically, the Khilafat had become bankrupt and the Khalifa an almost nonentity, but the institution still commanded the respect of the Muslim world and the Khalifa was still 'a useful ally for a warrior who was burning with the desire for expansion, Political considerations and not religious sentiments were at the root of Mahmud's religious sentiments were at the root of Manmuds loyalty to the Khalifa. He obeyed the Khalifa and persecuted the Carmathians and other non-Sunnis, against whom the latter had declared a war, because he wished to win through him the good-will of the Muslim world for strengthening the foundations of his kingdom! In appreciation of his services, the Khalifa heaped upon him and his sons honours and titles which immensely enhanced his prestige in the Muslim world Gradually, however, as his might and means increased and his name was surrounded by a halo of glory, even this outward respect for the moribund institution was grudged t We hear of at least three great ruptures in his relations with the Khalifa when he decided to shake off the supremacy of that nominal power

^{*} Sachao's Albertini. Also see M G, 160-61

[†] According to Dr M Nazim, "The Sultan became (
less obsequious towards him (Khalifa) and sometimes months passed before Baghdad was officially informed of his victories" (M G, 165) This change of attitude is evident from the letters addressed by him to the Khalifa and preserved in the Tajarib, iii, 341-44

for the first time when the Khalīfa refused to give him Samarqand and he threatened him with the invasion of his capital (Baghdād) with one thousand elephants so as to lay it waste and carry its earth to his own capital (Ghaznīn) on their backs, for the second time when Abū'Alī Hasan (Hasanak) received a robe of honour from al-Zāhir, the Fātimid Khalīfa of Cairo, from al-Zāhir, the Fātimid Khalīfa of Cairo, on his return from a pilgrimage to Mecca and the Khalīfa of Baghdād sent a strongly worded letter to the Sultān, directing him to order the immediate execution of Hasanak on the charge of subscribing to the Carmāthian creed,† and for the third time when he left his son, Mas'ūd, at Raiy with instructions to conquer Isfahān and 'release the Caliph from the bondage of the Buwaihids' with a view 'to bring the Caliph under his sway ‡ On the first occasion he disarmed the opposition of the Khalīfa only by making profuse apologies and adopting a reverential attitude towards him. On the second occasion he appeased the Khalīfa by second occasion he appeased the Khalifa by sending Hasanak's robe of honour to Baghdad, where it was burnt to ashes in a public square. On the third occasion his death upset his plans and schemes. From all that has been said it is clear that he had scant courtesy for the Khalifa, though he did not want to offend the power which had strengthened his position

^{*} T F, 1, 28 and T H, 1, 277

 $[\]overline{T}$ T M, 211-212, K T, \overline{T} , \overline{T} , and \overline{T} G, 165

I T M, 83 and 359

morally and which, even in its hour of weakness, was strong enough to shake and shatter the moral

foundations of his empire

Now that we have acquainted ourselves with the currents and cross-currents of politicoreligious history of Mahmud's times, it has become easy for us to proceed with our inquiry become easy for us to proceed with our inquiry so many expeditions against India against many expeditions against light against many expeditions against light against many expeditions against many many expeditions against mula but proper proper to answer the following questions

(1) Were Mahmud's invasions of India really Jihads? (2) Was propagation of Islam in India his Jingas' (2) vyas propagation of idolatry his chief aim? (3) Was extirpation of idolatry the main object? (4) Was territorial annexation

Were Mahmud's invasions of India Jihads?— In order to answer this question inspiring motive? Were Indian Correctly, it is necessary to know The word Invasions What Jihad really means which the Jihad is a derivative, of which the Jihad is a derivative, of which means nower exerminately. root is Jalid or Juhd, which means power, exerroot is Jana or Juna, which means power, exertion of struggle The Our-anic conception of tion or struggle tion or struggle The Qur-anic conception of the Julian is contained in verse 20 of Sura ix of the Those who believe, and "Those who believe, and our-an, which reads with might and main, in suffer exile and strive with might and their conception of the grant surface with might and their conception of the grant surface with might and their conception of the grant surface with might and their conception of the grant surface with might and their conception of the grant surface with God's cause with their goods and their persons, have the highest rank in the sight of God

^{*} See M G, 160 61

They are the people who will achieve (salvation) "* Allāma Abdullah Yūsuf Alī has beautifully summed up the meaning of this verse in the following words "Here is a good description of Jihād It may require fighting in God's cause, as a form of self-sacrifice But its essence consists in (1) a true and sincere Faith, which so fixes its gaze on God, that all selfish and worldly motives seem paltry and fade away, and (2) an earnest and ceaseless activity, involving the sacrifice (if need be) of life, person or property, in the service of God Mere brutal fighting is opposed to the whole spirit of Jihād, while the sincere scholar's pen or preacher's voice or wealthy man's contribution may be the most valuable forms of

^{*} This is what the Qur an enjoins Hostile critics of Islam have taken some stray verses from the Qur-an and forced on them interpretations which the text and the trend of the text do not at all bear. For instance, the so called Sūrat us-Sarf or "the Sūrat of the Sword is taken out from the text and interpreted to mean 'war against unbelief, and argued that Islam enjoins the use of the sword for the spread of the faith Now if this verse is read with the text that precedes it, the correct/meaning becomes quite clear It then means war against aggres sive and perfidious unbelievers and not against all Going further, it is ordered that war should cease as soon as the opponents sue for peace Why to enter into a peace hefore the opponents are done to death or converted to Islam? This militates against the theory of force and conclusively proves that fighting is recommended in Islam only for the preservation of peace. The correctness of this interpretation is warranted by the Qur-anic injuctions "Let there be no compulsion in religion", and "Commit no excesses", and by the life of the Prophet and his

70

Looked at in the light of the Holy Our-an, Mahmūd's expeditions against India cannot be called Jihads From their very character it appears that their were secular explores and ter it appears that they were secular exploits and not religious wars waged for the cause of or in the service of Cod+ Jihād" or in the service of God † Mahmud's armies or in the service of God | Wanmud s armies were composed of professional soldiers and mervere composed of professional solutions and not cenaries, of various denominations Myahads or holy warriors, War was the prewalling passion or rather the fashion of the day, vaiming passion of the age, not the sanction of the and the spirit of the age, not the sanction. Our-an, was behind them Incidentally, it may be pointed out here that Mahmud was not an Amir pointed out here that manning was not a State as Islam would have it and his was not a State

attitude towards the Ziminis, which throw a flood of light on attitude towards the Zimmis, which throw a flood of light on the real significance of Jihad Jihad against the unbelievers the real significance of Jihad against the unbelievers the real significance of Jihad against the unbelievers the real significance of Jihad against the unbelievers and the hypocrites. rropnet is ordered to do Jinda against the unpellevers and the hypocrites, And what were the weapons employed and the hypocrites... And what were the weapons employed by him for that Jihad—kindness, generosity, forgiveness, which was a second and what not the second and the second an by nim for that Jimae—kindness, generosity, torgiveness, with teems with charity, peace, respect and what not their desired the court and their desired to the court and the cou charity, peace, respect and what not they drive us to the instances to illustrate this point and they bloodehed for the instances to inustrate this point and they drive us to the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that the concl conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodsned for the spread of the faith See Al-Qur an, 11, 190-93, 6, 12, 13, 243-51, 256, 10, 74, 76, 84, 170; viii, 39, 65, 10, 5, 6, 12, 13, 16, 20, 72, 73, 123 243-51, 250, 1V, 14 10, 84, 110; viii, 54, 05, 14, 20, 16, 20, 29, 73, 123, xii, 39, 41, and vivii, 4, 20

* The Holy Qur an (translated by A Y, Ali), P. 444,

[†] It may be noted here that Islamic wars are always note 1270

defensive and in the service of God, Islam is always at detensive and in the service of God Islâm is always at war against Evil, but as a religion of peace it recommends war against Evil, but as a religion of peace it recommends the peaceful methods for fighting it out peaceful methods for fighting it out line use of the sword is permitted in exceptional circumstances, e.g., when sword is permitted in exceptional circumstances, eg, when and liberty of Muslims and their honour and the life and liberty of Muslims and their honour and the life are in denger religion are in danger

contemplated by the Qur-ān He was an absolute monarch and his government was far from theocracy Before launching a campaign against idolatry or Jihād against the infidels, he ought to have Islāmized his own State and acted in accordance with the principles of Islām in the matter of succession to the throne and other important affairs, if he was a true and sincere champion of Islām, as he is supposed to have been

Like a good Muslim, who is always expected to be an active missionary of his Propagation religion, Mahmud might have felt of Islam? delighted in the spread of his faith, but that does not seem to have been the only object underlying his Indian expeditions was more of a conqueror than a fanatical propagandist, or a pious missionary To say that he invaded India time and again for the spread of his religion is historically wrong and psychologically untrue In the first place, there is no scrap of evidence in the contemporary chronicles to show that he ever won converts, whether by force or by peaceful means * We do come across one or two instances when he is said to have appointed teachers and preachers for the instruction of new converts and Hindus in the rudiments of Islam † Whether this was done in response to

^{*} It is a different thing if a Hindu Raja and his followers embraced Islam to escape defeat and destruction.

[†] Z A, 72 and 78-79

the dictates of his conscience or out of political motives-, for he had to please and pacify those whom he had brought to India on the pretext of Jihāds or 'holy wars'—, may remain a matter of moot, but it is abundantly clear that nothing useful was accomplished by him so far as the spread of Islam in India was concerned "Some rajas are said to have embraced Islam," says Dr M Nazım, "but they did so most probably. as a political shift to escape the fury of the conqueror and returned to their faith as soon as he had turned his back on them."* This was obviously because the Hindus were not yet told and taught what Islam was and what it stood for "The period of Sultan Mahmud," says the same scholar, "was essentially a period of conquest" and "the time was not yet ripe for missionary work which required settled government "t The policy of conquest and consolidation, it may be observed, was not followed and no attempt was made to establish a settled government in India, otherwise one province, permanently occupied, would have done far more for conversion than all his invasions together The whole of Mahmud's time in India was spent in waging wars and amassing wealth and he had little leisure to devote to the sacred cause Consequently; he is not entitled to the 'credit' which he has received from his mis-informed admirers for the alleged propagation of

^{*} M G, 162

¹ Ibid, 162

Islām in India * Secondly, Islām was not presented to the Hindūs in the manner prescribed by the Prophet In matters of faith it is the method of presentation that matters much and no religion can score success, such as Islām has done, if it is conveyed through the agency of wars and bloodshed † The Prophet of Islām did not

Eignorant fanatics who extol Mahmud for propagating Islām in India at the point of the sword, which he never did, do him more harm than good and indirectly bring discredit to Islām which enjoins universal toleration and positively forbids the use of force in effecting conversions

This' point requires some elucidation Propagation of Islam in India was not the inspiring motive of Mahmud's invasions of India, but there is absolutely no doubt that his Indian expeditions were given out to be and understood as 'Jihads' or holy wars, undertaken for the extirpation of idolatry and the propagation of Islam in India. It is in this sense that Professor Muhammad Habib (S V G, 81) has remarked that "the career of the conquering Ghaznavide created a burning hatred for the new faith in the Hindū mind" because it was presented "in the guise of plundering armies" Dr M Nāzim has misunderstood this remark and therefore criticised it his opinion "The Hindus rejected Islam as their national religion because of the fundamental and irreconcilable differences between Islām and Hindūism" The learned Doctor has tried to substantiate his point by saying that "Islam, with its definite articles of faith, could not appeal to the average Hinda to whom religion had never meant any specified set of doctrines" He is sadly mistaken. In my opinion Islam is nothing if not natural and conducive to human welfare-spiritual as well as secular. It was nothing if it failed to appeal to the down-trodden Hindus - Hindus who had been subjected to grave inequalities and who had become fed up with the lot assigned to them by their

preach his faith by waging wars and leading expeditions against the infidels Mahmūd, whose knowledge of Islāmic theology was undisputed, cannot be said to have been ignorant of such injunctions of the Qur-ān as "Lā ikrāha fid dīn" (Let there be no compulsion in religion) His religious policy was based on toleration and "he is not said to have forced any Hindū to abjure his religion or to have put any person to death for the sake of conscience" Referring to his religious policy, M Elphinstone tells us that "it is nowhere asserted that he ever put

religion A large majority of the Hindus were in need of a shelter and no religion could provide a better shelter for them than Islam Their minds were quite open to receive the truth Presented in its proper form and propagated in the manner prescribed by the Prophet, Islam was sure to reap a rich harvest. The subsequent history of Islam in India bears eloquent testimony to this hard historical fact The only difficulty in its way was the opposition of the Brahmans who had grown fat at the expense of lowcaste Hindus, such as Shudras, because Islam-with its democratic principles of equality and brotherhood-would have dealt a death-blow to their supremacy, which they so jealously guarded But with the majority of the Hindus in its favour, it was not difficult to overcome their opposition. The fundamental and irreconcilable differences between Islām and Hindūism," referred to by Dr M Nazim, ought to have facilitated rather than retarded the work of conversion in India, for if Islam and Hinduism were alike, there was no need for change and conversion would have been out of question,

* P P-M T, 45 "Though zealous for Islâm," says Sir W Haig, "he maintained a large body of Hindu troops, and there is no reason to believe that conversion was a condition of their service" (C H I, 111, 27)

a Hindu to death except in battle or in the storm of a fort "* Under him the Hindus enjoyed perfect religious freedom. They were entrusted with highly responsible posts, and religion was not a condition of their service. The names of Tilak, Rāi Hindū, Hajrāi and Sonī stand out preeminently in the military history of Ghaznīn † Mahmud was a conqueror and he drew no hard and fast lines between Hindus and Muslims in his schemes of conquest. Of his Indian expeditions at least two were led against the Muslims for much the same reasons as against the Hindū Rajas, and almost all his Central Asian expeditions were led against Muslims "If he harassed the Hindu rajas of India," says Dr M Nazim, "he did not spare the Muslim sovereigns of Iran and Transoviana The drama of plunder and bloodshed that was enacted in the sacred Ganges Doab was repeated with no less virulence on the slopes of the Mount Damawand and the banks of the river Oaus Religious considerations rarely weighed with a conqueror and the Sultan does not appear to have been influenced by them in his schemes of conquest". His transactions with Hindu Rajas were guided entirely by policy, without reference to religion, and he cannot be blamed or praised for what he never did nor attempted to do Was then, it may well be asked now, propagation of Islam his object in leading

^r H I, 293

⁷ P P-11 T , 46

IM G, 163

ţ

his expeditions against India? Emphatically not. Like other kings, who preceded and followed him, Mahmud did not concern himself with the work of conversion—that was left to saints and work or conversion—that was for to a Muln-ud-savants like Sayyad 'Ali Hujveri and Muln-ud-Din Chishti, for his own hands were too full of political pre-occupations to leave him leisure to political pre-occupations to leave minimal leisure to historian his attention to that side No honest historian can conceal the truth and no Muslim, torian can conceal the truth and no management. torian can concear the truth and no Mahmud's acquainted with his faith, can Justify Mahmud's expeditions from religious point of view and call them Jihads Far from enjoining or recommende ing, Islam which means peace, which preaches peace and which stands for universal peace, peace, peace, does not even countenance but positively forbids the use of force for the spread of the faith and no principle known to the Shariyat enjoins, recommends, Justifies or countenances systematic spolation of temples and places of worship for the acquisition of wealth

Mahmud launched no organized effort to destroy idolatry in India He attacked shrines, raised temples to the ground and broke idols to pieces* not because it was meritorious from religious, Extirpation of idolatry?

^{*} It may be noted here that in those times the Musalmans (or rather new converts to Islam) had become so fed up with idolatry and carried such a deep seated presented up and carried such a deep seated presented up with idolatry and carried such a deep seated presented up with idolatry and carried such a seated presented up the seated presented in the seated presented up the seated red up with luciatry and carried such a deep seated pie-ludice against it that soon after their conversion they Judice against it that soon after their conversion they made it a point to destroy it root and branch. If, therefore, made it a point to destroy it root and branch probably Mahmud broke idols at some places, it was most probably are corder to place his followers. Manmud Dione lucis at some places, it was most probably His expeditions were in order to please his followers

point of view, but because they were fortified places, containing treasures of India's fabulous wealth. No temples, other than those of the kind described above, were touched, and once peace was concluded everything was safe and toleration in the widest sense of the word was granted to all and sundry. While referring to "the mundane motives" of Mahmūd's Indian invasions, Dr. Ishwara Topa says. "It may also be observed that the temples of India which Mahmūd raided were storehouses of enormous and untold wealth and also some of these were political centres. The temples were, in fact broken during the campaigns for reasons other than religious, but in times of peace Mahmūd never demolished a single temple.* If the destruction of temples and

understood as Jilāds (holy wars or wars against unbelief) and hence the historians of that age have also referred to them as such and given full yent to their own religious vanity. Mark the change in the conception of Iilād

^{*} Politics in Pre-Mughal Times, pp 46-47. Dr M Nazim's remarks in this connection are equally interesting He says. "The critics who accuse the Sultan of wanton bloodshed and reckless spoliation of Hinda temples, forget that these so called barbarities were committed in the course of legitimate warfare, when such acts are sanctioned by the practice of all the great conquerors of the world Spoils captured from a defeated enemy have always been considered the lawful property of the victorious army In India, however, wealth was accumulated, not only in the covers of the kings, as in other countries, but also in the vaults of the temples which were consecrated to the service of various deities. The consequence was that, while elsewhere the capture of the defeated monarch's treasury usually gratified the conqueror's lust for mammon,

the extinction of idolatry or the spread of Islām in India were his motive, he ought to have followed that policy in times of peace as well, first in his own country and then outside, and then there was no need to enter into treaties without achieving the object. We know it for certain that the Hindus enjoyed full toleration under Sultan Mahmud. Al-Ma'arrī informs us that they were granted separate quarters in the Imperial Ghaznīn and allowed to observe their religious ceremonies without any let or hindrance. In other words, they were quite free to blow their Sankh and to bow before their idols under the very nose of the Sultan.

Was conquest or territorial annexation his chief object?, This too is far-fetched, Conquest or for he never contemplated an Indian empire. Perhaps, he knew that a Muslim empire in an alien country was then almost impossible, that it would involve a great waste of men and money if he tried to hold in subjection a race hostile in religion and language, customs and traditions, tastes and tempraments, habits and hobbies, and that it was beyond the range of practical politics to establish his sway in Central Asia as well as in India simultaneously Nor does permanent annexation seem to have been his principal motive. The

in India temples were also ransacked to secure the piles of gold and precious stones in them " (M G, 163)

² Risālat-ul Ghufrān, p 153.

annexation of a part of the Punjab and some other places such as Sind and Multan, which lay contiguous to the Ghaznawid Empire, proves rather than refutes his non-territorial designs. The Punjab was annexed, because with it as a base Mahmud could successfully attack the places beyond. His western campaigns, on the other hand, disclose a different policy—that of acquisition and annexation, conquest and consolidation. At times, when necessary, he himself, even when his health did not permit, supervised the expeditions undertaken against his enemies and tried to establish his sway in the newly conquered territories in the west, not so in the east

What it may then be asked, was the inspiring motive? The establish-The inspirment of a Turko-Persian or Central ing motive Asian empire and nothing beyond that was the chief motive of Mahmud's Indian invasions, which were undertaken for the realization of that object. In the first place, they gave him the much-needed appellation of "holy warrior' and enhanced his prestige in the Muslim world, and secondly, they cemented his strength and constituted a great financial asset to his military resources. In other words. his Indian expeditions were undertaken for political as well as economic purposes. * and in

^{*} Professor M Habib is perfectly right in saving that "Islam sanctioned neither the vandalism nor the plundering motives of the invader," but I do not find it possible to

many respects they were justified, for in the first clash between the Hindus and the Musalmans in the reign of Subuktigin the former were the aggressors and when defeated and reduced to submission they renounced allegiance, formed confederacies to stem the rising tide of the Ghaznawids and fought against Mahmud

Sultan Mahmud invaded India time and again and conquered a number of Results of Almost the whole of Nor-Mahmad's thern India felt the force of his invasions of India arms, but the results of his victories were far from permanent The one permanent result of his seventeen successful invasions of India was that only a few of the places he had conquered there, were permanently annexed to the Empire of Ghaznin, for after his death only a portion of the Punjab, Sind and some parts of the adjoining provinces, such as Multan, acknowledged his suzerainty. Elsewhere in India, the results of his expeditions and of a series of

agree with him when he says that Mahmud's attacks on India were "un-called for" and that "the Hindu princes had done Mahmud and his subjects no harm" (S M G, 79) See supra, pp 85 ff, where I have made it abundantly clear that the Hindus were the aggressors and that they themselves were responsible for exciting the hostilities of the Ghaznawids The Ghaznawids paid them in their own coin with, of course, compound interest If they had not done so, the Hindus would have enacted the role of conquerors and the fate of the Ghaznawids would have been sealed This is what my reading of the original sources has led me to.

victories soon wore off. His rule did not take root in the places he conquered and the Rājpūts re-established their rule in Northern India, excepting the few places referred to above, and continued to rule for about two centuries more Thus, while Amīr Subuktigīn had left Peshāwar as an outpost of Ghaznīn, his more celebrated son and successor, Sultān Mahmūd, left the Punjāb as an outpost of his far-flung Central Asian empire. This was the net-result of his seventeen expeditions of India so far as conquest or territorial annexations were concerned

But the effects of Mahmud's invasions of India were far more enduring than their results Politically—These ex-EFFECTSpeditions paved the way for the establishment of a permanent Muslim empire in India by flinging open its gates to the subsequent Muslim invaders, by exposing he weaknesses of the Indian political and military systems and by establishing the superiority of the Musalmans over the Hindus in the art of war, c scip ine and devotion to duty Economically-Tree were a heavy drain on India's age-long accumulated wealth They made India much poorer and contributed vastly to the glory and granceur that was Ghaznin They were a great firancial asset to Mahmud's military programme, cecause they immeasurably augmented his rescurces and ensured his success by enabling him to france his scremes of conquest without expensioning any ciffication.

Culturally—They brought two great civil

THE GHAZNAWIDS Islam and Hinduism-into contact and led to mutual intercourse between Hindus and Musalmans In the train of Muslim warriors and warlords came Muslim saints and savants who permeated the ranks of Indian society, propagated Islam in India and won a number of converts of idolaters, littered with temples, containing myriads of man-made gods and sounding with temple bells, mosques were founded and cries of La-ilaha-ilallaho, Muhammad-ut-Rasul-ullah (There is no God but Allah and Muhammad is His prophet) and Allah o Akbar (God is Great) began to sound and pronounce the unity of God But for the successful invasions of Sultan Mah. mud it would have been well nigh impossible for the Muslim missionaries to settle down in India and carry on their work peacefully lies in the portance from Islamic point of view fact that they indirectly facilitated the future progress of Islam in India, But this had nothing to do with the motives of Mahmud and we are not prepared to assign him any credit for what he never did nor aimed to do

CHAPTER V

SULTAN MAHMUD THE GREAT-IV

CLLTURAL ACTIVITIES OF HIS REIGN

Great as a conqueror, fit to rank with the greatest conquerors of the world like Introductors. Alexander the Great. Mahmud was equally great as a man of culture and refinement. His achievements in the arts of peace were on a par with his victories in the science of war and compare favourably with those of Cæsar Augustus. An attempt is made in this chapter to bring out in brief the contributions he made to the cause of Islāmic culture and civilization.

In order to appreciate his work, it is neces
Mahmud's sary to have an idea of his tastes. We have already said something about his education in connection with his early life. In continuation of that account it may be observed that he grew up to be a good scholar and a fine poet.†

Some of his poetical compositions are preserved by 'Awfi in his Lubāb-ul-Albāb‡ He was very fond of Islamic law and Tradition and his proficiency in these subjects was recognized even

^{*} See supra, pp 24-26

⁷ M G, 156-57, and Lubāb ul-Albāb, II, 24

İ Lubāb-ul-Albāb, II, 24

by the most practised theologians of the day Fight seems to have been his most favourite sub-Ject, for his name is intimately associated with Ject, for his name is minimater) associated with the authorship of a standard work on the Subject, the authorship of a standard work on the Subject, the authorship of a standard work on the Subject, and the authorship of a standard work on the Subject, the authorship of a standard work on the Subject, and the authorship of a standard work on the subject, and the authorship of a standard work on the subject, and the authorship of a standard work on the subject, and the authorship of a standard work on the subject, and the authorship of a standard work on the subject, and the authorship of a standard work on the subject, and the authorship of a standard work on the subject, and the authorship of a standard work on the subject, and the authorship of a standard work on the subject, and the authorship of a standard work on the subject with the authorship of a standard work on the subject with the authorship of a standard work on the subject with the authorship of a standard work on the subject with the authorship of a standard work on the subject with the authorship of a standard work on the subject with the subject caneu 1 a) riu in Finite Anama in Abul Ma ali informs us that Imam-ul-Haramain Abul Ma ali Abdul Mulk Javain has recorded in his work entitled Mughis ul-Khalq fi Ikhtiyar-ul-Haq that Mahmud was deeply interested in Ahadith (Traditions), that Ulama, possessing a profound knowledge of the subject, used to meet at his Knowledge of the Subject, ased to meet at and Court and recite Ahadith in his presence, and Court and recite Amaunt in his presence, and that he listened to them with great avidity and asked them to explain the points which he did not understand †

Himself a scholar and a poet and a true Nahmud was in lover of learning, a position to Judge and appreciate literary merits, and hence he rose to be one of the greatest patrons of letters. The literary renaissance of Persia found in him a most powerful exponent and his contributions to the development of Persian literature assign him a high place in the literary history of Persia, + His patronage of Persian literature has rarely

^{*} Some scholars have expressed doubts about Mahmads ruthorship of the book, but there is nothing improbable in it (See M G, 157 note) TTärikh 1-Ibn Khalkan (Eg ed), 11, 86, and I K, II,

vii, (iranslator s note), 256 ff TLHP,1,11,SA,1, and SPL

been surpassed * Like many a Muslim king hel loved the society of learned men and used to invite them to his Court from distant countries i His bounty attracted a large number of scholars to Ghaznin and made it a centre of light and learning for all Asia While the French Napoleon used to import the choicest works of art and literature from the countries he conquered to add to the beauty and grandeur of Paris, the Ghaznawid Napoleon fetched over to his beloved Ghaznin the artists, scholars and poets in person from the regions he reduced to his swar in order to enhance the splendour of his famous Court accorded a most warm welcome to learned refu gees from all climes and countries and took care to carry out their wishes Whenever he conquered a new town, he at once transported to his capital all the choicest works of art and literature obtained from its libraries and museums and thus augmented the wealth of art and literature already accumulated there ‡ The celebrated author of the Tariku-i-Gusidah informs us that he spent a sum of not less than 400,000 dinars per year

^{*} Barthold's view (Turkestan, 289) that Mahmud's patronage of learning was due to an ostentatious desire to convert his Court into a cradle of culture and not to an unnate love of learning is erroneous and ill-founded. Mahmud might have been influenced by this ulterior motive, but in view of the fact that he was himself a scholar, a poet and an author, it cannot be said that his love of learning was not genuine.

[†] T M, 232-33, 245 and 247

I K T, 11, 262

on the literary luminaries of his realm * Ferishta would have us believe that no king had ever gathered more learned men at his Court than Mahmud † M Elphinstone says that "he showed so much munificence to individuals of eminence that his capital exhibited a greater assemblage of. literary genius than any other monarch in Asia has ever been able to produce the monarch in Nazim assures us that "his meanest rewards were calculated in thousands of dinars, and the later generations of poets have cherished his memory as a giver of elephant-loads of gold and silver "S This is enough to indicate the extent of Mahmud's literary interest and now we shall make a short reference to the progress of Persian language and literature under his patronage.,

The Arab conquest of Persia was frought with far-reaching consequences With the transfer of the seat of Islamic Government to Baghdad, the cultural centre of gravity also shifted there, and Persian ideas, and ideals began Renaissance to flow fast into the ranks of Muslim society to to now rast into the ranks of wrushin Society to Change its outlook on life and letters. When the Arabs came into close contact with the Persians, they took an unusual fancy to the culture of the conquered and in their eager fascination for it

^{*} T G,39

[†] T F, 1,

[†] H I,29

[§] M G,1

they forgot to pick and choose from Persian ideas. They assimilated them wholesale in almost every department of administration and in every sphere of social life Politically, they adopted the system of Persian governmentdivision and organization of the various departments of the State, their names and functions, the entire paraphernalia of Persian monarchy—the personality of the Persian King, his seraglio, his slaves, his servants, State ceremonials and all other symbols of sovereignty Socially, they imbibed Persian ideas of social pleasures and pastimes and took over from the Persians their chase, chess and Chaugan (polo), arinking, music and songs, and even their national spring festival of Nauroz Culturally they made Persian their Court language and took almost all Persian ideas, including Ta'bir or the science of interpreting Here we are chiefly concerned with the cultural side of this ail-embracing influence * In a nut-shell, Persian language and literature received a new lease of life as a result of this change When, after the fall of the Abbasid Khilafat, the Samanids established their sway in Transoviana and extended it to Turkistan, Isfahan and Khurasan, Persian superseded Arabic and became the language of the court and the country the Tārīkh-s-Tabari, a standard work on Islamic history, was translated into Persian from Arabic by the Vazīr of one of the Samanids in 946 A C and poets like

^{*} For some more details, see my book on "Some Cultural Aspects of Muslim Rule in India, pp 6 ff

Rūdikī* began to use Persian as their medium of expression The Samanid Kings were -great patrons of Persian language and literature, and poetry in particular made much progress under their patronage The fall of their dynasty left the literary luminaries unremunerated and they flocked eagerly to Ghaznīn, the new home of light and learning and the new cradle of the sage and the scholar There they were accorded a most warm welcome and there they found full scope for the display of their talents. It would be impossible, even if the author were competent, to do full justice to the subject within the scope of a small chapter † Attention is therefore focussed on the achievements of some of the most important scholars and poets who flourished under the patronage of Sultan Mahmud, contributed vastly to Persian literary culture and immortalized the name of their Imperial patron

The versatile Abū Rīhān Muhammad bin Ahmad, known to history as Al-Alberūnī berūnī (the foreigner), comes first in order of importance He was

^{*} He received 80,000 dirhams from a Samanid Prince for a moral work (H I, 290 foot-note)

[†] Competent scholars like Browne, Shibli and Azād have written volumes on the subject and the more inquisitive readers would find much to quench their thirst for knowledge with in their works entitled A Literary History of Persia, Shir-ul-'Ajam and Sukhandān-i-Pāras respectively The Tazkarat-ush-Shu'ara by Amir Daulat Shāh also contains much useful information on the subject, chiefly on poetry

decidedly the most shining star in the firmament of Mahmud's literary world As a versatile genius, he was un-equalled, unapproached and unrivalled At once an astronomer, philosopher, geographer, mathematician, chronologist and a profound scholar of Sanskrit, Alberuni's mind was indeed "encyclopædic" He was brought from native Khwarizm as a captive, thrown into prison and subsequently exiled into Hindustan on that life of travel and tour to which we owe the immortal Taliqiq-ma-lil-Hind, which is a veritable mine of information about the life and thought of contemporary India He travelled all over India. applied his vigorous intellect to the study of the language of the Hindus, their arts and sciences, their philosophy and literature, their customs and traditions, in short, the entire paraphernalia of their civilization, and embodied his observations in a book which is a great asset to the study of Hindū history and an indispensable source of information The philosophic and scientific spirit that animates Alberuni in the laborious and sympathetic treatment of his subject on an alien culture is a complete contrast to the pride and prejudice of the narrow-minded scholars of the West and serves as an imperishable index to the intellectual character of Islam and the catholicity of Muslim mind. In return for his knowledge of their customs and traditions, their thoughts and ideas, he communicated to the people of India the wisdom of the Baghdadian school * His

^{*} The Spirit of Islam, Amir 'Ali, 380, O H I, 194

work on astronomy, called Al-ganun-al-Mas'udi; or "the Canon Masudicus" after the name of his Imperial patron, Sultan Mas'üd, is a milestone of literary research and a monument to his memory. His Tahqiq mā-lil-Hind,* which amply manifests the extent to which the Muslim scholars had turned the treasures of Greek lore to fruitful purposes, is an embodiment of well-digested erudition and untiring industry His another great work, which deserves specific mention, is the Asar ul-Baqıya or "the Vestiges of the Past" He also translated into Arabic the Sanskrit work entitled Karanātilaka by Vijayānanda, son of Jayānanda. of Benäres, and renamed it Ghurrat-uz-Zinat. Besides these master pieces of literature, he wrote many other books on different subjects, such as astronomy, mathematics, geography, physics and chemistry

Abū Nasr Muhammad bin Muhammad al Jabbār al-'Utbī ‡ was a distinguished Al-'Utbī historian and penman attached to the Court of Sultān Mahmūd ~He wrote a history of the reign of his Imperial ~

^{*} This work has been translated into English by Dr E C Sachau under the title "Alberāni's India" The original title of the work is Tahqiq-ma-lil-Hind and not Tārikh-ul-Hind or Tārikh i-Hind, as wrongly given in some books, e g, E & D, p 1, and Encyclopædia of Islām, 1, 726

[†] This work also is translated by Dr E C Sachau under the title 'The Chronology of Ancient Nations'

I For his life and work, see al-'Utbi in Ency of Islam

patron, entitled Kitab-ul-Yamini or Tārīkh-i-Yamini which though deficient in dates and details, is still an indispensable authority on the life and work of Sultan Mahmud From literary point of view it is very ornate and the author seems to have concentrated more on the beauty of style and diction than on historical data and dates of important events

Among others who deserve specific mention this hurried survey were Farabi the famous philosopher who Others was considered 'a second Aristotle' by the Arabs of his day and honoured and prized by Mahmud the more since the refusal of his precursor, Abū 'Alī Sīnā (Avicenna)* who was 'undoubtedly one of the greatest thinkers and physicians the world has produced', to join his Court, Abdul Malik bin Muhammad bin Ismāīl as-Šalabī, the learned author of a metrical history of Iran from the earliest times to the reign of Sultan Mahmud, called Kitab fi Ghurar-s-Akhbar-s-Muluk-ul-Furs,T Abul Fazl Muhammad bin Hussain al-Baihagi, the

^{*} On his refusal to accept Mahmud's invitation, he was hunted down from place to place till at last he found a safe asylum with one of the Buwaihid rulers of Raiy, who was a great patron of Persian literature (L H P 1, 95 ff)

⁷ Originally this work covered four volumes Of them, only the first two have survived and the other two have disappeared. The two that have survived deal with the pre-Islāmic period and the Prophet Muhammad.

For his life and work, see Ency of Islam, 1, 592

reputed author of the Magamat-v-Abū Nasr-s-Mushkanī,* the Majalladat† and a collection of important diplomatic correspondence of Sultān Mas'ūd and probably of Mahmūd as well, called Zīnat-ul-Kuttab,‡ Mahmūd-al-Warrāq, the author of a universal history ending with the year 1018 A C,\$ and Hilal bin Muhassin bin Ibrāhīm as-Sabī, the author of the Zail Tajārib-ul-Umam, containing in detail the letters of victory sent by the Sultān to the Khalīfa of Baghdād ¶

^{*} This work, now no longer extant, contained much useful information on the reign of Sultan Mahmad, as is evident from the extracts preserved in the Jawami ul-Hikayat and Asar-ul-Wuzara

[†] This was a monumental work, covering as many as 30 volumes. It was a most comprehensive history of the Yamini Dynasty (Sultan Mahmud and his successors), beginning from the year 1018 A.C., and coming down to 1068 A.C. Only the second half of the 6th volume and volumes 7-9 and a part of the 10th volume, dealing with the reign of Sultan Mas'ud, are preserved and the rest lost Several parts of this work were known after the names and titles of the Ghaznawid rulers. For instance, the part dealing with the reign of Mahmud was named. Tārīkh-i-Yamini after his title (Yamin ud Daulah) and that dealing with the reign of Mas'ūd was called Tārīkh: Mas'ūd after his name. The Tārīkh: Yamini is a most valuable work and its importance lies in the fact that it was based on original State papers and a diary which its author used to keep.

This work too has been lost (M G, 2)

 $[\]xi$ T M, 317 Also see M G, 3

If A part of this chronicle, covering an account of three years (390 2 A H), has survived It has been published by Professor D S Margoliouth in the third volume of the Tajūrib ul-Umam of Abu 'Alī Ahmad bin Muhammad Miskawaih

delicacy and tenderness that characterize others and the Homeric simplicity that pervades most of it. The most striking feature of the book was the absence of Arabic words and phrases, but it has undergone so many changes and suffered so much in diction that it is now conspicuous for the absence of this feature. The constructive genius of Firdaus I achieved victories far more enduring than those of his master. The Ghaznawid Empire built by the latter crumbled to dust a decade after his death, but the Shahnamah, the crowning achievement of Firdaus, will live for ever as a monument to his memory.

'Unsari,* the scientist, philosopher, linguist and, with the possible exception of Firdausi, the greatest genius of 'Unsari the age, next engages our attention He may be mentioned as the best instance of a man raised to honour and affluence for literary merits alone His extemporaneous effusions, his odes and encomiums, his voluptuous metaphors and, above all, his pure and powerful diction, exquisitely polished and extremely charming, assign him a place second only to that of Firdausi in the history of Persian poetry. He versified the victories and conquests of Sultan Mahmud, and this part of his work is very useful for historical purposes. In recognition of his services and in appreciation of his merits, he was

^{*} His full name was Hasan bin Ahmad

honoured with the title of Malak-ush-Shu'ara (poet-laureate) and entrusted with the work of looking through the poetical compositions of all the accomplished poets of the kingdom before being submitted to the Sultan. This enhanced his prestige and influence and also raised hum to honour and title. He left behind a aiwan of about 80,000 verses *

Among other poets who flocked to Ghaznin and flourished at the Imperial Court. Other poets—the most distinguished were Daqiqi, who had first undertaken the stupendous task of writing the Shāhnāmah and had composed more or less 1,000 verses, Ghazāirī Rāzī, to whom a reference has already been made, Asadī Tūsī, the honoured teacher of Firdausī, who is said to have completed the Shāhnāmah by contributing as many as 4,000 verses, 'Asjadī Marvī, Farrukhī Tirmizī and Minūchihr Balkhī †

The preceding account, though exceptionally brief and perfunctory, is, however, Criticism enough to convey the idea that the age of Sultan Mahmud was an age of literary efflorescence when poetry in particular progressed by leaps and bounds. Some of the poets attached to the Ghaznawid Court, especially those referred to above, were renowned all

^{*} Vide T S, Talqa-i Awwal

⁷ For a detailed account of these and many other poets, see Ibtd, L H P, r and n, T F, 1, 38-39, S P L, T S, and S A, Vol I

over Asia and their presence at Ghaznīn, highly prized by the Sultān, was responsible for raising the reputation of the Imperial patron to the highest water-mark. It is true that mystic ideas had not yet gained a wide currency and the Ghazal had not yet been invented; and that the poetry, though brilliant, was not deep, yet the Ghaznawid poets possessed, in spite of their short-comings, a certain amount of freshness and candour which the succeeding generations of poets have frequently lacked *

As a man of culture and refinement, endowed with an intuitive love of learning and Intellectual an instinctive admiration for everyinstitutions thing that is beautiful in art and literature, Mahmūd's magnanimity was not confined to literary sphere alone, but also found a most suitable expression in the establishment of such intellectual institutions as maktabs and madrasahs, mosques and monasteries, museums and libraries He founded the celebrated "Celestial Bride"—a mosque of matchless beauty and grandeur, built of marble and granite, at his capital and furnished with gold and silver lamps, candelabras, precious ornaments and costly carpets This magnificent mosque was in that age "a wonder of the east" -a sobrequet which it richly deserved † In the vicinity of this sacred structure the Sultan

^{*} See L H P, 1, 11, S. A, 1, and S P L † T F, 1, 30, and H I, 292

established a big university and provided it with a vast library and a fine museum. The library was stocked with numerous literary treasures in various languages and the museum enriched with invaluable antiquities. The mosque was surrounded by as many as 3,000 quarters meant for the residence of teachers and students of the university. The teachers were paid regular salaries and the students were granted stipends and scholarships from the State funds and the income of the mosque and its endowments.*

Sultan Mahmud had a fine taste for architecture. Tecture He spent huge sums of money on the construction of magnificent monuments with a view to beautify his beloved Ghaznin He imported distinguished architects from distant countries and employed them in giving a suitable expression to his architectural designs. His example was followed by his nobles who vied with one another and even emulated their king in erecting superbedifices. The result was that Ghaznin became a nucleous of Islamic architecture in Central Asia †

Among the public works of a vast and varied Public Works description erected under the instructions of Sultan Mahmud

^{*} Tārīkh-:-Ibn Kl.alkān, 11, 86, T.F., 1, 30, and H I, 290 T F, 1, 30, Indian as well as Persian architecture together — not one to the exclusion of the other —seems to have influenced Mahmud's tastes for architecture. (Viac C H I, 111, 574)

may be mentioned aqueducts, reservoirs, cisterns, porches, bridges and dams. The most prominent of all these were a market at Balkh, a bridge over the Oxus and the Band-1-Sultan across the river Nawar, about eighteen miles north of Ghaznīn Of these only the last-named has survived and that too, though still in use, is much damaged *

Unfortunately, however, the Ghaznin of these days is a complete contrast Ghaznin Past to the Ghaznīn of the days of and Present Sultan Mahmud Once the historic capital of the great Ghaznawid Empire and the cradle of Islamic culture, it is now a collection of kacha houses, wearing the appearance of an ordinary Afghan town of limited population So complete in fact seems to have been the spoliation to which it was subjected by 'Alā-ud-Dīn Hussain, surnamed Jahansoz or "worldburner", and later vandals that nothing but the tombs of a few kings, two towers of victory —one built by Mahmud and the other by his son, Mas'ud—, and the shrines of saints and savants have survived to give us an idea not so much of the glory that was Ghaznīn as of the misfortunes that befell it †

^{*} See Ghaznī in EiB, H I.E A, 494-97, and M G, 166

[†] For some details on the architectural remains at Ghaznin, see my article under the caption "Impressions of Ghaznin" in C & M G, Lahore, dated the 1st September, 1938 Also see H I E A, 494-97, C H I, 111, 574-75, and E B

The tomb of Amir Subuktigin is situated near the modern town of Ghaznin Tombe of Subulon the Kabul Ghaznin Road tigin, Mahmad, It is built of white marble and & 3 other lings has a small but beautiful dome over it. Its epitaph is in Kufic characters * The Rauzali (tomb) of Sulian Mahmud is further up on an elevation, having a modest garden around it T. It is also built of white marble and is crowned with a newly constructed dome Its epitaph is in Kafic script, invoking the mercy of God on the noblest Amir, the greatest king the Lord of Church and Sale, Abul Oasim Mahmad bin Subukagin The outer gate, with a long corridor to the right opening into the enclosure seems to be a later addition goors that formerly agorned the tomb are now to be seen in the Agra Fort, where they were removed by the British in 1842 A C. They

^{*} This tomb is not mentioned by any modern writer Even Sir J. Marshall, Dr. J. Fergusson and Dr. M. Nazim are silent about it. All that they have said about in their works are the tombs of Mahmud and Mas ud and the two to vers of victory. None of the notabilia other than these finds place in any modern work known to me. Even the Encyclopædia Britannica does not go beyond referring to the above mentioned tombs and towers. The little that is mentioned about these too seems to be based on hear say reports and is therefore full of mistakes, and inaccuracies. Compare it with my account in "Impressions of Glazrin", which is based on personal observations, and mark the mistakes.

[†] The village that has sprung up round the tomb is known as Rauzah i Sultan

are made of deodār wood, richly carved and containing beautiful inscriptions in Kūfic script * The tombs of three other kings, viz., Abdur Razzāq, Abdul Fateh and Ulūghbeg, are also situated in the same neighbourhood. They are crowned with a common dome. The names of the three kings are inscribed on a tile of white marble, which is fixed in a wall of the domed structure. Both the tombs and the dome are in a dilapidated state.†

The legend that the gates of the tomb had been taken away by Mahmud from Somnath is devoid of historical foundation and is fantastically absurd (H I E A, 496, f n)

^{*} Mas'ūd had raised a beautiful mausoleum over this tomb and created vast endowments for its maintenance (T M, 310) The tomb was held in such esteem by the people that even the 'world-incendiary', 'Alā-ud-Din Hussain, did not include it in the wholesale destruction of Ghaznin ordered by him "His tomb has however, suffered from the ravages of his Muslim admirers, who for ages have resorted to it for the purpose of seeking divine grace and have carried away to their homes fragments of wood or handfuls of earth as keep-sakes, from the sacrilege of the savage hordes of Hulagu Khan. and in more recent times, from the misguided enthusiasm of Lord Ellenborough, who, believing that its gates were those of the temple of Somnath, ordered them to be removed and brought back to India." (M G, 124, foot-note) "Once a grand edifice", the tomb became a cluster of "dilapidated ruins" later on and has been repaired and renovated by the Afghan Government only recently It is no longer in "dilapidated ruins", as stated by Dr M Nāzim (M G, 167 and 124, f n)

[†] Some scholars have omitted these tombs and mentioned those of Mas'üdand Ibrāhīm, which I could not trace

MEDIÆVAL INDIA

UNDER MUSLIM KINGS

volumb n

THE RISE AND FALL OF

THE GHAZNAWIDS

Bı

S. M. JAFFAR

Author of 'Education in Muslim India,"

'Some Cultural Aspects of Muslim

Rule in India, "The Mughal

Empire from Babar to

Aurangzeh,"

elc

LIEST IDITION 1940

PESHAWAR CITY (15DIA)

S. MUHAMMAD SADIQ KHAN

Published by S. Muhammad Sadiq Khan, Khudadad Street, Peshawar City

Copyright
All rights of reproduction and
translation reserved by the author

DEDICATED

WITH PROFOUND LOVE

AND RESPECT

TO

SIR SHAFAAT AHMAD KHAN, Kt.,

MA, LITT D, FR HIS SOC, ETC, HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HISTORY, UNIVERSITY OF ALLAHABAD, A VETERAN HISTORIAN WHOSE ACTIVE INTEREST IN THE CAUSE OF INDIAN HISTORY HAS LED TO THE RISE AND GROWTH OF THE MODERN SCHOOL OF INDIAN HISTORY

AND THE

INDIAN HISTORY

CONGRESS

CONTINIS

14114C) having

ALPERATATIO S.

TP NIII SA

CHAPTEK I

THE LAKEY GHAZAMIDS

FROM APPTIGIN TO SUBURIUM

The Least - Alpha it - Infler in and Little in - subaktion Comples of the and Quidu - Kriech Afghars - Indo Afghar Alliance - Kee antion of Afghar Independence—Jupal rivasion of Grit in a didefer. His breach of faith and junishment — Imprince of Subalticin vicory - Kelian rivith the Schund of End-Characterard estimate of Subaktion—Keropec.

СИАРТІК ІІ

SULTAN MAHMUD THE GREAT-I

CONOULSTS IN CENTRAL ASIA

Introductor I also life of Mahmod — Stackle for Street sion — Relation with Sancial — Dealing with Fhan of Turk in Comput of Gharliters have of Salvage — Conque t of Sivin — V imprisoned to leef Salvar — Comput tof Gharliteduction of Quidar Conque t of the valley of Normal Quida Impedation in an Malar — leelate with Zivind and the rule of McL in — Conque t of large, Humadia and I fill in — pp. 24-48

THE GHAZNANIDS

CHAPTER III SULTAN MAHMUD THE GREAT -II INVASIONS OF INDIA

Introductors — (1) Towns of Khyber Pass — (2) Pesh iwar — (3) Bhatiyr — (4) Multan — (5) Bhatiyr — (6) Punjab-Nagarkot — (7) Nariyan or Nariyanpur — (8) Multan — (9) Thanesar — (10) Nandan—(11) Kashmir—(2) Larin—(13) Kanauj and Kalinjar — (14) Punjab — (15) Gwalior and Kalinjar — (16) Somnath — (17) The islands of the Induc

CHAPTER IV

SULTAN MAHMUD THE GREAT HE MOTIVES & RESULTS OF INDIAN INVASIONS

Introductory - Motiver Political factor I con mic factor -Religious force Were Indian Invision I file! Production of Islam —I surprison of Idulative Conque a territoral annivationes — The repetits, motive I end and evect of Indian Invarions —— 19 % 1 0

CHAPTER A

CHAPTER VI

SULTIN MINIMUD THE GREAT - V

Introductors — Legerd of Firdausi (1) Information contradictors — (2) Sources secondary and devoid of authority — (3) Was Firdaust appointed by Mahmud to write the Stateaust = (4) Apocryphal authorship of the Stateaust — The Satire — Clarge of bastards — Mahmud and Ave — The Idol of Someth — Story of two Dubish lims — pp. 129 100

CHAPTER VII

SULTAN MAHMUD THE GREAT - VI CLOSING DAYS CHARACTER AND ESTIMATE

Introductors — His illness and death — Review of ricies and expression of sorrow — Deginning of the end — Malmud's character — His personal appearance — His virtues — His religious beliefs and piets — As a soldier and a general — As a king — Malmud's verknesses — His estimate — pp. 101.15...

CHAPTER AIII

SULTAN MASUD I

Introduc ors — The war of succession — Release and reinstatement of Maimandi — Execution of Hasanak — Appointment of new Wazir — Conquest of Mekrin and Kirm in—Redsellions of Ala ud Daulah and Majd ud-Daulah — Recovers and loss of Khwarizm — Jurjan and Tabaris tan — Condition of India — Tilak s rise — Capture of Haisland Soapat — Campiagn against Saljags — Hight to India — The Wizirat department under Mas ad — Patronage of learning and promotion of education — Charac ter and estimate of Mas ad — pp. 182-21.

CHAPTER IX

SULTAN MAUDUD & HIS SUCCESSORS

Maudūd — Appointment of Wazīrs and Governor of the Punjāb — Recovery of Transoniana — Condition of India — Campaign against the Saljūqs — Abū Ja'far Ma'sūd II — Abul Hasan 'Alī — 'Abdur Rashīd — Tughral 'the Ingrate' — Farrukhzād — Ibrāhīm Mas'ūd III — Sher zād and Arslīn Shāh — Bahrām Shāh — Rebellion of Indian Governor — War with Ghūrid Maliks — Progress of learning and education — Khusrau Shāh — Khusrau Malik — pp 213 236

CHAPTER X

THE GHAZNAWID GOVERNMENT

Introductory — The nature and functions of government —
Toleration — Absence of the Law of Succession — The
Central Government — Dīwān 1-Wizārat — Dīwān 1'Arz
—The Army—Gradation of army officers—Dīwān 1-Risālat
— Dīwān-1 Shughl-1-Ishrāf 1-Mamlukat—Dīwān 1 Wikālat
—Mazālim — Dīwān 1 Muhtasib — Dīwān 1 Mumlikat 1
Khās — Sālub 1-Barīd—Khāzan—Provincial Government —
Administration of cities — Government Service — How
India was governed — Revenue System — Taxes and
other sources of revenue — Famine Relief — Cultural
Progress — pp 237-64

pp 265 ff

INDEX

ABBREVIATIONS

(These abbreviations are used only in respect of works which have been frequently cited in this volume. Works rarely referred to are excluded from this list, for their names and those of their authors are given in full in the foot-notes at their proper places)

Froot Botos at t	item brober beneau,
A A	Al-Mukhtasar fi Akhbār-ıl-Bashar (Cairo edition), by Abul Fida
A G I	Ancient Geography of India, by A Cunningham
A S I	Archæological Survey of India (Annual Reports)
BIS	Bibliotheca Indica Series of R A S B
ВМ	British Museum
CHI	Cambridge History of India, The, Volume III, edited by Sir W Haig
C G K	Coins of Ghazm Kings, by E. Thomas
СИ	Chahār Magāla, by Nizāmī-al-'Arūzī al Samarqandī, edited by Maulyī Ghulām Muhammad Abūharī
$C \circ C$	Collection of Oriental Coins in B M
E B	Encyclopædia Britannica, The
En of Is	Encyclopædia of Islām, edited by T Houtsma and others
E & D	Elhot and Dowson's History of India as told by its own historians
E M I	Education in Muslim India, by S M Jaffar
G D A M I	Geographical Dictionary of Ancient and Mediæval India, by N L Dey
GI	Gates of India, The, by Sir T Holdich
G M S	Gibb Memorial Series
H I	History of India, The, by M Elphinstone

History of Indian and Eastern Architecture, by J Fergusson
Is a an Antiquary, The
Imperial Gazetteer of India, The
Ibn Khaldūn s <i>Kıtāb ul- Ioār</i> translated into Urdū by Maulyī Hakim Ahmad Hussain
Jawāmi-ul-Hīkāyā*, by Awfī
Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland
Journal of the (Royal) Asiatic Society of Bengal
Jām:-ut-Twārikh, by Rashīd ud-Dīn
al-Kāmil fit-Twārikl, by Allāmā Ibn-ul Asīr, edited by Tornberg
Lands of the Eastern Caliphate, The, by G Le Srange
Literary History of Persia, The, by L G Browne
Mahn ūd an Firdausi, by Qizi 'Abdus Samad
Malmud of Glazna The Live and Times of Sultan, by M. Narim
Medical India, The History of, by Ishwan Prisid
The Militar of Sind and its Tributaries, by H. G. Raverty in J. A. S. B.
Vurūj-uz-Zalāb, by Abul Hasan Alī bar Hussam al Mas adī translated into I ng lish by A Sprenger
Ne val Kishor Press (Lucknow)
Oxford History of India, The by V V Smith
Polit es in Pre Mughal Times, by Ishwara Topa.
Rauzat us Safā, by Mir Khwand (NKP)

	0
S A	Slur-ul- Ajam, by Allama Shibli No'mani
Sachau	E C Sachau's translation of Alberūni's Tahqiq ma lil Hind under the title 'Alberūnī's India'
S A M A	Some Aspects of Muslim Administration by R P Tripathi
SCAMRI	Some Cultural Aspects of Muslim Rule in India, by S M Jaffar
SIMH	Studies in Indo Muslim History, by S H Hodivala
SMG	Sultan Mahmud of Ghaznin, by M Habib
SA	Sınāsat-Nāmah, by Nızām-ul Mulk, edited by Schefer
S P L	Studies in Persian Literature, by Hedi Hasan
T A	Tabqāt-1-Akbarı, by Nızam-ud Din Ahmad (N K P)
T B	Tārikh-1-Bathaqt, by Abul Fazl Bathaqī
T F	Tārīkh-ı Ferishta (Gulshan ı-Ibrāhimi), by Abul Qāsim Ferishta. (N. K. P.)
T G	Tārīkh-1-Guzīdah, by Hamdullah Mastaufī (G M S)
T H	Tārīklı-ı-Hındūstān, by Shams ul-Ulamā Dr Muhammad Zakāullah Khān
T M	Tārīkh-1 Mas'ūd1, by Abul Fazl Muhammad Hussain al-Baihaqī, edited by Morley
T N	Tabqāt-1-Nāsırī, by Minhāj us-Sirāj Juz jānī. (B I S)
T S	Tazkarat-ush Shu'arā, by Amīr Daulat Shāh Samarqandī
T Y	Tārikh-i Yamini (Kitāb ul-Yamini), by Muhammad bin Muhammad al-Utbi
Z A	Zınā-ul-Akhbār, by 'Abaul Haī bin Az- Zuhāk bin Mahmūd al Gardīzī, edited by M Nāzim

CHAPTER I

THE EARLY GHIZNIWIDS

I KOM ALPTIGIN TO SUBUKTIGIN

The Arabs were the first Muslim conquerors of India, but they did not Introductory carry their conqueses far beyond the Indus Even in Sing their rule did not endure long and they left no lasting legacy behind except that a large part of the population of that place became Muslim in faith. After a period of about two centuries that elapsed after the Arab occupation of Sina, India presented a scene A mere geographical expression of concusion or a conglomeration of small states, without a paramount power at the centre, showing no signs of national unity - she was rent up by dynastic jealousies and internecine warfare, so much so that even in the event of a common danger the people would not offer a united front It was on this India that the avalanche of Turkish' invasions from the North-West broke and eventually changed the course of her history. After the conquest of the country, the Muslim rulers of India set themselves sedulously to the task' of establishing law and order and building up on that foundation an empire capable of protecting the people and opening up new avenues for the advance of their intellect. In the train of Muslim warriors and war-lords came Muslim

saints and scholars, poets and philosophers, who permeated the ranks of Indian society and tried to give India that cultural unity without which political solidarity could not be achieved

When the 'Abbasid Khilafat began to decline in power and prestige, the gover-Alptigin nors of the far-flung provinces declared their independence One of them, Ismail by name, Samanid by tribe and Tartar by origin, seized upon Khurāsān, Transoviana and Afghānistan in 872 A C and fixed his capital at Bukhara, where he founded the Samanid Dynasty, which remained in the saddle for more than a century His son and successor, Ahmad, had a Turkish slave, named Alptigin, whom he enrolled in his body-guard Manumitted by the next king, Nasr bin* Ahmad, and entrusted with the command of some troops by his successor, Nuh bin Nasr, Alptigin rose from that rank to one of Hanbul-Hujjāb and acquired immense power and influence after his victory over Bakr bin Malik, the commander of the troops of Khurasan, in 956 A C Under the patronage of his youthful master, 'Abdul-Malik, he rose to be the governor of Khurāsān by dint of his ability and industry On the death of 'Abdul Malık when he was consulted about the appointment of his successor, he voted against Mansur, the brother of the deceased king. Mansur having been elected by other Amīrs, the life of Alptigīn was in danger, and

^{*} Bin, an Arabic word, means 'son of'

government of the territories conquered by him The places which next felt the force of Alptigin's arms were Bust and a part of the kingdom of Kābul, which were attacked and occupied. After that he led some expeditions against the neighbouring chiefs, defeated the Afghāns of the Khyber Pass and Peshāwar valley and established his authority there. But for the fact that he had a very brief reign, he would have considerably extended the arca of his kingdom. He passed away in September, 963 A. C. after a successful reign of about eight months.* He was a just, wise and vigorous ruler.

Alptigin was succeeded by his son, Abū Is-haq, but not before he had √ Bilkātigīn defeated Abū 'Alī son of Abū and Piri Bakr Lawik, who had driven him out On his death three distinguished generals of the late king occupied the throne of Ghaznīn one after the other The first was Bilkatigin who is said to have been a very pious, brave and Justiceloving man The second was Pīrī (Pirītigīn) who turned out to be 'a great villain' The reign of this ruler is remarkable for the first clash between Muslims and Hindus in the vicinity of Ghaznīn It is narrated that the disaffected people of Ghaznīn, who worked for his overthrow and plotted for his deposition, requested Abū 'Alı Lawik to make common cause with the Shah of Kabul and put an end to the rule of

^{*} See p 5, note, and M G, 24-27 and 175-76

Pirtigin the tyrant The allies marched against Ghaznin from their respective places Subuktigin, the third general of Alptigin and a seasoned soldier, fell upon Abū 'Aiī Lawīk with a body of 500 Turks and inflicted a sharp defeat and heavy losses on him. The Hindūs, who were advancing under the son of their Shāh iking of Kābul- for seizing Ghaznīn, were successfully repulsed and routed by Pirītigīn. It has been correctly remarked by Sir W. Haig that it was "the first conflict in this region between Hindūs and Muslims the former being the aggressors' * Pirītigīn was deposed on account of his unpopularity and then the third general, viz, Subuktigīn, was raised to the throne r

^{*} C H I m 11

Some historians have only ignored while others have altogether denied the existence of Bilkätigin and Piritigin (Piri) which is proved by documentary as well as numismatic evidence. The regnal dates of these two kings as well as of their predecessor and successor are different in different accounts. This has led to a heated controversy among modern scholars who have advanced various arguments in support of their respective theories. Rayerty wrote a fairly long note on the subject and maintained that Alptigin ruled for cigl+ years and died in 352 A. H., that his son Is-hāq, who succeeded him, remained in power till 355 A. H., that Bilkätigin, who succeeded Is-hāq ruled for eight years and died in 362 A. H., and that Piri (Piritigin) came next and occupied the throne till 367 A. H., when he was defeated and deposed by Subuktigin (Vide Rayerty's translation of T. A., 71-73). Recently Dr. Muhammad Nāzim took up the question again and, after reshuffling the evidence

Subulting was originally a descendant of Yizd-Jaro, the last Sasand king of Persia, who had been defeated and whose kingdom had been included in the commonwealth of Islam during the khilafat of Usman. After the conquest of Persia the members of its royal family do not seem to have seen better days for at least five generations, for we are informed that Subuktigm, who was sixth in descent from Yazd-Jard, was taken from Turkistan to Bukhara and sold to Alpingin by a merch int named. Nast the Hajt All that we know about his early life is that he was

hitherto available tried to close the controversy for good His conclusions are that Alptigin conquered Ghaznin about Zil hij 351 \ H after a siege of about four months and died after a reign of eight months (not acurs, as is erroneously said by many, including Raverty) in the month of Shaban, 352 \ H, that his son, \bin Is haq, succeeded him, but not before he had suffered a defeat and exile at the hands of \bin \lambda ii \lin \text{(son of \bin Bakt) Lavik and recovered his kingdom from him after inflicting a defeat on him on the 27th of Shawail, 354 \ H that Is hig died on the 25th of Zil qa'd, 355 \ \ H, that Bilk itigin ruled for eight or nine years (355-364 \ A \ H), and that Piri (Piritigin) remained in power for two or three years (364-366 \ H) See M G, 24-27 and 175 176

* See T F Vol 1, p 18, T N (Cal ed 1864), p 6, and

IK, II, vii, 256 ff (translator's note) It may be noted here that Subuktigin was not a born slave. When the fortunes of his family had fallen to their lowest ebb, he was sold by one and purchased by another. Many a man of noble birth, including mighty monarchs, has often suffered this fate. Slavery, moreover, is not an inherent weakness. It is something imposed. Finally, a slave is as good a man in Islam as any other follower of it.

born about the year 331 A H (= 942-43 A C), that his father Juq, was the chieftain of a minor principality in Turkisian and was renowned for his unusual physical strength, that at the age of twelve his father's principality was raided by a hostile lashkar and he was carried away by the raiders, and that he was kept as a prisoner for four years and then sold to Nasr the Haji, who took him to Bukhaia and sold him to Alpugia Impressed by the abilities of the promising youth, Alpugin treated him with great kindness and entrusted him with responsible posts, and it must be said to the credit of the latter that he fully justified the confidence reposed in him by the former devotion to the descendants of his master cannot be called in question. He served them with unmixed lovalty and enjoyed their confidence and favours till he was elevated to kingship by the people in accordance it is said with the will of AlptigIn and married to his daughter* Not content with what he had, he united the various clans of Afghanistan into a nation and conquered Lamghan and Sistan with their help and extended his sway right up to Khurāsān, and turned his kingdom

^{*}Authorities are not in agreement on this point. In view of the fact that Subuktigin was the fourth in the line of succession (the first 3 being Is-hiq, Bilkatigin and Piritigin) it may be said that the will was not made at all, or if at all it was made, it must have remained a dead letter, for it was not acted upon for a long time for some reason or the other

When SubuktigIn ascended the throne, Bust was occupied by Tughan One of Conquest the Samanid adventurers exof Bust pelled him from his stronghold and occupied it Tughan requested Subuktigin for help and agreed to acknowledge the Amir as his overlord after the recovery of Bust Subuktigin took up his cause and, after inflicting a defeat on his rival, restored him to his lost possession After restoration, however, he became lukewarm in owning allegiance His delay in remitting the promised tribute to Ghaznīu and the Amīr's insistence on it led to a scuffle, in which the latter sustained an injury at the hands of his vassal But for the timely intervention of his servants, Tughan's career would have been cut short. He took to flight and sought shelter in Kurmaj, from where he never returned Bust was occupied without encountering opposition and the Samanid Chief's Dabir (secretary) Abul Fatch, who had been living in retirement, was brought before the Amir who treated him with great kindness in recognition of his wide learning and proficiency in arts. The learned recluse, it is said, was offered an important post, which he occupied till the death of the Amir, but later he fell out with Mahmud and went away to Turkistan *

^{*} T F, 1, 19, and T H, 1, 256

Afghāns who migrated to the border-land between India and Afghānistān and colonized in the territory which comprised Kurmaj, Peshāwar and Shnūiān This colonization was viewed with grave concern and resented by Jaipāl, the Hindū Rāja of Waihind, who scented danger from the Afghāns Some skirmishes followed and pitched battles were also fought, but nothing decisive was accomplished by either party ¹

Sometime later the Raja incurred the displeasure of the Hindū Ga-Indo Afghān khars who joined hands with Alliance their neighbours, the Afghans, and formed alliances with them against him Cowed down by this combination, Jaipal made peace with the Afghans and also ceded a number of towns from Lamghan to Afghanistan to them for colonization on the condition that they would protect India against all Muslim invasions from beyond For sometime this policy proved quite effective and the Afghans defended the Indian frontier well and even checked the Samanid advances for Indian booty Thus India enjoyed immunity from external

^{*} It is stated that when the belligerents withdrew to their respective places, the people of Kābul and Khali replied, when inquired about the fate of Musalmāns and the state of affairs in Kohistān, that Kohistān should henceforth be called Afghānistān (i e habitat of afghān or noise) because afghān (noise) reigned supreme there Thus, according to Ferishta, the people are called Afghāns and the place inhabited by them Afghānistān. (T F, i, i, i)

invasions as a result of the Indo-Afghan alli ince But conditions changed abruptly when the Ghaznawids sprang into power and pronuncince

Alptigin led a number of expeditions against the Afghans and harassed them Recognition so much that they could not of Afghan but seek the aid of the Indian independence Raja agunst him The Raja responded to their request with scant courtesv and treated the frontier problem with indifference After consulting the Raja of Bhatiya, he disposed it of by handing over to the rightns the territory inhabited by them so that they might establish their own kingdom which might serve as a buffer state. Thus for the first time did the Afghans have an independent government their own country. The indifference and aloofness of the Raja, who did not want to meddle in frontier iffurs, coupled with the political pressure that was brought to bear upon the Afghans by the Ghiznawid ruler Shaikh Hamid, the Afghan ruler of the Frontier, made peace with SubuktigIn and agreed to pursue a policy of neutrality in so far as India was

^{*} Various names such as Bhatner, Bhatinda, Bhāwalpur Uchch and Bhera have been advanced as possible identifications of Bhātiya, but none except Bhera can stand the 'crucial test of strategical considerations', Professor Hodiwala has put forward some sound reasons in favour of Bhera (See S I M H, 138 39) Dr M Nīzim prefers Bhatinda Tor his discussion on the subject, tide M G, 197 ff I am inclined in favour of Bhera

concerned Political expediency, coupled, perhaps, with religious considerations, prompted Subuktigin to agree to the proposal and enter into an alliance with the Afghans He scored a brilliant point in that the Afghan blockade, which impeded his advance into India and acted as a stumbling block in his way, was removed with one stroke of political sagacity. And, for the Afghans, it was a question of life and death Their political existence was in danger. It was ensured as soon as they made peace with the Ghaznawids and formed an alliance with them Henceforth they ceased to bother themselves about the safety of India Subuktigin gave them many concessions and won their sympathies and thus cleared his own path to India *

Jaipal's invasion his defeat

The rise of a powerful kingdom under a Muslim monarch in the vicinity of Ghaznin and of the Indus must have most naturally disturbed [aipāl's peace of mind and created fears

and misgivings in his heart, especially when his dominions lay contiguous to those of his rival, Subuktigin The recognition of that kingdom spelt the negation of his political suzerainty He had but two alternatives before him either to arrest the rising tide of the Ghaznawid power or to forego his claims to political supremacy and risk the political existence of his own kingdom He decided to follow the first course,

^{*} T F, 1, 17-18

and despite the opposition and protestations of the 'whole council, both Brahmans and Kshatris,' he made preparations and mobilized his forces against his ambitious neighbour. The first great clash between the armies of Islām and those of Hindūism took place at Lamghān in the Khyber Pass." It was accompanied by a violent storm, rain and thunder, which the superstitious ascribed to supernatural causes, it calculated to cause their destruction, so much

^{*}Some modern scholars, (c g Dr I Topa,) aver, without assigning any reasons, that the fight did not take place They give credit to the Rija for his warlike mentality and say that he was quite propared for the fight, but changed his mind at Ghaznin. How he could go as far as Ghaznin without fighting a battle and then suddenly change his mind and enter into a humiliating treaty is not explained (See Politics in Pre-Vinghal Times, by Dr Ishwara Topa, pp. 28-29). It may also be noted here that in this clash also the Hindus were the aggressors

[†] The phenomenon of storm, rain and thunder, referred to above, is said to have been the direct result of Mahmūds throwing a filthy thing in a clean fountain of water, haunted by spirits. This tale of wonder, is preserved in the Tārikh: Yamını, Tārikh: Firishta and other historical works, as a relic of the past. To be brief, it is said that there was a clean fountain of water which became tumultuous and gave rise to black clouds, thunders and snow storms whenever a filthy matter was thrown in it, that Mahmūd, son of Subuktigin, who was aware of its qualities, caused it to be polluted, and that the results produced caused consternation in the Hindū arms who believed that their gods had become angry with them and therefore sent snow-storms etc. for punishing them This 'tale of wonder' would at once remind the

so that Jaipal himself stood aghast at the horrible sight and saw safety in submission. He sued for place and his request was accepted. According to the place treaty that was concluded, the Raji agreed to the following conditions (1) to ply an indemnity of one million dirhams, (2) to deliver lifty elephants, (3) to cede some cities and fortresses situated in his kingdom, (4) to accept the appointment of some officers in India by Subuktigin and (5) to keep some of

reader of a number of similar stories, such as the 'miraculous pitcher', 'the lake of wonder' and 'the well of wonder' It records the survival of an ancient superstition, according to which Navas i c, demons or draggons - half-human and half brute-residing in such natural reservoirs as springs, fountains, wells and lakes, got offended when anything dirty was thrown in them and poured forth their wrath in the form of such phenomena as tempests, whirlwinds, clouds and snowstorms. It is told by the Buddhist travellers such as storms It is told by the loudding the contemporary Fr Hirn and Hinen Tsiring; and the contemporary Muslim traveller, Albertini, had also heard of a well in the hills of Farghan, which was haunted by spirits endowed with supernatural powers. The phenomenon that caused consternation in Jaipal's army must have been similar to that which destroyed the Anglo Indian army in 1842 A C - a snow-storm, and the story that the gods of the Hindus had got annoyed with them seems to have been set up with a view to frighten the Hindu soldiers who had a firm faith not only in supernatural but also in unnatural and even anti-natural causes, and who felt sure that their gods were really angry with them For references to the tale of wonder vide Tārīkh-1-Yamını in E & D, 11, 20, T F 1, 19, Dr Sachau's translation of the Asar ul Bagina, 235, and S I-M H 134 136

his relatives with Subuktigin as hostages until the indemnity was paid

Historians are unanimous on the point that when Jaipal returned safely to his capital and found himself His breach of faith and secure from external danger, he punishment thought that the treaty could be ser at naught at his own sweet will Behaving in a Kautilyan fashion and regarding the terms of the treaty as a scrap of paper to be torn to pieces, he not only refused to abide by them but also threw into prison the officers whom Subuktigin had left to see that the Raja lived up to his words. This brazen breach of faith drew down upon him the wrath of the Ghaznawid overlord who marched against him in order to punish him for his perfidy * Jaipal was not idling away his time He had, in the meantime, prepared himself for the inevitable and enlisted the support of the Rajas of Delhi Ajmer, Kalinjar and Kanauj T He set out to receive the Afghan army with his hordes of Hindus In the engagement that was

^{*} At first Subuktigin did not believe the news of Jaipāl's betraval and, therefore, paid no heed to it. According to 'Utbi, it was only when he was convinced by the repeated accounts' that he had been defrauded and bamboozled that he marched against Jaipāl to punish him for his 'wickedness' and 'infidelity'

⁷ T F, 1, 20 It is, however, contended that Ajmer was not yet founded. It is said to have been founded in 1100 A C (Indian Antiquary, NNI), 162)

fought on the bank of the Indus, the allies suffered a crushing defeat. After victory, Subuktigin levied heavy contributions on the neighbouring districts, received assurances from the Rāja that he would remit tribute regularly to Ghaznīn and amassed immense booty, including 200 war elephants. Leaving Peshāwar under the command of a trusted officer and putting 10,000 horse at his disposal, the victor marched back to his native Ghaznīn

Politically considered, the result of this invasion was that a mighty Hindū Importance of confederacy was defeated and the superiority of the Musalmäns over the Hindūs was established, and though India was not yet conquered, the right route to attack her was discovered, for Subuktigīn was the first Muslim king who invaded India from the North-West Frontier It may also be noted here that in the first clash between Subuktigīn and Jaipāl, the latter was the aggressor and the second was the direct outcome of his breach of faith *

When Subuktigin ascended the throne of Ghaznin the Samanid power Relations with was on the wane and the Kingthe Samanid dom of Bukhara was in the throes of disintegration Amir Nüh, the Samanid King, was hemmed in by insurgents on all sides and the governors of

^{*} T F, 1, 27, and C H I, 111, 12

the outlying provinces were in constant rebellion. All this afforded a most favourable opportunity to an ambitious leader for the realization of his ambitions, and the Amīr of Ghaznīn could have successfully fished in the troubled waters Far from betraving his overlord he continued to acknowledge him as such and gave ample proof of his lovalty and devotion by lending him a helping hand against his enemies When Abū 'Alī Sīmjūrī' and Faig, two seasoned intriguers joined hands against their overlord, Amīr Nuh, the latter called in the aid of Subuktigin, who was ever ready to help him in his hours of need. In response to his request Subuktigin set out with his forces and reached Herat, where the insurgents had mustered in strength He opened negotiations with Abū Alī and Faiq and proved himself a good peace-maker by prevailing upon them to make peace with their overlord and to pay him an indemnity of 15,000,000 dirhams Not long afterwards, however, Abū 'Aiī violated the terms of the peace-treaty and Subuktigin took the field against him in person, firmly determined to punish him for his perfidy. The rebel put up a vigorous opposition, but a timely attack, delivered by Mahmud decided the day against him When defeated and driven to despair,

^{*} Sir W Haig calls him Abū 'Alī Sunjūr, which is without authority and hence incorrect ($C\ H\ I$, iii, 12)

he fled to Raiy and found shelter with Fakhr ud-Daulah The victorious father and son, viz, Subuktigin and Mahmud, triumphantly entered Herat, where they were accorded a right royal reception by the grateful Amir of Bukhārā Amīr Nüh rewarded the services of Subuktigin by conferring upon him the government of Balkh and the title of Nasurud-Din-waa-Daulah * and those of his son. Mahmud, by bestowing upon him the command of the troops of Khurasan and the title of Saif-ud-Daulah When Mahmud entered Nishāpur he was taken by surprise by the forces of Abū 'Alī Sīmjūrī and Fā'iq and the town was occupied by them After this reverse Mahmud retreated to Herat and reorganized his forces there When Subuktigin learnt of this disaster, he marched post-haste and attacked Abū 'Alī Sīmjūrī Again a timely attack delivered by Mahmud decided the day in his favour Fed up with fruitless struggles and humiliated by repeated reverses, both Abū 'Alī and his friend, Fa'iq, made pourparlers for peace to Amīr Nūh When their ambassadors reached Bukhārā, the Amīr threw into prison the one sent by Fa'iq and received with marked respect the one sent by Abū 'Alī This was done with a view to breaking down their combination. When Fa'ig learnt of the fate of his ambassador, he

^{*} Subuktigin already enjoyed the title of Mu'in ud-Daulah (Vide Sachao The Chronology of Ancient Nations, p 130)

fled to Ilak Khan and sought his interference, while Ibū Alī was taken prisoner on his arrıval at Bukhārā and made over to Subuktigīn for safe custogy Meanwhile Fa'ig had prevailed upon Ilak Khān to launch an attack on Bukhārā When Nuh heard of the impending invasion of Bukhārā by Ilak Khān, he again invoked the assistance of Subuktigin Ever willing to furnish proof of his fidelity, the Amīr or Ghaznīn set out at the head of his army to the help of his overlord On reaching Bukhārā, however, he found that Amir Nuh had changed his mind and was not prepared to meet the invader under the advice of his minister, 'Abdullah bin Muhammad bin Uzair But for this, he would have plunged himself into a decisive war against Ilak Khān He too, however, now made peace with Ilak Khan and ceded all the Samanid territory to the east of Qatwan to him At the same time he also sent his son, Mahmud, to Bukhara at the head of 20 000 horse to bring about the dismissal of the cowardly Vasir, Abdullah Frightened out of his wits, Amīr Nūh dismissed 'Abdullah and appointed another Vazir nominated by Subuktigin' A little later when Subuktigin and Mahmud were both away from Khurāsān, 'Abul Qāsım, brother of Abū Alī Sīmjūrī, availed himself of their absence and occupied Nishāpur without encountering much opposition His success was, however, short-lived, for he had to eva-

^{*} T Y, 98-100

cuate the place on the arrival of Mahmud and his uncle, Bughrajuq,* from Herat

Amidst these transactions, at the height of his power, Subuktigin, who was Character & exhausted by the strain and stress estimate of Subuktigin of ceaseless war and conquest, sank and quietly passed away in 997 A C, bequeathing a fairly large and wellorganized kingdom to his son, Mahmud He was a brave, righteous and upright ruler, who combined in his person the qualities of a soldier and a statesman. He ruled his kingdom with great prudence, justice and moderation for full twenty years He has gone down in history as an Amir-1-'Adit or Just Ruler, who was adored and obeyed by his soldiers, whose sufferings he shared, and loved and respected by his subjects, whose interests he always tried to promote His loyalty and devotion to the Samanid King, whom he acknowledged as his suzerain, stand in dramatic contrast to the treason and treachery of the Bukharan nobility in relation to their overlord and reflects great credit on his character Towards the close of his reign he had grown so strong that foreign potentates eagerly sought his friendship. He was a really great lover of learning and an equally great patron of the learned His advice to his son Mahmūd, who rose to be one of the greatest patrons of literature,

^{*} $V_1 de\ T\ Y$, 75-107, $T\ M$, 235, $Z\ A\ p$, 58, $T\ N$, 75, and $M\ G$, 30-32

is particularly interesting. He told him to conquer the hearts of the literary luminaries of his kingdom by looking after their welfare and carrying out their wishes, pointing out that his generosity in this sphere would immortalize his name " The treatment meted out by him to Abul Fateh, the Dabir of the Samanid Chief, after the expulsion of Tughan from Bust is a glowing tribute to his patronage of learning T Later Muslim historians have tried to represent him a champion of Islam whose principal occupation was to wage wars with the infidels of India and to propagate his religion with fire and sword despite the fact that he 'never crossed the Indus and led only two expeditions against the Hindus' His hands were too full of affairs at home to allow time to draw out his sword and propagate Islam in India at its point. It was only on two occasions that he had to fight the Hindus of India and that too for political The first of his Indian expeditions was undertaken when he was attacked by Raja Jaipal of Lahore and the second when the Raja proved perfidious and violated the terms of the treaty solemnly entered after his defeat in the first expedition Sir W Haig is perfectly right in saving that 'these expeditions were undertaken rather as measures of reprisal and for the purpose of securin

^{*} T F, 1, 31

[†] See supra, p 8

his dominions than with any intention of propagating the faith '* There is absolutely no doubt that as a good Muslim he was profoundly interested in the cause of Islām, but it will be too much to credit him with what he never did or attempted to do He did not propagate Islām in India at the point of the sword. In fact he did not propagate it there even by peaceful means. Apart from the fact that his sway was confined only to a fringe of the Indian Continent, the rest of which remained untouched by him, his political pre-occupations left him but little leisure to do so. This is the verdict of history

From the preceding account it is evident that about the end of the 10th century the Ghaznawid Empire Retrospect was in the making The Ghaznawids, with the solitary exception of Alptigin, the founder of the dynasty, were gradually exerting themselves and asserting their authority in the name of the Samanid King Their motives were political and economic and not religious-creation of an independent empire of their own and its maintenance and not the propagation of Islam and its principles and practices in India They had but one end in view, & e, political aggrandizement, and they laboured to achieve it by all legitimate means. The Samanid name and authority served their purpose well and they

^{*} C H I, m, 12

exploited these words fully to their best advantage Under this political cloak the Ghaznawid power expanded unseen and without exciting jealousies or awakening rivalry. The main object of the growing Ghaznawid State was to strengthen itself and to cement its foundations and to develop itself into a powerful empire It was for purely political considerations that the Ghaznawid rulers acknowledged the suzerainty of the Samanid King, otherwise they had little regard for him or for his power and prestige. This is borne out by the fact that they freed themselves from the nominal political tutelage of the Samanid Sovereignty the moment they felt themselves strong enough to curb the opposition of their enemies The foundations of the great Ghaznawid Empire were laid by Subuktigin and the splendid superstructure was raised by his more famous son and successor, Mahmūd, under whom it became a living force, a real symbol of power and a sure sign of authority

SULTAN MAHMUD THE GREAT - I HIS CONQUESTS IN CENTRAL ASIA

Mahmud is really the first remarkable figure in the history of Muslim [/Introductory India to claim our serious attention He was the real maker of the Ghaznawid State and the first Muslim conqueror of a considerable part of India Under him the semi-independent State of Ghaznin rose to a full-fledged and fully developed Ghaznawid Empire He picked up the policy of his father, pursued it with prudence and carried it to its logical conclusion. Though it is only in a limited sense that he can be regarded as a ruler of India, yet the spade-work he did and the ground he prepared for the establishment of a Muslim empire in India cannot be ignored, and it is mainly in this capacity that he deserves a prominent place in Indian history

Abul Qasim Mahmud was the cldest son of Subuktigin from his wife who was the daughter of an 1mir of Zabuhstan * In spite of the efforts of his historians mediaval as well as modern.

^{&#}x27;S N 108, T I, i, 23 and T G, 395 It is for the reason that Mahmud was also known as Mahmud i Zibuli'

the early life of this mighty monarch of his age still remains wrapped in obscurity A few stray facts bearing on the subject are known and they will not be out of place here. He was born on the night between the 1st and 2nd of November, 971 A C * He received his early education on orthodox lines under the tuition of a learned man Well-grounded in various branches of Islamic literature, he knew the Holy Quran by heart and was fully conversant with Muslim law and Tradition His father was particularly keen about the education of his children He himself had instructed Mahmud in the science of statecraft and crystallized its principles, as propounded by himself, into a Pand-Nāmah Mahmūd, moreover, seems to have undergone a regular course of apprenticeship in the art of administration We find him acting as a deputy of his father during his absence from Ghaznīn He was hardly seven years of age at that time Later he was entrusted with the government of the province of Zamīn-Dāwar He was given a

^{*} Historians have spun some strange stories round the birth of Mahmūd For instance, at one place it is recorded that his birth 'synchronized with the falling down of an idol-temple_ at Waihand, and that shortly before his birth Subuktigin saw in a dream a tree issuing out of the chafing-dish in his room and spreading out rapidly so as to overshadow the whole world, thus presaging the future iconoclast and conqueror' (M G, 34, note 3, T F, 1, 23, and T N, 76) Such stories are not peculiar to the case of Mahmūd Similar stories are told about the birth of Alexander the Great (See Plutarch's Lives, 11, p. 104)

regular training in the military arts and sciences of his day His skill and proficiency as a swordsman, lance-fighter and marksman were par excellence As a constant companion of his father in his military expeditions, he had picked up experience, which proved so useful in his career of conquest We have had occasions to meet him as a lieutenant of his father on a number of battle-fields During his boyhood he gave a good account of his military genius by successfully conducting a punitive expedition against Ghur He acquitted himself creditably in a battle that took place between his father and Jaipal near Lamghan in 986-87 A C As a result, perhaps, of some court intrigue, he fell out with his father and was thrown into prison This estrangement was, however, short-lived The misunderstanding was soon removed and Mahmud was restored to filial favours Mahmūds services to the Samanid King against Abū 'Alī Sīmjūrī and Fā'iq, in conjunction with his father, have been dealt with and need not be dilated upon here * He was at Nishāpur and in the 26th year of his life when he heard the sad news of the death of his father †

In accordance with the will made by
Struggle for Succession his death, the nobles of Balkh raised his younger son, Ismail, to the throne and proclaimed him as their

^{*} See supra, pp 16 ff t See M G, 34 ff

king With a view to strengthening his position, the new king did homage to his Samanid overlord and to win the good-will of the army he lavishly distributed wealth among his soldiers There was, however, a party of the people who believed that fitness to rule was the first and foremost qualification for succession to the throne That party espoused the cause of Mahniud, who was the elder and decidedly the abler and more energetic of the two brothers Mahmud, who was no less ambitious than Ismāīl, refused to acquiesce in the settlement. He wrote a letter of condolence to his brother Ismail, assured him of his fraternal love and asked him to retain Balkn and cede Ghaznīn to him in recognition of his superior rights He also pointed out in that letter that he would not have disputed the will of his father if Ismāīl had possessed the requisite qualifications for kingship The demand was not met and the rival claimants referred the matter to the arbitrament of the sword At this juncture Abul Haris Farighuni, father-inlaw of Mahmud, tried to patch up the differences between the two brothers, but he was considered as an interested mediator and thus his efforts to bring about a compromise ended in smoke After that the two brothers mobilized their forces and advanced on Ghaznīn from their respective places-Mahmud from Nishapur and Ismāīl from Balkh Before they met on the battle-field, Mahmud won over his brother, Abul Muzaffar Nasr, the governor of Bust, and his

uncle Bughrajug, the governor of Herat, and made a final attempt at compromise, but that too suffered the same fate His father-in-law had already thrown the weight of his power and influence on his side and now there was nothing to check him from asserting his claims by force of arms The two armies, almost equal in numerical strength, met on the plain of Ghaznin and a well-balanced battle was fought in March, 998 A C. Ismāil, who was more of a scholar than a soldier, held out heroically for the whole day, but eventually bowed before the calm and cool courage and superior generalship of his brother Towards the close of the day Ismail's forces took to flight and he himself sought shelter in a fort, but feeling sure that he could not sustain a long siege, he threw himself at the mercy of his brother, who had promised to treat him with kindness After winning a decisive victory, Mahmud placed Ismail in nominal cofinement' and provided him with every comfort and convenience. About the end of 999 A C Ismail abused the indulgence shown to him by his brother and hatched up a plot against him Before the nefarious plan was put into practice, Mahmud got an inkling of the plot*

^{*} How Mahmud came to know about the plot is thus stated by 'Utbi -

One day the Sultan went out hunting in company with Ismail and Nushtigin. On the way he saw the latter putting his hand on the hilt of his sword and looking towards the former for some pre arranged signal. Mahmad

and applied for reinforcements. The Amir hastened to the scene for helping him halted at Sarakhs Mahmud, who had occupied Nishāpur in the meantime, left it without fighting a battle and retreated to Marv-Rud * Nishapur was re-occupied by Begtūzūn, who next marched to Sarakhs to join the Amīr Suspecting the Amīr of secretly favouring Mahmūd, Fā'iq and Begtūzūn formed a plot against him, took him prisoner on the pretext of a meeting in connection with an alleged problem of importance and then enthroned Abul Fawaris Abdul Malik, anyounger brother of Amir Abul Haris Mahmud now took up the cause of the ex-Amir and proceeded to Sarakhs to punish Fa'iq and Begtüzün At his approach, both the traitors fled to Marv Mahmud pursued them thither and actually encamped in front of that place Before, however, the fight began the parties came to an understanding, whereby Begtuzun was allowed to retain the command of the troops of Khurāsān as before and Mahmūd was confirmed in his possession of Herat, Balkh, Thus ended the campaign without achieving the object for which it was launched † The peace concluded was short-lived It was broken as soon as the followers of the Amīr, instigated by Dārā bin Qābūs who was opposed to the terms

^{*&#}x27;Utbī accounts for this unconditional retreat of Mahmud by saying that he disdained to deal the final blow to the Samanids (T Y, 124)

[†] See Z A, 60, and T M, 805 It is stated that

general, Fa'iq, brought his schemes to an abrupt close At the end of October, 999 A C Ilak Khān conquered Bukhārā, captured the Amīr together with his kith and kin and put an end to the Samanid Dynasty Abū Ibrahīm Ismāīl al-Muntasır, son of Amīr Nūh, who had managed to escape from the custody of Ilak Khān, made abortive efforts to retrieve the fallen fortunes of his family In Khwārizm he enlisted the support of those who still clung to the cause of the Samanids With their help he attacked Bukhārā, but failed to take it Thereafter he attacked Nishapur and inflicted a defeat on Nasr on February 25, 1001 A C When, however, Mahmud arrived with reinforcements, he fled to Jurjan In September next he appeared again in Khurāsān At his approach Nasr evacuated Nishāpur and applied for reinforcements Mahmud sent Abu Sa'id Altuntash to his help and the combined forces made an attack on Muntasir, who suffered a crushing defeat and took to flight Ere long he came back from Jurjan, whither he had fled, and took Sarakhs He was defeated by Nasr and many of his officers, including Abul Qasim, were taken prisoners and sent to Ghaznin Muntasir tried his luck in Transoxiana and other places, but failure followed him everywhere He now made an appeal for thelp, to. Mahmud who issued instructions to the governor of Herat to join forces with him in his venture against Ilak Khān Without waiting for reinforcements, he marched against Bukhārā and after suffering

heavy losses returned to Khurāsān. To put a stop to the disturbances he was creating in his new dominions, Mahmūd sent a large army against him. He fled to Jurjān and made yet another unsuccessful attempt to recover Bukhārā. His stormy career was cut short by an Arab in the Ghuzz desert

After the conquest of Bukhārā by Ilak Khan* and that of Khurasan by Dealings with Sultan Mahmud, the two great the khāns of conquerors of their day exchanged Turlustăn friendly greetings and accepted the river Oxus as the boundary-line between their kingdoms. To strengthen this friendship, Mahmud married the daughter of Ilak Khan But the Khan coveted the province of Khurasan so much that even this matrimonial alliance did not exercise any check on his ambitions. No sooner had Mahmūd marched against Multan, than he detailed two divisions of his army against Khurāsān—one under the command of his brother, Chaghartigin, and the other under that of his relative, Subashītigīn The two generals conquered Balkh and Herāt and with their conquest the whole of Khurāsān passed into hands of Ilak Khān Mahmūd anticipated this invasion and had therefore issued necessary instructions to his officers before his departure from Ghaznīn As soon as he

^{*} Muslim historians call these Khāns of Turkistān 'Afrāsivābī Turks' and modern historians call them 'Qarā-Khānids' (M G, 47, p 3)

the people of Transoxiana against the tyranny of 'Alltigin provided a most plausible pretext and Mahmud marched against Samarqand where 'Alltigin had taken his stand. At his approach 'AlItigIn took to flight, but his wife and children were taken prisoners and treated with respect consistent with their position. Qadir Khan of Käshghar and Mahmud exchanged greetings and cemented their friendship by matrimonial alliances While Mahmud married his daughter, Zainab, to Qadir Khān's son, Bughrā Khān,* Qadir Khan gave one of his daughters in marriage to Muhammad, son of Mahmud † Hardly had Mahmud left Samarqand for Ghaznīn when 'AlItigin emerged from his retreat, defeated Oadir Khan and took possession of Samarqand. Qadir Khan sought but failed to secure the support of Mahmud, because the latter was about to proceed against Somnath On his return. however, Mahmud sent a large force to Qadır Khan who defeated 'Alitigin and compelled him to come to terms Thereafter Qadir Khan continued to be friendly towards Mahmud

The Ma'mūnids, * e, the rulers of Jurjāniy-yah, were at first the feudatories of Jurjāniyyah of the Sāmānids but became independent later on One of them, Abul Hasan 'Alī, married Mahmūd's

^{*} His original name was Yaghantigin (T M, 230)

[†] For marriage ceremonies $\it etc$, see $\it Z$ $\it A$, 83-84, and $\it T$ $\it M$, 230-31

sister called Kah-Kalji His brother Abul Abbas, who succeeded him, married his widow and professed to possess profound respect for Mahmud When, however, the Sultan asked him to acknowledge him as his overlord he referred the matter to a council of officers who refused to submit to foreign yoke When the soldiers learnt of this, they mutinied, but were silenced by a lavish distribution of gold. This was, however, a luil before the storm that was yet to come Finding himself between the devil and the deep sea 'Abbas contracted a secret alliance with the Khans of Turkistan When Mahmud heard of this secret alliance, he set out with a huge army against Balkh But for the timely intervention of the Khans of Turkistan Mahmud would have dealt a decisive blow to his brother-in-law 'Abbas had now no alternative but to submit He acknowledged Mahmūd as his suzerain and inserted his name in the Khutba The soldiers, who had been silenced only with gold, regarded this submission as a standing insult to the honour of their country. They advanced on the capital and perpetrated a number of atrocities which culminated in the assassination of 'Abul Abbas On hearing of the tragic fate of his vassal and brother-in-law, Mahmud marched against Khwarizm at the head of his army Before taking action he arranged the safe return of his sister and secured the neutrality of the Khans of Turkistan The regicides inflicted heavy losses on the Ghaznawid forces, but

ultimately victory sided with the Sultan. The rebels were completely crushed and the murderers of 'Abul Abbās were put to the sword. The government of Khwārizm and Jurjāniyyah was entrusted to Amīr Hājib Altūntāsh, who had distinguished himself in the fight, and the title of Khwārizmshāh was conferred upon him in recognition of his services. After introducing necessary administrative changes and making appointments and transfers, Mahmūd marched back to Ghaznīn

Abū Nasr Muhammad bin Asad-ash-Shār was wise enough to bow before Conquest of the rising star of Sultan Mahmud Gharshistan He acknowledged the Sultan as his suzerain and read the Khutha in his name But his son, Shah Muhammad, the younger Shar, offended Mahmud by refusing to accompany him on an expedition and behaving improperly when called upon to explain his conduct As a result, Gharshistan* was attacked The elder Shar submitted, but the younger took position in an almost inaccessible hillfort and from there offered resistance The fort was besieged and a breach was made in one of its outer walls. The garrison held out heroically, but eventually they sought safety in surrendering themselves. Shah Muhammad was made captive along with a number

^{*} Gharshist in is also called Gharjistan and Gharj ash Shar in some accounts

of his officers and sent to Mastang,* where he died some time later. Gharshistan was annexed to the Gharnawia Empire and placed in charge of Abul Hasan-al-Mani'i, governor of Mary-Rūd, in 1012 \ C. The elder Shar was taken to Ghizanin and treated with marked respect.

The origin of the Saljuques is shrouded in the thick mist of antiquity. There The rise of are several conflicting accounts the Saliais about them Of them, the most probable seems to be this \in important section of the Ghuzz tribe shot off from the parent-stock under their leager, named Saljug, after whose name it came to be known later on, and migrated to Transoviana and settled down there times they rendered help to the Samanids in their wars with their neighbours of Turkistan lending a helping hand to 'Alltigin in his conquest of Bukhara, Israil son of Saijuq acquired immense influence at Bukhārā When Mahmūd marched against Transoxiana, 'Alltiglin managed to make good his escape but Israil fell into his hands, was taken prisoner and sent to the fort of Kalanjar T. According to an account, the Saljuqs were either induced or forced to migrate to the south of the Oxus and settle gown in Khurasan

^{*} Mastang is situated in modern Balüchistän

This Kulanjar was different from the fort of Kulinjar, situated in Bundhelkhand. It was situated to the north of Jhelum in the pass leading into Kashmir (Vide M. G., 64 foot note)

It seems more probable, however, that they remained in Transoxiana, owning allegiance to the Sultan and waging wars on their own account till the close of his reign when they began to make encroachments upon his immediate possessions. Lest they should become a menace to his power, Mahmūd led a number of expeditions against them personally and defeated them in many battles. They were held in check at that time and they could not enter Khurāsān in force until the accession of Sultan Mas'ūd to the throne.*

When Bughrājuq, the governor of Fūshanj, had gone to help Mahmūd in his conquest of Sīstān struggle for the throne, his province was occupied by Tāhir son of Walī-ud-Daulah Abū Ahmad Khalaf bin Ahmad, the governor of Sīstān, who had become independent after the fall of the Sāmānids After his accession Mahmūd helped Bughrājuq and enabled him to recover his province, but he pursued the enemy in a state of drunkenness and got killed In December, 999 A C. Mahmūd marched against Khalaf and forced him to purchase peace on payment of 100,000 dinārs as indemnity After that the Sultān returned to his capital Subsequently a quarrel took place between Khalaf and his son, Tāhir, and the father managed to imprison his son and put him to death Infuriated by this

^{*} See K T, 1x, 266 68 and 321 ff, T N, 116 ff, T G, 434-35, Z A, 84 ff, and Ency of Islam, 11, 168 ff

accordingly, the people invited Mahmud to rescue them from his tyranny. In response, the Suitan secout at the head of his army and laid siege to the fort of Sistan. Ishalaf offered a stubborn resistance, but sought safety in submission Mahmud extended him his pardon, allowed him to retain his riches and sent him to Jürjanan at his own choice. After appointing Hajib Qinji as governor of Sistan, the Sultan went back to Ghannin. Not long after his departure a rebellion broke out in that province and Mahmud had to take the field in person. He put down the rebellion and massacred a large number of the rebellion and massacred a large number of the rebellion and the province in charge of his own brother Nast, Mahmud returned to Ghaznin.

According to the Tarikh-s-Guzidah when

Assumption of the title of 'Sultār'

Ishalaf bin Ahmad of Sistan was defeated by Mahmad, he addressed the victor as 'Sultan'-an appellation which signifies authority or

power-and the latter liked it and adopted it as his title, giving up that of Amir* Baizawi, on the other hand, would have us believe that the Khalifa of Baghaad (Al-Qadir Billah) confirmed Mahmud in the government of Khurasan and Sistan, sent him a robe of honour and also conferred upon him the title of 'Sultan' in honour of his victory over Abdul Malik T. This seems to be improbable for the simple reason that Mahmud never

^{*} T G, 396, and Ceins of Glazni Kings, 5

TS A VI A, 9, foot note

THE GUNZNAWIOS

used this title in his coins and remained content with the title of $Am\bar{s}r$, with which the Khalīfa distinguished him \dagger There is a strong tradition that Mahmūd was the first king who styled himself as $Sult\bar{a}n$ \dagger

Muhammad bin Sūrī, I the ruler of Mandīsh (eastern Ghur), acknowledged the Conquest suzerainty of Subuktigin, but of Ghur renounced allegiance after his death and adopted a hostile attitude In 1011 A C Mahmud marched against him The Ghūrids pooled their resources, offered him a united front and inflicted a defeat on Altuntash who led the advance-guard. Mahmud joined him immediately afterwards and their combined forces defeated the Ghurids in some engagements After these reverses, Ibn Sūrī retired to the maccessible hills from where he could not be dislodged Mahmud resorted to a ruse He turned back and feigned flight The simple unassuming Ghurids now rushed out in pursuit of the Ghaznawids, and when they reached a plain Mahmud turned round and made a desperate charge on the disorderly hordes and scattered them pell-mell Ibn Sūrī, his son and officers were taken prisoners and sent to Ghaznīn Abū 'Alī son of Ibn Sūrī, who had quarrelled with

⁴ C H I, 111, 14, foot-note

[†] S N, 44, K T, 18, 92, T N, 75; and W Barthold's Turkestān, 271

^{† &#}x27;Utbī calls him simply Ibn Sūrī Also see M G, 70, foot-note 4

he fainer and calcan refuge at Ghaznin, was nade governor of Manush In 1015 \ C Manmud wacked Khwanin,* captured some fores and returned to Grannin Some time later the north-western part of Ghür, called Tab, was conquered by M is ud and almost the whole of Gnur excepting perhaps the inaccessible interior, was annexed to the Gharnawia Empire i

Ousdar was converted into a dependency of Gharnin by Subuktigin In Keduction 1010-11 \ C its ruler renounced of Qued ir allegiance and withheld tribute ac the instigation of Ilak Khan In December, 1011 1 C Manmud mobilized his forces against it and laid siege to its fort. Its ruler offered supmission and promised to pay 15,000 000 airhams. is an indemnity to deliver lifeen elephants and to remit the annual tribute regularly. In return for all this he was allowed to retain his kingdom as a dependency of Ghaznin

Conquest of the valleys of rivers Nor and Oirit

In 1020 A C Mahmud undertook an expedition against 'the pleasant valleys' of the rivers Nur and Ofrat & While the ruler of the Ofra, villey offered submission and accepted Islam

^{*} This was the south western district of Ghar (Vide V G 72, foot note 4)

T Had , 70 ff

Tr Y 250 51 That the amount is rather exag gerated admits of no doubt

^{\$} These rivers are in modern Kafiristán

with most of his men, that of the Nūr valley adopted a hostile attitude, but had to bow before the might and means of Mahmūd The people of these valleys worshipped the lion and were apparently Buddhists who worshipped the Sākiya Sinha (lion), the Buddha Mahmūd appointed a number of teachers to acquaint them with the rudiments of Islām and returned to Ghaznīn *

At the end of the year 1019 A C Mahmūd marched against the Afghāns,† who inhabited the region between Ghaznīn and the Indus He inflicted a most severe chastisement on the Afghāns who used to make raids into the

frontier towns of the Ghaznawid Empire and had become so daring as to waylay the Imperial forces on their way back from Kanauj It is said that very few, except women and children, escaped the terrible execution ordered by the Sultan

Subuktigin had promised Shams-ul-Ma'ali Abul Hasan Qābūs bin Washmthe Ziyārids gīr bin Ziyār to restore his kingdom (Jurjān and Tabaristān) to him, but died before fulfilling the promise Mahmūd wanted to do what was left undone by his father on account of his death, but accomplished nothing. Qābūs was, however, able to occupy Jurjān in August, 998 A C and to

^{*} Z A, 78-79

[†] They were neither Ghilzais nor Ghurids as erroneously supposed by some scholars (See M G, 76, f n 1)

establish his sway over Tabaristan and Jibāl He was not destined to enjoy a long reign He was deposed by the army and his son, Minūchihr, was raised to the throne Mahmūd took up the cause of Dārā, another son of Qābūs, who had quarrelled with his father and taken refuge at Ghaznīn But Minūchihr disarmed his opposition by recognizing him as his suzerain and promising to pay $50,000 \ d\bar{\imath}n\bar{\alpha}rs$ annually as tribute A little later Mahmūd married one of his daughters to him Once, when the fall of Raiy created misgivings in his mind, Minūchihr adopted a defiant attitude, but made ample apologies and paid a fine of $500,000 \ d\bar{\imath}n\bar{\alpha}rs$ to appease his overlord*

The rulers of Mekran were the feudatories of the Buwaihids After the fall of -with the the Buwaihids, one of them, viz, rulers of Ma'dan, acknowledged Subuktigin Mekrāu as his suzerain On Subuktigīn's death he renewed allegiance to his successor, Mahmud On his own death one of his sons, named 'Isā, defeated the other, called Abul Mu'askar, and forced him to seek shelter in Sīstān Mahmud was at that time away in India on his expedition against Somnath On his return to Ghaznīn when Abul Mu askar waited upon him, he treated him with kindness. Lest the Sultan should raise Abul Mu'askar to the throne, 'Isā acknowledged Mahmud as his overlord. He was

 $[\]overset{*}{}$ T Y, 278-80 and 283, and T M, 245-46

then called upon to provide maintenance for his brother and was confirmed in the government of Mekrān Towards the close of Mahmūd's reign when he was harassed by the Saljūqs, 'Isā declared his independence, which was ensured for some time on account of Mahmūd's death

On the death of Fakhr-ud-Daulah, the Buwashed ruler of Rasy, his widow Conquest of was allowed to govern his do-Raiv. Hamaminions as a regent of his minor dan & Isfahan son, Maid-ud Daulah Mahmud was too clever to risk his reputation by leading his forces against a defenceless widow. This magnanimity was not, however, extended to her son when he grew to manhood and assumed the reins of kingship on the death of his mother His reign was a continuous scene of misgovernment Terrorized by the Dailamite troops, he invoked the aid of Sulian Mahmud The Sultan, who was waiting for such an opportunity, immediately sent an army under the command of Hajib 'Ali who took him captive and sent him to Ghaznin, from where he was sent to India Then followed a ruthless persecution of the Carmathians, Batinis and Mu'tazilites and a wholesale destruction of their literature * The chiefs of the neighbouring places came forward and owed allegiance to him. But the ruler of Abhar, Sarjahan, Shahrazur and Zanjant, named

^{*} See Z A, 91, K T, 1x, 262, and M G, 83 T For the locality of these places, vide The Lands of the Lastern Caliphate, by G Le Srange, p 221

Ibrahim bin Marzuban of Dailam, general known as "Salar", had the audacity english to incur the displeasure of Mahmud by return to owe allegiance to him His destruction was therefore decided upon and a large arm; was sent against him under the command of an old rival of his, called Marzuban bin Hisa who had sought shelter with the Sultan, to punish him for his patriotism Forming in alliance with some of the leading chiefs of the Dailamites, Marzuban marched against life rival and captured Qazwin without experience much opposition Meanwhile, Mahmud returned to his capital and, availing himself of his ab ence. the Salar emerged from his retreat, inflicted on his rival a sharp defeat and occupied Qazala Prince Mas'ud, who was placed in charge of the new province and entrusted with the lask of reducing the remaining parts of the Buwaihid territory, opened his regime by leading an expedition against him in conjunction with Marzuban He laid siege to the stronghold where the Salar had taken shelter, but the garrison held out heroically and frustrated the efforts of the besiegers Failing to take the fort by storm, Mas'ud resorted to underhand means and achieved his object Holding out high hope, to some of the responsible officers of the Salar and thus winning them over to his side, he acquainted himself with the vulnerable point of the fort and commenced operations in right earnest Finding himself betrayed by h son officers, the gallant Salar came out Ħ

and made a desperate charge on the besiegers He was, however, defeated and taken prisoner His son offered submission and promised to pay tribute. Mas'ūd next attacked and occupied Hamadān after inflicting a defeat on the deputy of 'Alā-ud-Daulah bin Kākawaih*. The turn of Isfahān came next 'Alā-ud-Daulah was allowed to retain it at the recommendation of the Khālīfa of Baghdād on the condition that he would pay a sum of 20,000 dīnārs annually as tribute Meanwhile, Mahmūd breathed his last (30th April, 1030 A C) and Mas'ūd marched to Nishāpur to make a bid for the throne †

His full name was Abu Ja'far Muhammad biti Dushmanziyār and was also known as simply Ibn Kākawaih The famous philosopher Abū 'Alī Sīnā (Avicenna) flourished at his court under his patronage (K T, ix, 279, and Tārīkh-ul-Hukamā, by Al-Qifti, pp 419-26)

[†] K T, 1x, 262-63 and 279, T M, 11-25 and 359, T N, 87 Baihaqi also states that Mas'üd was left at Raiv with an ill-equipped army of only 2,000 (T M, 258)

CHAPTER III

SULTAN MAHMUD THE GREAT-II

HIS INVASIONS OF INDIA

Aiptigin and his successors had led a number of expeditions against the frontier towns of India and Subuktigin Introductory nad 'fought numerous battles with Raja Jaipal of Waihind (modern Hung) and extended his kingdom as far as Lamghan It was left for Mahmud to continue the forward policy of his father and to carry his conquests far into the interior of India When the Khalifa of Baghdad recognized him as an independent ruler in 999 A C 'he made it incumbent upon himseif to lead an expedition against India every vear * In pursuance of this resolution he lea not less than seventeen expeditions against India and it must be recorded to his credit that in none of them he suffered a defeat.

^{*} This is what 'Utbl savs $(T \ Y, 134)$ The translation of this passage in $E \in D$ (Vol. 11, p. 24) is inaccurate, and it has misled many in that it implies that Mahmud took a vow to undertake a roly war against Hind every year and casts a halo of $Jir\bar{a}d$ on or rather gives a fouch of religious fanancism to what were purely secular exploits (Vice Chapter IV)

The accounts of Mahmua seventeen (not twelve as erroneously stated by some historians) expeditions against India, as given in the contemporary chronicles and later histories, are materially discrepant. Their number and dates after in different accounts, the

The first of his Indian expeditions was directed against the frontier towns and (1) Towns of forts of the Khyber Pass in the Khyber Pass month of September, 1000 A C

It resulted in the capture of several places Having entrusted the government of the newly conquered territory to an able officer, Mahmud returned to Ghaznin with as much booty as he was able to collect t

Jaipal, the Raja of Waihind, whom Subuk-tigin had twice defeated and reduced to submission, was no (2) Peshāwar longer friendly Muhammad bin 'Alī, the reputed author of the Majma-ul-Ansāb. informs us that after the death of Amir Subuktigin, Raja Jaipal tried to win back what

spoils obtained by the Sultan in each of them have been variously estimated - in some so exaggerated as to stagger our imagination-, and the names of persons and places are too often corrupted, confused and carelessly recorded by the scribes I have exercised the greatest care in reconciling these conflicting and even contradictory accounts and tried to be accurate as far as possible

* Gardizi is the only contemporary chronicler who makes a mention of this expedition. Ferishta and Nizām-ud din Ahmad are the two later historians who have reproduced it in their works. They seem to have drawn upon Gardizi's Zain-ul-Akhbar, but both of them have made some mistakes in copying it Sir W Haig (C H I, 111, 13) regards this expedition as 'apocryphal' without assigning any good reasons. It was not made much of by the contemporary chroniclers obviously hecause it was a comparatively minor expedition, confined only to the frontier towns of India

the Amīr had conquered from him and attacked Mahmūd, who set out from Ghaznīn at the head of his army to repel the invasion. The army of the Rāja is said to have consisted of 30,000 foot, 12,000 horse and 300 elephants. The two armies encamped in the neighbourhood of Peshāwar and in a fierce fight that took place between them on the 27th of November, 1001 A C, the Hindūs were defeated and put to flight. Their Rāja, with fifteen of his sons and grandsons, was made captive and sent to 'a slave market' in Khurāsān and sold there for 80 ainārs.† The spoils were 'beyond

^{*} Majma-ul-Ansāb quoted by Dr M Nāzim in M G, 87, foot-note 1

The original word of which the English equivalent is taken to be 'a market' is Man-Yazid, which has puzzied a number of competent scholars. On the authority of the MSS of the Tabqāt-i Nāsiri, Raverty assures us that 'nearly every copy' has the word 'Man-Yazīd' While translating the relevant passage, Sir H Elliot (E & D, 11, 270) has erroneously written 'Yazd' for 'Man-Yazīd' On the authority of the MSS of the Tabqāt i-Nāsiri, Raverty calls it 'Man-Yazīd', and relying on the MS of a qasīda of 'Unsurī, Dr M Nāzīm calls it Mīrand Both these scholars have taken it to be the name of a place. This is perhaps because it is followed by the word Khurāsān in the original text. On the authority of the Glinjās-ul Lughāt and the Mūnjad ul-Fuzalā, Professor Hodivala (S I M H, viii-viv and 191-93) takes it to mean 'a market, an auction, and an auctioneering room' and, after discussing the point at length, comes to the conclusion that "Jayapāla was publicly exposed at one of the slave auctions in some market in Khurāsān, just like thousands of other Hindū captives". His conclusion is confirmed by Isāmī, the author of the Futūli-us-Salātīn, a metrical history of Mediæval India (1000 1349 A C),

all bounds of calculation' They included, inter alsa, sixteen* precious necklaces of pearls, one of which was of enormous value' The Raja was restored to his kingdom on the conditions that he would pay 250,000 dinars as ransom and give fifty elephants Jaipal was then allowed to go to his capital, but in order to ensure the fulfilment of the conditions of the peace-treaty, the Sultan detained two princes, a son and a grandson of the Raja, as hostages After spending the winter months at Waihind and reducing the neighbouring places, Mahmūd returned to Ghaznīn in the spring of the same year Humiliated by repeated reverses, the Raja sank low in the eyes of his subjects 'who refused to

written in 1350 A C century and recently lighted upon and published by Dr Mahdī Hussain of Agra. The relevant verses are

بیک حمله افواج مده و شکست متابش همان رائے حیبال دست مرور ا باقصائے عربیں سرد بدلال بارار بردہ سپر د شیدم بعرمان و مانووا بہشتاد دیبار حیبال را مقیمان بارار بعروحتید بائش بھارن در اندوحتید استعادت در اندوحتید در ان

In view of all this, it is impossible to agree with Dr M Nīzim who says that "the sale of Jaipāl meant only the fixing of his ransom" (M G, 87) The object of Mahmūd seems to have been to intimidate the Rāja so that he might yield to his demands unconditionally

* Dr M Nazim erroneously gives the number of the necklaces captured as 'fifteen' (M G, 87) One necklace, which was of 'enormous value', was taken from the Raja himself and fifteen from his relatives. Hence sixteen is the correct number (T F, 1, 24).

acknowledge a king who had been a captive in the hands of the Muslims' and being fed up with his lot, he solemnly made over his kingdom to his son, Anandpāl, mounted a funeral pyre and burnt himself to death in the customary manner of his proud race *

Bijī Rāi, the Rāja of Bhātiya,† had been on good terms with Subuktigīn (3) Bhātiya When, therefore, Mahmūd attacked Jaipāl he expected aid from his father's friend On being disappointed, the Sultān marched against him to punish him 'for his failure to support him '‡ At his approach the gallant Rāja came out of his fort and offered a pitched battle to the invader The battle raged for three days and the Rāja defended his position very bravely, but on the fourth day a

^{*} T Y, 159, Z A, 56, and T F 1, 24

[†] See supra, p 11, note Bhera seems to be a more probable identification of Bhātiya, for the capture of Waihind had just 'opened Mahmūd's way into the Northern Punjab' and 'he had not even crossed the Indus', and it is difficult to believe how he could have penetrated as far as Uchch, Bhatinda, Bhatner or Bhāwalpur, which have been offered as identifications of Bhātiva by different scholars Each of the said four places is at a distance of not less than 300 miles from Waihind and it would not have been possible for the Sultān to reach there "without possessing a single base of operations any means of keeping up his communications or of preventing the rulers whose territories he had invaded from cutting off his retreat" (See S I-JI H, 138-39)

^{*} C H I, m, 14

desperate charge led by the Sultan decided the day in his favour. The Raja took to flight and found refuge in a fort, which was surrounded by a broad deep ditch. The Sultan laid siege to the fort and ordered that the ditch be filled with earth, stones and trees The satisfactory progress of this process depressed the spirits of the Raja who fled to a forest,* leaving the garrison to defend the fort But he was not safe even there. for his whereabouts were soon discovered and he was pursued to the place where he was sheltering himself Surrounded by Muslim soldiers on all sides and feeling sure that he would soon be taken prisoner, "the high-spirited' Raja turned his sword against his own breast and stabbed himself to death † Deserted by their Chief, the garrison also got depressed and allowed the invader to occupy the fort without facing much opposition "No quarter was given to the enemy (Hindus) and only those who embraced Islam escaped the vengeance of the conquerors" Enormous booty, including 120

TY, 210 Gardiei (Z A 67) says that the Raja fled to the bank of the river Sasind, "which,' according to Dr M Nazim "might be the old name of the river Hakra" (M G, 100, n 8) rerishta substitutes the word Sind (Indus) for Sasind (T F, 1, 24)

 $[\]dagger$ T Y, 210, and Z A, 67 It is difficult to reconcile this heroic act of the "high spirited" Raja with his flight from the fort at the approach of the invader

IM G, 101 Mark the nature of conversion

elephants, fell into the hands of the victors After the victory the Sultan staved there for sometime to subjugate the surrounding places. During his stay he is said to have "appointed teachers to instruct the converts in the rudiments."

^{*} Referring to this booty, Dr M Nazim says that "the share of the Sultan alone amounted to 120 elephants besides gold, silver and arms" (M G 101) This statement conveys the impression that there were some more elephants as well, forming the share of others Dr Nazim seems to have followed Sir H Elliot who has expressed the same view in a foot-note in $E \in D$, ii, 30 which reads "Ferishta says 280 (elephants were captured) and Mirkhond 120, but does not notice that this was the personal share of the Sultan 'In view of the well-known fact that in those days all elephants formed the property of the king and no private individual had the right to keep them, it was absurd to point out the personal share of the Sultan Altogether 120 (or 280 according to Gardizi and Ferishta) elephants were captured and all of them ipso facto belonged to the Sultan Not a single one went to anyone else The words "personal" and "alone" used by Sir H Elliot and Dr Nazim respectively are highly misleading 'Utbi has used the words حص السلطان and in the original Arabic text (Delhi Lith, 260) they are not qualified by any such word the equivalent of which may be "personal" or "alone" All that Gardizi (Z A, 67) says is that 280 elephants were captured. In the Newal Kishor edition of the Tārikh-i-Ferishta, Vol 1, p 24 the number of elephants captured is ع أ دورست و مشدا د و number of elephants captured is times twenty and eighty, which work out to be 120 and not 15 taken to be a mistake for صد For the royal prerogative about the keeping of elephants, vide E & D, 11, 40, 111, 77, 91 92, 120, 235, 316, 11, 504, T N (Rayer ty), 650 note, 662, T M, 349, 488, and T A, 33

of Isiam '* When he left for Ghaznin he suifcred great losses on the way, in the first place because the Indus was in flood and it exacted a heavy toll, and secondly because Abul Fatch Daud, the ruler of Multan, blocked the passage of his army through his territories and augmented its sufferings † Thus after suffering many hardships and sustaining many losses, the Sultan reached Ghaznin about the middle of 1005 A C

Shaikh Hamid Lawit had cultivated friendly relations with Amir Subuktigin and thus secured his position in Sind and Multan But his grandson, Abul Fatch Daud bin Nasir, reversed this cautious policy and gave offence to Sultan Mahmud by embracing the Carmathian creed, entering

^{*} Ilind, and T Y, 210 Note that conversion preceder preaching and propaganda

[,] K T , ix, 149

Ferishta (T P, 1, 24) has erroneously written 'Lodi and the error has been repeated by Sir W Haig (C H I in, 14), Professor M Habib (S M G, 23) and others despite the fact that it was fully exploded by flaverty long ago (Mihran, 325 note) "Lodi" is a miswithing of Lawi who, according to Mas'adi, was one of the ancestors of Diad (M Z, 234, 385) Mas adi is supported by many other authorities and they all agree that the rulers of Multan were the descendants of one Usama bin Lawi bin Ghilib The Lodis were Afghans and according to Raverty, "there were no Lodis nor Lodi rulers in Multan at this time nor centuries afters ards' (See W G, 96, foot-note 5, and S I W H, 141)

into an alliance with the Raja of Bhatiya and attacking the Ghaznawid army on its returnmarch after the conquest of Bhatiya* Thus enraged, Sultan Mahmud set out from Ghaznin in the spring of 1006 A C and launched his next expedition against the ruler of Multan The direct route to Multan being risky because it was not safe to cross the Indus lower down, the Sulian proposed to pass through Anandpal's territories and cross the river near Peshawar, but was opposed by the forces of the Raja After defeating the Raja and driving him into the hills of Kashmir, he resumed his march to Multan Not willing to court destruction in an open battle, Daud shut himself up in the fort and defended his position for a week, after which he sued for peace † Imposing a tribute of 20,000 golden dirhams per year on

^{*} Ferishta simply says that Dāūd had annoyed Nahmūd by his unbecoming behaviour $(T \ F, 1, 25)$

⁷ According to 'Utbi, he (Dāūd) determined to load all his property on elephants and carry it off to Sarandib, and he left Multān empty" (E & D, 11, 31) This is a most preposterous statement. It has given rise to many surmises and speculations, some of which are no less amazing Raverty identified Sarandib with Kachh Bhuj (Mihrān, 325 note) Professor Hodivala suggests the name of "Debal-Sind" and says that it "would appear to be a more plausible restoration" (S I-M H, 141) Dr. M Nāzim says that he (Dāūd) "fled to an island in the Indus" (M G, 97) In view of the fact that the garrison defended the fort for seven days (Z A, 67), the flight of Dāūd is improbable. Had he fled away, the garrison would have submitted without resistance.

Dāūd and exacting promises of future allegiance, good faith and abjuration of heretical beliefs, Mahmūd marched back to Ghaznīn, leaving Sukhpāl, alias Nawāsa Shāh, in charge of the campaign against the outlying parts of Multān * He would have taken a decisive step against Dāūd and completed the subjugation of the entire province of Multān, but had to content himself with the terms stated above because hardly had he won victory when he received the news of the invasion of his homelands by llak Khān, the King of Kāshghar

Taking advantage of Mahmūd's troubles,

(5) Bhātiya

Nawāsa Shāh† apostatized and declared himself independent

Such a conduct on his part was bound to bring

For M Nāzim, on the authority of Guzīdah (T G, 397) says that Sukhpāl was left in "charge of the government of Multān" (M G, 98) and Sir W Haig says that he was appointed governor of Und (Waihind) but does not specify his authority (C H I, 111, 15) In view of the fact that a peace was concluded with Dāūd, it is wrong to say that Sukhpāl was entrusted with the government of Multān Haig seems to have followed Ferishta (T F, 1, 25) a little carelessly All that Ferishta says is that Sukhpāl was left in charge of the campaign against Bliatinda (Bhātiya)

[†] He was a grandson of Rāja Jaipāl, who had been left as a hostage with Subuktigīn and who is said to have been taken prisoner and converted to Islām by Abū 'Alī Sīmjūrī after the defeat of Mahmūd at Nishāpur (Z A, 69) He was called Nawās Shāh because he was the Nawāsa (grandson) of the Shāh (Jaipāl of the Hindūshāhiya Dynasty)

on him the usual punishment. The danger from Kāshghar being over, Mahmūd advanced at the head of his army early in the year 1008 A C and attacked him Nawāsa Shāh was defeated, dispossessed of 400,000 dirhams, which he had accumulated, and thrown into prison for the rest of his life *

Anandpāl, who had allied himself with (6) Punjāb Dāūd, the ruler of Multān, and blocked the passage of the Muslim army through his territory, now sent ambassadors on all sides and invoked the assistance of the neighbouring princes of Hindustān for stemming the rising tide of the Muslim conquest Among those who readily responded to his request were the Rājas of Ujjain, Gwālior, Kālinjar, Kanauj, Delhi and Ajmer, all of whom Joined him in his invasion of the Ghaznawid Kingdom This was the first Hindū confederacy formed against Mahmūd and to overthrow it was no easy task † Trilochanpāl,‡ son of Anandpāl, was entrusted with the

Z A, 69, T Y, 223, and T F, 1, 26

Therishta alone is responsible for making a mention of this confederacy (T. F., 1, 26). In the list of the confederates he includes the Rajas of Delhi and Ajmer as well, but it is contended that Delhi and Ajmer were not founded at that time. (See M. G., 89, foot-note 3, and Iraian Antiquary, xxvi, 162)

[†] Dr M, Nazīm, following 'Utbī (E & D n 33) calls him Brahmanpāl, which is an error (See S , H, 133)

command of the combined forces of the Rajas and directed to advance towards Peshawar. These forces were further swelled on the way by the enlistment of 'the wild and warlike' Galhars So great was the national excitement among the Hindus on this occasion that they spared nothing to destroy the neighbouring Muslim kingdom root and branch Those who were poor gave ample proof of their patriotism by contributing as much as they could from their meagre earnings Even their women are said to have sold their Jewellery and gold ornaments in order to augment the resources of war On receiving the news of this Hindu invasion, Mahmud marched out on the 31st of December, 1008 A C, crossed the Indus and reached the plain opposite Waihind This time Mahinud observed that unlike before the Hindus had sunk their differences and pooled their resources for national defence. He was highly impressed by the devotion of the Hindus and their women When the battle began, the bare-headed and bare-footed Gakhars, armed with spears and swords, appeared on the scene, rushed into the thick of the fight and killed a large number of Muslims The prudence and personal prowess of the Sultan, coupled with a piece of good luck, turned the tables against the Hindus When the fury of the Hindu attack abated and the Hindus were exhausted, the Argus-eyed Mahmud sent his personal guards to sweep round and make a sudden attack on the rear of the Hindū army This was done

and, while effecting a partial change of front to repulse the attack, the Hindu ranks ran riot and in a state of confusion they took to flight Mahmud won the day and a large amount of booty including thirty elephants, fell into his hands. The dispersal of the Hindu hordes opened the way for further penetration by revealing the weakness of the Indian military system.

Mahmudnext marched to the fort of Nagarkot. situated near Kangra on the sum-(7) Capture mit of a hill and surrounded by the of Nagarkot river Baganga The fort was a place of great sanctity, because it had a temple, which stood high in the estimation of the people and enjoyed a wide reputation as the repository of most of the wealth of the neighbouring Rajas Mahmud laid siege to it and the garrison surrendered it after three days "heroic defence ' The spoils obtained were 'beyond the limit of calculation' Among other precious things, they included a vast amount of gold and silver ingot, costly apparel, a profusely decorated throne and a house of silver * Even after making considerable allowance for exaggeration, the fact cannot escape recognition that the spoils were colossal, so much so that envoys from different countries flocked to

^{* &#}x27;Utbi has given a graphic description of this 'house of silver. From it we can safely infer that it was a folding pavilion made of silver, chiefly meant for use in royal journeys and progresses. It was not a silver throne as 'Unsuri would have us believe. (See S. I. M. H., 143)

Ghaznīn when that wealth was being displayed there Placing the fort in charge of his own officers, the Sultan returned to Ghaznīn in the middle of the year 1009 A C. After his departure Anandpal managed to re-establish his sway in the Salt Range, with Nandana as his capital

In 1009 A C. Mahmud invaded India again This time he attacked Narayan or (7) Narāvan (7) Narāvan Narāyanpur* and inflicted a stun-ning defeat on its Rāja After capturing and plundering the town, he returned to his capital Some time later the agents of the Raja waited upon him with proposals of a permanent peace and their good wishes for his future prosperity. According to the terms of the peace-treaty that was then concluded, the Raja offered to pay a yearly tribute and fifty elephants and to send 2,000 soldiers to serve in the Ghaznawid army Mahmud was pleased to accept these terms The peace is believed to have given a great encouragement to trade between India and Khurāsan Politically, this expedition opened the way to the heart of Hindustan and the Sultan could now march through Narayanpur and attack the Rajas beyond

^{*}Cunningham identified it with Narayanpur in Alwar State (See his Ancient Geography, pp 338-44, and ASI, Vol 11, pp 242 47 and Vol vi, pp 91-93) Of all the contemporary chroniclers, 'Utbi alone has mentioned this expedition in his book. He does not give the date but places it between the expeditions to Bhimnagar (Nagarkot) and Ghur

Taking advantage of the invasion of Khurāsān by Ilak Khān and of Mahmūd's absence. Abul Fateh Dāūd, the ruler of Multān, renounced allegiance to the Sultān and declared his independence Mahmūd's next expedition was, therefore, directed against him It was undertaken in October, 1010 A C This time Dāūd was taken prisoner and sent to Ghaznīn and confined in the fort of Ghūrak Multān was completely subjugated and annexed to Ghaznīn After appointing a governor there, Mahmūd marched back to Ghaznīn

In 1012 A C Mahmud proposed to march from Ghaznīn with the object of capturing Thānesar, which was then one of the most famous shrines of India, renowned for the age-long riches accumulated in its vaults. On learning of his intention, Anandpāl† sent his men to Ghaznīn to request Mahmud to take fifty elephants from him every year and impose an annual tribute on the people of that place

^{* &#}x27;Ghūrak or Ghorak (حرر) is situated about fifty miles north-west of Qandhār' (M G, 99, n 3) In the Tārikh-i-Ferishta (1, 27) it is written as Lit appears that has been left out by the scribe, for Ghūr was conquered a year later (1011 A C)

⁷ Dr Nāzim calls him Trilochanpāl, which is vrong, because the Thānesar campaign was undertaken during the life of Anandpāl (S M G, 32, n 14 and T F, 1, 27) Sir W Haig erroneously calls him Jaipāl II (C H I, 111, 17—18)

and spare it because it had an idol called Chakraswāmin,* to which the Hindus were so devoutly attached. The Sultan declined the offer and ordered the Raja to allow full and free passage to his forces through his territory The Raja could not but obey, but at the same time he warned the Raja of Thanesar about the impending danger. On receipt of this timely information the Raja of Thanesar sought and secured the support of the neighbouring princes. But before the clumsy machinery of the Indian confederacy could move, Mahmud marched through the Punjab, defeated on the way a Raja called Ram, who opposed his advance near the Sutley, and reached Thanesar At his approach, the Raja took to flight, leaving the Chakraswamin to take care of itself. The invader entered the town without encountering opposition, because it was found in an undefended position. A vast hooty, together with a large number of captives and the idol Chakraswamin, was transported to Ghaznin +

Tr, 1, 27 Chakrāswāmm means "The Lord of the Wheel" It was a bronze image of Vishna, which held the weapon, called Chakra, in one of its hands (See Sachao, 1, 117, and Z A, 70)

[†] Utbi places the invasion of Thanesar after that of Nandana He does not give the date and therefore seems to have made a mistake Elliot follows him in the error to mislead many others, including Dr M Nāzim (M G, 91 and 103) and Sir W Haig (C H I, iii, 17) The expedition against Thanesar was undertaken during the lifetime of Anandpal and therefore the one against Nandana, which

Trilochanpal, the Raja of Nandana, "was personally well inclined towards (10) Nandana. the Mussalmans, but he seems to have been a weak man and the direction of affairs came into the hands of his son, known to contemporaries as the 'Nidar' (Fearless) Bhīm, who stoutly reversed the policy of his grandfather and put an end to the Ghaznavid alliance "I In order to keep his way clear to India, Mahmud marched against Nandana in the autumn of 1013 A C but abandoned the expedition owing to a heavy snow-fall In the following spring (1014 A C) the Ghaznawids set out again, "ascending the hills like mountain-goats and descending them like torrents of water "On hearing of Mahmud's advance, Trilochanpal entrusted the defence of the fort to his son, Bhīmpāl, and himself retired to the Kashmir Pass, presumably with the object of enlisting the support of Sangramaraja, the ruler of Kashmir On his arrival, Mahmud laid siege to the fort and, after some days' futile fighting, succeeded in drawing out a detachment of Bhimpal into the plain and putting it to the

was directed against his son, could not have preceded it Gardizi $(Z\ A\ ,\ 70)$ places it in $1011-12\ A\ C\ ,$ which seems to be correct. Ferishta adheres to the correct order $(T\ F\ ,\ 1,\ 27-28)$ I have followed Gardizi and Ferishta.

^{*}Sır W Haıg ($C\ H\ I$, m, 17) calls him Jaıpāl II which is wrong

[†] It is called Nardin by 'Utbi and Nandunah by Baihaqi and Gardizi It is situated in the Salt-Range

¹ See S M G. 34

 $b\overline{b}$

sword On receipt of fresh reinforcements, Bhimpal appeared in the plain and attacked the invaders, but was beaten back. The Hindus took to flight to find refuge in the fort of Nandana. The fort was besieged and the garrison sought safety in submission. After placing a garrison in Nandana, Mahmud pushed on in pursuit of Trilochanpal, defeated him in a valley to the north of Jhelum and received the submission of "numerous Rajas of the neighbourhood." Leaving Sarugh as governor of Nandana and possessing himself of immense booty, Mahmud returned to Ghazuin

In 1015 A C the Sultan started from Ghaznin at the head of his army and pro-(11) Kashmir posed to penetrate into Kashmir, probably with the object of punishing Sangramaraia for helping Trilochanpal He, however, failed to storm the fort of Lohkot * After reducing the neighbouring regions, he abandoned the enterprise and returned On his way back his soldiers lost their way, with the result that many of them perished in the extensive morasses that blocked their passage. This expedition against Kashmir was followed by another in 1021 A. C, but that too suffered the fate of its predecessor and the Sultan finally gave up the idea of conquering Kashmīr +

^{*}Kalhāna and Gardīzī call it Lohkot and Lohārkotta respectively Both these names mean 'The Iron Fort' It is identified with modern Loharīn (M. G., 104, f. n. 6) † Z. A., 79

The twelfth expedition was undertaken with a view to penetrate right into the (12) Baran interior of the Indian Continent In 1018 A C the Sultan set out at the head of a huge army, recruited chiefly from Central Asia Passing through the Punjab, crossing the Jumna and capturing all the forts and fortified places, including Sirsāwa,* that blocked his passage, he reached Baran (modern Bulandshehr), whose governor, Hara Datta, tendered submission and embraced Islām along with his 10,000 men From there Mahmūd marched to Mahāban,† situated in the Muttra District (U P), whose chief, Kul Chand,‡ also submitted without offering any

i Gardizi calls it Mahāwan and 'Unsuri calls it Mahāwin It is situated six miles east of Muttra on the

left bank of the Jumna. (See S I-M H, 146)

^{*} For the origin and antiquity of Sirsāwa, see A S I, $\times 17$, $\times 19$

[‡] Kul Chand is said to have been only a 'governor' of the fort (C H I, iii, 19). This is incorrect 'Utbi's reference to him that he was "a Satanic leader, who had assumed superiority over all other rulers, defeated, put to flight everyone he had fought with and possessed a great army, numerous elephants and strong forts which were secure from attack and capture" militates against the theory that he was but a 'governor' and conclusively shows that he was one of the greatest and strongest rulers of his day—a conclusion which is also confirmed by the fact that in the battle he fought with Mahmūd as many as 50,000 of his soldiers were slain and not less than 150 of his elephants were captured after his defeat. Ferishta (T F, 1, 29) calls him a Rāja. Professor Hodivala suggests that Kul Chand was none other than Kalla Chīd (See S I-M H, 146 47)

pure gold,* he proceeded towards Kanauj, a great citadel of Hindūstān, and appeared before its walls early in 1019 A C The descriptions of the grandeur of the city and of the splendour of its Court, as given by Hindū and Muslim chroniclers, stagger our belief It contained seven forts and ten thousand temples Its army consisted of eighty-thousand men in armour, thirty-thousand horsemen and five hundred thousand infantry Notwithstanding this overwhelming strength at his disposal, Rājyapāl's† heart melted after a short and feeble resistance and he sought safety in flight. Mahmūd entered the seven forts, and seized the wealth treasured there

After the capture of Kanauj Mahmud marched to Munj,‡ a strongly garrisoned fort, well-

^{*} Sir W Haig's estimate of the gold yielded by these idols is 548 lbs ($C\ H\ I$, iii, 19) This again is without authority 'Utbi informs us that it was 98,300 misqāls $i\ c$, 1010 lbs Avoirdupois (See S $I\text{-}M\ H$, 149)

[†] He is called Jai Chand by Sir W Haig (C H I, iii, 19) Professor Hodivala dismisses it as 'wrong' and calls him Rājyapāl He supports his contention by citing evidence from inscriptions etc. (S I-M H, 149) 'Utbī calls him Rājpāl

[‡] Elliot identified this place with Majhāwan, some ten miles south of Kānhpur or Cawnpore (E & D, 11, 458) Dr Vost was of opinion that it corresponded to Manaich, which is said to have been the old name of the modern town of Zafarābād, situated near Jaunpur (J R A S, 1905) His hypothesis is followed by Sir W Haig in The Cambridge History of India (Vol 111, p 20) Dr M Nāzim differs from them and, while stating that Mahmūd

left it to the mercy of the invader and gone into a forest with his army and treasure Mahmūd pursued him thither, defeated him and dispossessed him of his wealth. This was the crowning exploit of a most laborious campaign, after which Mahmūd returned to Ghaznīn with enormous wealth and Ja large number of captives.

The cowardly conduct of Rajvapala, the Raja of Kanauj, earned him the (13) Kanauı enmity of the neighbouring Rajas and Kālınıar who formed a league against him with Ganda,* the Chandela Raja of Kalinjar, as their head. The allied forces were put under the command of the Chandela crown-prince, Vidhyādhāra, and sent against Rājyapāl of Kanaul to punish him for his pusillanimity Rājyapāl was killed in battle and probably his son, Trilochandal,† was placed on the vacant throne Flushed with this victory, Ganda entered into an alliance with Trilochanpal, son of Anandpāl, and promised to help him in winning back his ancestral kingdom from Sultan Mahmūd i On receipt of information about these events, Mahmūd made up his mind to march against the Chandela prince and his

^{*} Some historians call him Nanda, but Ganda is the correct name

⁷ He is said to have been a son of Rājvapāl (O HI, 383)

 $[\]stackrel{\downarrow}{\downarrow} Z A$, 76, and K T, 18, 218

accomplices and to teach them a lesson. In the autumn of 1019 A. C. he left. Ghaznīn with a arm determination to retaliate the assassination of his vassal, Rajyapal, and to deal a death-blow to the league that had been formed against num and was now contemplating to overthrow the Gharnawid power in the Punjab On hearing of his approach, Trilochanpal of Lahore proceeded south to join forces with his names il c of Kanauj Mahmud pursued him postna to and overtook him near the river Ruhut * The Raja managed to cross the river and sent deachment to prevent the enemy from crossing it Encouraged by their leader's promise of "a life of repose after that day of trouble", the Muslims plunged into the river and langed on the other side of it 'without the loss of a single life. Marshalling his forces in battle array Mahmud inflicted a sharp defeat on his opponents and obtained enormous booty, including many elephants The Raja, though wounded in the bittle, managed to escape and sought shelter with the Chandela Raja of Kalinjar The turn of Ganda therefore came next. He was iwaiting the invader with a huge army, consisting of 36,000 horse, 145,000 foot and 640 elephants. Ascending an eminence with a view to reconnoitre the position of the Hindu army, Manmud saw 'an imposing panorama of camps, pavilions and embankments and he regretted

^{*} The river Ramgings is known as Ruhut (Rahib) in its lover courses (I G I, xxi, 175)

having ventured so far 'In this hour of distress and disappointment he prostrated himself on the ground and sought divine aid, which never failed him In the evening the Muslims fought a successful engagement with a detachment of the Rāja and in the morning they were told that the Hindus had deserted the camp Stricken with panic, the Rāja seems to have taken to flight in the guise of night All the valuables, which the Hindus had left behind, were taken possession of and the Rāja was pursued to some distance, but he managed to make good his escape, though many of his men were captured or killed Thereafter, Mahmūd marched back to Ghaznīn On the way 580 elephants, belonging to Ganda, happened to fall into his hands*

The opposition of Trilochanpal, the Raja of

Lahore, to the forces of Sultan

Mahmud in the expedition against

Kalinjar furnished a good ground for invading his
kingdom. In 1021 A. C. Mahmud appeared in

India again and inflicted a crushing defeat on

Bhimpal who had succeeded his father, Trilochanpal, in the meantime. The Raja took shelter with
the ruler of Ajmer and died there in 1026 A. C. †

^{*} Z A . 77

[†] T \(\Gamma\), 1, 31 Dr M Nāzim (\(U \) G, 96, n 1) does not seem to be justified in criticising Sir W Haigs statement that "he (Bhimpāl) fled and sought an asylum with the Chauhān rāja of Ajmer" (\(C \) H \(I \), 111, 22) He is, however, right in saying that Bhimpāl succeeded to the diminished dominions of his father and died in 1026

With him the Hindushāhiya Dynasty came to an end The results of this expedition were more enduring than those of others. The Punjāb was cleared and annexed to the Ghaznawid Empire A regular Amīr was entrusted with the government of the province with his head-quarters at Lāhore, and garrisons were stationed at important places

Next year Mahmud marched against Ganda who was still strong enough to defy the authority of the Sultan He (15) Gwalior and Kālınıar first laid siege to and stormed the 'impregnable' fort of Gwalior, and after receiving the submission of its chief, called Arjan, who was a feudatory of Ganda, he proceeded towards Kalinjar, the stronghold of the Chandela Raja, and surrounded it on all sides, so that all approaches to the fort were closed Finding himself in a perilous situation, Ganda purchased safety by offering submission without resistance Having obtained enormous amount of wealth, Mahmūd concluded a peace-treaty with the Raja and went back to Ghaznīn, laden with riches Ferishta and Nizam-ud-Din Ahmad say that, in order to please the Sultan, the Raja composed a flattering verse in Hindi and sent it to his overlord who, in return, rewarded him with a robe of honour and conferred upon him the

A C $(M\ G, 95-96)$ In his presence, however insignificant his power might have been, a Muslim governor of the Punjab would not have been appointed

government of as many as fifteen fortresses *

The crowning achievement of Sultan Mahmud was indeed the sack of Somnath (16) Somnath in Kathiawar (1025-26 A C)† The shrine of Somnath! was the most celebrated, the most opulent and the most revered on the Continent of India "The wealth and importance of the shrine," says Sir W Haig "far exceeded those of any temple which he had yet attacked One thousand Brahmans daily attended the temple, three hundred and fifty of the unfortunate women whom the Hindus dedicate nominally to the service of their gods and actually to the appetites of their priests danced continually before the idol, which was a huge lingam or phallus These priests and attendants

^{*} Both these authorities seem to have drawn upon Gardizi (Z A, 80)

[†] Ibn-ul-Asīr (KT, 11, 241-42), Ibn Zafir (MG, 115), Sibt-ul-Jawzı (MG, 115), Alberūnī (Sachao, 11, 9 & 103), Gardīzī (ZA, 86-87), Nizām-ud-Dīn Ahmad (TA, 9) and Khwāndmīr (EED 11, 180) place this expedition in the year 1025-26 A C While translating the relevant passage of KT in EED, (Vol 11, p 469), Elliot has carelessly recorded 414 A H for 416 A H This is an 'inadvertent error,' which has misled all those who have drawn upon EED Ferishta has recorded 415 A H as the year of this expedition (TF, 11, 32), but his evidence cannot overweigh that of the original authorities quoted above Mark the mistake repeated by Sir W Haig (CHI, 111, 23), M Elphinstone (HI, 334), and Sm ' HI, 193), who have blindly followed Ferishta and

I For its site etc , see M G , ?

were supported from the endowments of the temple, which are said to have consisted of the revenues of 10,000 villages, the idol was washed daily with water brought from the Ganges, 750 miles distant, and the jewels of the temple were famed throughout the length and breadth of India "* The object of Mahmūd in undertaking this arduous expedition is thus expressed by Allāma Kāmil Ibn-ul-Asīr —

"When Yamīn-ud-Daulah (Mahmūd) was gaining victories and demolishing temples in India, the Hindūs said that Somnāth (a Hindū god) was displeased with these idols and that if he had been satisfied with them, no one would have destroyed or injured them. When Yamīn-ud-Daulah heard this, he resolved upon making a campaign to destroy this idol, believing that when the Hindūs saw their prayers and imprecations to be false and futile they would embrace the faith "†

Allāma Ibn Khaldūn also assigns almost the same motive to the expedition against Somnāth He says —

"Before this whenever Sultan Mahmud stormed a fort or destroyed an idol, Hindus would say that Somnath was annoyed with it and therefore allowed it to be destroyed, otherwise he would have killed Mahmud much earlier

^{*} C H I, 111, 23

[†] K T, 13, 241

From some source Mahmūd received this information and with a view to extirpate idolatry, to prove the helplessness of man-made gods and to belie the claims of the Hindūs, he mobilized his forces against Somnāth "*

Ferishta also strikes the same note † Sir W Haig follows these authorities and thus expresses Mahmūd's motive in The Cambridge History of India—

"The Brahmans attached to this famous shrine boasted that their master Shiva, the moon-lord, was the most powerful of all the gods and that it was only owing to his displeasure with other gods that the invader had been permitted to plunder and pollute their shrines. This provocative vaunt suggested to Mahmūd the destruction of the temple of Somnāth as the readiest means to a wholesale conversion of idolaters "‡

In other words, the object underlying the expedition against Somnāth was to disillusion the Hindūs and thus bring them within the fold of Islām. How far Mahmūd was moved by religious and how far by politico-economic motives in invading India time and again is a matter of controversy which has been dealt with

^{*} I K, II, vu. 245,

T T F, 1, 32

[‡] C H I, 111, 23

in a separate chapter * It may, however, be noted here that the motive assigned by the aforesaid historians to the Somnath expedition is unwarranted in-as-much as the object of the expedition, if it was really to effect a wholesale conversion of Hindus, was not achieved, nor did Mahmud try to achieve it even after a complete conquest of the place, though there is no doubt that this expedition, like others, was understood as a Jihad by the common people

On the 18th of October, 1025 A C, Mahmūd started from Ghaznīn and reached Multān on the 9th of the following November. There he took some rest and put his forces in order Leaving Multān on the 26th of the same month, he passed through the desert, stormed the strong fort of Lodorva,† that fell on his way, and reached Anhilwāra about the end of December, 1025 A C At his approach Bhīmdeva, the Rāja of Anhilwāra, fled to the fort of Kandahat,‡ situated in the neighbourhood Mahmūd took

^{*} See Chapter IV infra

[†] M G, 218

The situation of this fort, which is also written as Khandama, Khandabā, Kandana, Khandana, etc., has taxed the ingenuity of a number of scholars who have indentified it with Kanthkot in Vāgad (East Cutch), Gāndhavī on the Kāthiāwār coast, Gandhār to the north of the Dhadar river, Khandadhar to the north-east angle of Kāthiāwār, and Kanbāhat or Cambay, which bear some phonetic resemblance to it, but none except Kanbāhat (Cambay), which answers well to the description given of it by Ibn-ul-Asīr, seems to be correct (See S I-M. H., 187-88)

possession of the town and then resumed his march to Somnath Defeating an army of 20,000 Hindus at Mundher (or Mudhera) and overrunning Delvada near Una on his way, he took his stand before the very gates of the famous shrine on the 6th of January, 1026 A C + He invested the fortress, which was situated on the seashore and washed by the waves. The commander of the fort took to flight and the garrison not stir out to meet the invaders, believing that their god, "the mighty Someshwar," would completely annihilate the "impious assailants" The Hindus, who had climbed the ramparts to see the besiegers, shouted to the Musalmans that their god had drawn them to that place in order to destroy them at one blow for the idols they had broken in Hindustan On the morning of the 7th of January, which was Friday, the fight began and before the time of Juma' prayer the defenders were overthrown by a deadly fusillade of arrows The Musalmans escaladed the walls of the fort and proclaimed their victory by chanting a call for Juma' prayer The Hindus then entered the temple, prayed fervently to the idol for their success and sallied forth for fighting They delivered a most desperate attack on their enemy and dislodged them from their newly occupied position Next morning (Saturday) Mahmud renewed the attack with such vigour that the Hindus were driven back to the doors

^{*} For Mundher and Delvada, see M G, 215 ff

of the shrine, where a most terrible drama of bloodshed was enacted, so that 'few were left alive' Meanwhile, the neighbouring princes hastened to the rescue of the shrine and on the morning of the following day Mahmud found his camp surrounded by hostile forces, which were constantly strengthened by fresh reinforcements. An irretrievable disaster seemed to be in store for Musalmans and Mahmud's position was extremely critical As usual, the Sultan bowed down before Allah in all humility quite as fervently as the Hindus knelt before the archidol, invoking assistance Then rising up and springing into his saddle, as if inspired, he cheered up his soldiers and exhorted them to action with the usual battle-cry of Allaho Akbar, The exhortation had the desired effect, for the Muslims made a most desperate attack, broke through the ranks of the Hindu army and threw it into confusion. This victory decided the fate of Somnath, for thereafter the panic-stricken garrison offered no resistance Mahmud entered the temple to reap the fruits of his victory Never perhaps were his labours more materially The idol of Somnath was broken and the temple was divested of its wealth After a stay of about fifteen days, Mahmud left for Ghaznīn The Rajas of Rajpūtana, who had been taken unawares by Mahmud's march through their country, were now advancing under

^{*} For the legend relating to the breaking of this idol by Mahmud, vide Chapter VI

virama or Viryarāma Deva* of Sambhar to block his passage Avoiding conflict with them, as he did not wish to risk the spoils he had with him, he took a more westerly route and wished to reach Multan through the desert of Sind He marched northwards till he reached a shallow arm of the sea running between Cutch and Kathiawar With the aid of native guides, who pointed out the passage to him, he led his forces across the channel This heroic move on his part struck terror into the heart of the Raja of Anhilwara who had fled to the fort of Kandahat at the approach of the Muslim army The Raja again 'fled from the fortress in mean disguise leaving it at the mercy of the invader who occupied it and captured a number of prisoners After that Mahmud resumed his return-march, and proceeded across Cutch It was there that he was led astray by a Hindu devotee of Somnath who had offered to act as his guide Extricating himself from this perilous situation, he safely crossed over to Sind and then marched to Mansura, whose rulert took to flight While marching along the Indus towards Multan, Mahmud and his followers

^{*} Dr M Nāzim (M G, 119) calls him Parmadeva of Aba, which is a mistake (See S I-M H, 237) Sir and merely stated that he was the Rāja of Sambhar out Ajmer was not founded till then

T Farrukhi calls him Khafif He was a Carmathian See M G, 120)

suffered much at the hands of the Jats who inhabited that part of the country. It was with great difficulty that the Sultan reached Ghaznin on the 2nd of April, 1026 A. C.

"The expedition to Somnath," says Dr M Nazim, "is one of the greatest feats of military adventure in the history of Islam. The news of this victory sent a wave of joy all over the Muslim world, and the Caliph heaped titles and honours on the Sultan, his sons and his brother." Like many other heroes, Sultan Mahmud became transformed into an almost mythical figure and generations of enthusiastic authors surrounded his name with a huge literature of fanciful stories which were intended to glorify him as a king and a warrior. The idol of Somnath itself perished but it immortalized the name of Sultan Mahmud."

The last of Mahmud's Indian expeditions

On his return from Somnath the Sultan received complimentary and congratulatory letters from Al-Qadir Billah, the Khalifa of Baghdad, conferring upon him the title of Kahf-ud-Daulah wal Islam and upon his sons, Mas'ad and Amir Muhammad, those of Shahab-ud-Daulah wa Jamal-ul-Millah and Jalal ud-Daulah wa Jamal-ul Millah respectively and upon his brother, Yasuf, that of Azud-ud-Daulah wa Mu'ayyid-ul-Millah, as well as recognising the Sultan as the ruler of Khurasan, Hindustan Sistan, Nimraz and Khwarizm, and empowering him to nominate his successor

[†] For some of these stories, see Chapter VI Also see 'Awfi's Jawāmi ul Hikāzāt, Attār's Kulliyāt, Senāl's Hadigali, etc

I M G, 120-21

was directed against the Jats* who had wantonly molested his army on its return from Somnath It was undertaken in the spring of 1027 A C

At the approach of the invader, the Jats took refuge in an island, enclosed by the smaller channels of the Indus, which could not be waded across and from where they could escape from one island to another in the hour of need Mahmud was quite on guard against this expediency and had therefore prepared a fleet of 1,400 boats, each of which was armed with three ironspikes-one in front and one on either side Each of these boats carried twenty men armed with bows, arrows, hand-grenades and naptha-balls This fleet was launched on the Indus against the Jats, who too had made ample preparations and brought a flotilla of 4000+ boats, properly manned and equipped When. however, the action began, it is stated, the boats of the lats were broken and capsized by the ironspikes with which the boats of their opponents were provided ‡ The victors then landed on the islands, where the lats had kept their families for safety, and captured a large booty.

^{*} Alberun informs us that the Jats were the worshippers of linga or phallus (Sachao, 11, 104) They were not the inhabitants of the Salt Range in the Punjab, as alleged by some They were the Bhatis of Bhatner who had migrated to Sind (Burgess A S W I, 11, 193)

[†]According to another account, their number was 8000, which seems to be an exaggeration

[‡] How this could be possible puts a strain on our credulity

CHAPTER IV

SULTAN MAHMUD THE GREAT-III

MOTIVES AND RESULTS OF INDIAN INVASIONS

What were the aims and objects of Sultan

Mahmūd in leading so many expeditions to India and what were the results and effects of those expeditions? This is a question which has been asked and answered too often and while answering which historians have expressed different views, almost all of which are, however, based on a superficial study of Sultan Mahmūd's life and character and of the history of his times. We shall deal first with his motives and then with the results of his invasions of India in order to show how far the motives are justified by the results

As regards the motives, there are at present three distinctive schools of thought According to one school, Mahmud was a raider-in-chief who, in order to satisfy his greed for gold, "came, burnt, killed, plundered, captured and went" According to another school, he was "one of the greatest champions of Islam," whose principal aim in invading India was to extirpate idolatry and to propagate Islam at the point of the sword. The third school simply sidetracks by saying that "Mahmud's character was complex" and it is nothing short of a wild goose chase to try

to ascertain the inspiring motive. The task is not so difficult as it seems to be at first sight, but in order to arrive at correct conclusions it is necessary to dive deep into the history of his reign and to find out the factors that were directly responsible for his invasions of India For the sake of clarity and convenience these factors may be studied under the following heads, viz, (1) Political, (2) Economic, and (3) Religious

1

Among the political factors that were responsible for Mahmūd's wars in India the following will be found to be the most conspicuous. The first was the non-fulfilment or rather deliberate violation of the terms of the peace-treaty solemnly concluded by Rāja Jaipāl of Lāhore with Amīr Subuktigīn of Ghaznīn after suffering a crushing defeat in a battle in which he himself was the 'aggressor'*. The second was the invasion of Ghaznīn by Jaipāl with a view to win back what Subuktigīn had conquered from him †

^{*} In the words of Dr Ishwara Topa "The first campaign against India was undertaken in order to effect the recognition of political obligations and to enforce the political supremacy with a view to raising the status and prestige of the Ghaznavide power in the eves of the Indian Rāja." (Politics in Pre-Mughal Times, 33)

T See supra, pp 50-51 In view of Raja Jaipal's previous conduct and breach of faith, vtc, I have absolutely no hesitation to believe the statement in the Majma-ul-Ansāb, in which he is shown as the aggressor Since

The third was the breach of faith on the part of Indian Rajas who, after entering into alliance with the Sultan, betrayed him It will be remembered that at times Mahmud contracted friendly relations with Indian Rajas, binding them under political obligations' When these 'obligations' were cast to the corner or broken, he declared war against them and punished them for their betrayal The fourth was the renouncing of allegiance to the Sultan and the withholding of tributes promised to him by Indian Rājas When defeated, Indian Rājas owed allegiance to him and agreed to pay him tribute annually When the promised tribute was withheld and allegiance renounced, Mahmud marched against them to punish them for their insubordination and to maintain his own political supremacy The fifth was political betrayal in the form of help given to the enemies of the Sultan Often Mahmūd's Hindū allies colluded with his enemies and plotted to overthrow him in league with them This was no less responsible

his defeat by Subuktigin for the second time he must have been anxious to retrieve his reputation and to wipe off the stigma of repeated reverses and humiliation. The death of Amir Subuktigin supplied him with the long-sought-for opportunity and he must have invaded Ghaznin to achieve his object—to overthrow the Ghaznawid power and thus maintain his dignity among the Hindū Rājas and his own subjects. His son and successor, Anandpāl, also enacted the same role soon after his accession. He formed a formidable confederacy for the invasion of Ghaznīn and tried to do what his father could not. See supra, pp. 59 ff.

for spurring him to take drastic actions against them and to pounce upon their States. The sixth was the molestation of the Sultan's Indian allies by their hostile neighbours. Whenever any Hindū Rājas turned against his allies and attacked them with a view to deprive them of their political power for the simple reason that they owed allegiance to the Ghaznawid Sultan, he had to come to their aid because regard for political obligations and respect for pledges given compelled him to do so? The seventh was the rebellion of his Indian vassals. Defiance of his authority in India by the Rājas of Indian States, who acknowledged his suzerainty, also led him to invade India for suppressing their rebellions and re-establishing his authority.*

The economic factors were quite as strong and stimulating as political. The importance of wealth as a means of attaining political power and retaining it is too evident to be dealt with in detail. Suffice it to say that Mahmūd understood the importance and appreciated the miraculous powers of wealth. He knew that it was the very source of political strength and stability and was therefore keen on possessing as much of it as possible. India's fabulous wealth must have stirred his soul and stimulated his ambitions and

^{*} These causes have been brought out at their places in Chapter III and here they are just referred to in order to facilitate the discussion

THE GHAZNAWIDS influenced his course of action. The unbroken brought in their series of victories won in India train a regular downpour of wealth which imtrain a regular downpour of wealth which immensely augmented the Ghaznawid Treasury mensely augmented thus acquired was used for the The wealth thus acquired was used for the cultural as well as political advancement of the State, and this was mainly responsible for the glory that was Ghaznin and the might that was Mahmud It had no mean share in establishing maninua re nau no mean snare in establishing his authority in his kingdom and his superiority over the kings of the Islamic world in general over the kings of the Islamic world in general and of the Indian world in particular and of the reputation of Ghaznin all over the hanced the reputation of the control of the reputation of the reputation of the control of the reputation of the reputation of the control of the reputation of the reputation of the control of the reputation of the control of the contr nanced the reputation of Ghazini an over the world as a centre of power, as a source of light and as a seat of learning and culture wealth in his possession must have, moreover, served as a sure bait for the mercenaries who, served as a sure part for the mercenaries who, it as unless and until they were given enough of have a reward for their services, would not a reward for their services, would not a companied him to his distant expeditions finance his schemes successfully, he stood in need of money and India was a veritable El Dorado *

Before dealing with the religious factors which might have served as causes of the Ghaznawid invasions of or the Ghazhawid hivasions of the know the tone of India, it is necessary to know the tone, for Muslim religious life in Mahmud's times, he was, after all, a product of his own environ-Religious, ments and his actions must have been influenced by the ethical standards then in vogue A

^{*} Hence the need and not the greed for gold lay at the root of his Indian invasions

reference, however brief, to the Quranic conception of State is quite apropos of the subject. The method of government contemplated by the Qur-ān and recommended by the Prophet is theo-cracy, i e, a system of social and political control founded on divine laws and sanctions, reflecting the will of God in all its forms and phases, motives and movements The idea is to Islamize life in accordance with the laws of the Qur-ān and to level down all differences and diversities of rank and race and to remove all inequalities, social as well as political. The ideal put before the Islamic State was to I slamize the people without injuring the religious susceptibilities of the Zimmis (non-Muslims) The Prophet of Islam founded a theocratic State and gave a conclusive proof of its practicability Not long after him, however, reactions set in throughout the Islamic world and 'shifted the Islamic political ideal from its fulcrum to an ever-changing political gravitation'. So great in fact was the change that came over the Islamic world that even the sponsors and stalwarts of Islamic principles and practices lost respect for them and cared little to safeguard or promote the interests of Islam With the conquest of Persia and the flow of wealth the theocratic form of government made room for a secular State The Shariyat ceased to influence politics. On the other hand, it was influenced by political considerations. With the rise of the Ommayyades to power and the transfer of the seat of government to Damascus.

the change became more pronounced the change became more pronounced Amir for Muawiya resorted to all sorts of means the his political aggrandizement State was completely secularized and the inteorate was completely secularized and the background rests of Islam were relegated to the background But for the unique sacrifice of Hussain made, at the desert of Karbala, the fate of Islam vould at the desert of Narvaia, the face of islam, and last have been sealed * Musalmans not last and last have been sealed * Musalmans not Islam, had drifthave supposed by some, had drifthad leaders entry the high action by the Aposthe Apos-They were, as a matter of fact, makers, builders I ney were, as a matter of fact, makers, builders and not preachers, and founders of States of the Faith, propagators or defenders of the Faith, propagators or defenders of the factor of the propagators or defenders of the factor of the propagators. propagators or detenders of the Faith, though of the posed to be and easily passed for they often posed to be and perhaps Mahmud they often posed to be and perhaps Mahmud Such persons but not Islamically Quite in field politically, but not environments. He also the product of his environments, he also was the product of his environments. He cloak the product of the spirit of the age, he cloak was the product of his political interests under the cloak advanced his political interests under advanced his political informs us that soon after of religion to the also the also also the cloak.

^{*} See T T, vi, 184, I K, II, ii, J Ibn Abdul-Barr, 184, I K, II, iii, J Ibn Abdul-Barr, 299, 401, Istiab by Ibn Abdul-Barr, 195, Ibn Asir Jazri, iii, 299, 401, 56, 135, Islam under the by Ibn Asir Swaig-i-Muliriga, 56, 135, Islam under the 1, 66, 154, Swaig-i-Muliriga, History of the Saracens by Arabs by Osborn, and A Short History of the Saracens by Arabs by Osbo

[†] Clever leaders too often hired the services of corrupt Ulania who turned and twisted the meaning of Some verses of the Holy Our an and interpreted them some verses of the rolly wir an and interpreted them so as to serve their ends, and to those who were always out to find or forms more and religious exposers for their Amir Ali, 95 out to find or forge moral and religious support for their

his recognition as an independent ruler by the Ishalifa of Balhaaa Mahmud made it obligafory on himself to javide India every year. Modern writers have put a new interpretation on this statement and tried to ascribe religious motivation to what were admittedly political exploits Their interpretation is not supported or substantrated by historical evidence. The pledge given to the Ishailta was not the only 'lestmotet' in his invasions of India It would perhaps be more proper to say that underlying the piedge were political motives and the cries of 'holy wars' ele were raised to sur up the soldiers to action and to ensure further recruitments. The resolution was made with a view "to please the Ishalifa with whom he wished to cultivate most cordial and joyal relations in order to cover the illegitimate revolutionary origin of his dynasty. which was still fresh in the memory of the men of time he maintained the most loyal relations with the spiritual head of Islam, the Khalif of Baghard, Alkadar (881-422 A H), who had clad the usurpation of his family with the mantle of legitimacy and in order to please him he hunted down the heretics in his realm in Khurasan and in Multan, impaling or stoning

introns, such verses of the sacred text as. Obey Allah, shev the Apostle and obey those in authori's from amory son (S. 1., V. 59) and "Do Jihād against the un relievers (5.1x, V. 73) were full of possibilities of ingenious interpretations. They fully exploited these verses for gaining their ends. (See my book on Some Cultural Aspects of Muslim Rule in Irdia, pp. 13, 15.18)

them He tried to rid the Khalif of the real or suspected votaries of his opponent, the Anti-Khalif in Egypt, the famous Hakim " Politically, the Khilafat had become bankrupt and the Khalssa an almost nonentity, but the institution still commanded the respect of the Muslim world and the Khalifa was still 'a useful ally for a warrior who was burning with the desire for expansion, Political considerations and not religious sentiments were at the root of Mahmird's lengious sentiments were at the root of Manmuds loyalty to the Khalifa He obeyed the Khalifa and persecuted the Carmathians and other non-Sunnis, against whom the latter had declared a war, because he wished to win through him the good-will of the Muslim world for strengthening the foundations of his kingdom! In appreciation of his services, the Khalifa heaped upon him and his sons honours and titles which immensely enhanced his prestige in the Muslim world Gradually, however, as his might and means increased and his name was surrounded by a halo of glory, even this outward respect for the moribund institution was grudged t We hear of at least three great ruptures in his relations with the Khalifa when he decided to shake off the supremacy of that nominal power-

^{*} Sachao's Albertini. Also see M G, 160-61

[†] According to Dr M Nazim, "The Sultan became for obsequious towards him (Khalifa) and sometimes months passed before Baghdad was officially informed of his victories" (M G, 165) This change of attitude is evident from the letters addressed by him to the Khalifa and preserved in the Tajarib, iii, 341-44

for the first time when the Khalifa refused to give him Samarqand and he threatened him with the invasion of his capital (Baghdad) with one thousand elephants so as to lav it waste and carry its earth to his own capital (Ghaznīn) on their backs, for the second time when Abū'Alī Hasan (Hasanak) received a robe of honour from al-Zāhir, the Fātimid Khalīfa of Cairo. on his return from a pilgrimage to Mecca and the Khalīfa of Baghdād sent a strongly worded letter to the Sultan, directing him to order the immediate execution of Hasanak on the charge of subscribing to the Carmathian creed,† and for the third time when he left his son, Mas'ūd, at Raiy with instructions to conquer Isfahan and 'release the Caliph from the bondage of the Buwaihids' with a view 'to bring the Caliph under his sway ‡ On the first occasion he disarmed the opposition of the Khalīfa only by making profuse apologies and adopting a reverential attitude towards him. On the second occasion he appeased the Khalīfa by sending Hasanak's robe of honour to Baghdad, where it was burnt to ashes in a public square
On the third occasion his death upset his plans and schemes From all that has been said it is clear that he had scant courtesy for the Khalifa, though he did not want to offend the power which had strengthened his position

 $^{^*}$ T F, 1, 28 and T H, 1, 277

T M, 211-212, K T, m, 239, and M G, 165

I T M, 83 and 359

morally and which, even in its hour of weakness, morany and which, even in he hour or wearness, was strong enough to shake and shatter the moral 94 foundations of his empire

Now that we have acquainted ourselves with the currents and cross-currents of politicowith the currents and cross-currents of Politico-religious history of Mahmud's times, it has proceeding with the inquiry it will be proper to answer the following questions

(1) Were Mahmud's invasions of India really Jihads? (2) Was propagation of Islam in India his extirpation of idolatry his chief aim? (3) Was territorial annexation the main object? (4) Was territorial annexation

Were Mahmud's invasions of India Jihads?— Were Manmud's invasions of finding Junuas.

In order to answer this question to know to know to know to know The word really means what Junuas what Junuas are what which what Junuas are what which what which what which what which whit inspiring motive? Jihad is a derivative, of which the root is Jahd or Juhd, which means power, exertion or struggle, the Our-anic conception of tion or struggle The Qur-anic conception of the Julian is contained in verse 20 of Sura ix of the "Those who believe, and "Those who believe, and Our-an, which reads with might and main, in suffer exile and strive with might and their cools." God's cause with their goods and their persons, have the highest rank in the sight of God

^{*} See M G, 160 61

They are the people who will achieve (salvation) "* Allāma Abdullah Yūsuf Alī has beautifully summed up the meaning of this verse in the following words "Here is a good description of Jihād It may require fighting in God's cause, as a form of self-sacrifice But its essence consists in (1) a true and sincere Faith, which so fixes its gaze on God, that all selfish and worldly motives seem paltry and fade away, and (2) an earnest and ceaseless activity, involving the sacrifice (if need be) of life, person or property, in the service of God Mere brutal fighting is opposed to the whole spirit of Jihād, while the sincere scholar's pen or preacher's voice or wealthy man's contribution may be the most valuable forms of

^{*} This is what the Qur an enjoins Hostile critics of Islam have taken some stray verses from the Qur-ān and forced on them interpretations which the text and the trend of the text do not at all bear For instance, the so called Surat us-Saif or "the Surat of the Sword is taken out from the text and interpreted to mean 'war against unbelief,' and argued that Islam enjoins the use of the sword for the spread of the faith Now if this verse is read with the text that precedes it, the correct/meaning becomes quite clear It then means war against aggres sive and perfidious unbelievers and not against all Going further, it is ordered that war should cease as soon as the opponents sue for peace. Why to enter into a peace hefore the opponents are done to death or converted to Islam? This militates against the theory of force and conclusively proves that fighting is recommended in Islam only for the preservation of peace. The correctness of this interpretation is warranted by the Qur-anic injuctions "Let there be no compulsion in religion", and "Commit no excesses", and by the life of the Prophet and his

40

Looked at in the light of the Holy Jinaa Looked at in the light of the India
Our-an, Mahmud's expeditions against India Cannot be called Jiliads From their very charac, cannot be called Jimus were secular exploits and ter it appears that they ter it appears that they were secural exploits and not religious wars waged for the cause of Islam not religious wars waged for the cause of armies of more the service of God † or in the service of God | wanning s armes cenaries of various denominations and not Mujalids or holy warriors

War was the prewww.manus or nory warriors war was the pre-vailing passion or rather the fashion of the day, vaning passion of the age, not the sanction of the and the spirit of the age, not the sanction Our-an, was behind them Incidentally, it may be pointed out here that Mahmud was not an Amir pointed out here that manning was not a State as Islam would have it and his was not a State

attitude towards the Ziminis, which throw a flood of light on attitude towards the Ziminis, which throw a flood of light on the real significance of Jiliad Jiliad against the unbelieves and the hypocritee, And what were the weapons are the weapons and the hypocritee. erropner is ordered to do Junga against the unbelievers and the hypocrites, And what were the weapons employed and the hypocrites

And what were the weapons employed by him for that Jihid—kindness, generosity, forgiveness, and the property against the property of the property and the property against the pro by nim for that Jillaa-kindness, generosity, forgiveness, with teems with charity, peace, respect and what not their drive we to the instances to illustrate this point and their drive we to the instances to illustrate this point and their drive we to the cnarity, peace, respect and what not they drive us to the instances to illustrate this point and they drive us to the conclusion that 1.1.33 instances to mustrate this point and they drive us to the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that Jihad means anything but bloodshed for the conclusion that the conclusion that Jihad means are the conclusion that the conclusion The Holy Qur an (translated by A Y Ali), P. 444,

† It may be noted here that Islamic wars are always

defensive and in the service of God. Islam is always at derensive and in the service of God Islam is always at Islam is always peacerul methods for fighting it out The use of the sword is permitted in exceptional circumstances, e.g., when note 1270 sword is permitted in exceptional circumstances, e.g., when and their honour and the life and liberty of Muslims and their honour and religion are in danger

contemplated by the Qur-ān He was an absolute monarch and his government was far from theocracy Before launching a campaign against idolatry or Jihād against the infidels, he ought to have Islāmized his own State and acted in accordance with the principles of Islām in the matter of succession to the throne and other important affairs, if he was a true and sincere champion of Islām, as he is supposed to have been

Like a good Muslim, who is always expected to be an active missionary of his Propagation religion, Mahmūd might have felt of Islam? delighted in the spread of his faith, but that does not seem to have been the only object underlying his Indian expeditions was more of a conqueror than a fanatical propagandist, or a pious missionary To say that he invaded India time and again for the spread of his religion is historically wrong and psychologically untrue In the first place, there is no scrap of evidence in the contemporary chronicles to show that he ever won converts, whether by force or by peaceful means * We do come across one or two instances when he is said to have appointed teachers and preachers for the instruction of new converts and Hindus in the rudiments of Islam † Whether this was done in response to

^{*} It is a different thing if a Hindū Rāja and his followers embraced Islām to escape defeat and destruction.

[†] Z A, 72 and 78-79

the dictates of his conscience or out of political motives -, for he had to please and pacify those whom he had brought to India on the pretext of Juliads or 'holy wars'—, may remain a matter of moot, but it is abundantly clear that nothing useful was accomplished by him so far as the spread of Islam in India was concerned "Some rajas are said to have embraced Islam," says Dr M Nazim, "but they did so most probably. as a political shift to escape the fury of the conqueror and returned to their faith as soon as he had turned his back on them."* This was obviously because the Hindus were not yet told and taught what Islam was and what it stood for "The period of Sultan Mahmud," says the same scholar, "was essentially a period of conquest" and "the time was not yet ripe for missionary work which required settled government "† The policy of conquest and consolidation, it may be observed, was not followed and no attempt was made to establish a settled government in India, otherwise one province, permanently occupied, would have done far more for conversion than all his invasions together The whole of Mahmud's time in India was spent in waging wars and amassing wealth and he had little leisure to devote to the sacred cause Consequently; he is not entitled to the 'credit' which he has received from his misinformed admirers for the alleged propagation of

^{*} M G, 162

¹ Ibid, 162

Islām in India * Secondly, Islām was not presented to the Hindūs in the manner prescribed by the Prophet In matters of faith it is the method of presentation that matters much and no religion can score success, such as Islām has done, if it is conveyed through the agency of wars and bloodshed † The Prophet of Islām did not

Elgnorant fanatics who extol Mahmud for propagating Islām in India at the point of the sword, which he never did, do him more harm than good and indirectly bring discredit to Islām which enjoins universal toleration and positively forbids the use of force in effecting conversions

T This' point requires some elucidation Propagation of Islam in India was not the inspiring motive of Vahmud's invasions of India, but there is absolutely no doubt that his Indian expeditions were given out to be and understood as 'Jihāds' or holy wars, undertaken for the extirpation of idolatry and the propagation of Islam in India. It is in this sense that Professor Muhammad Habib (S VI G, 81) has remarked that "the career of the conquering Ghaznavide created a burning hatred for the new faith in the Hindu mind" because it was presented "in the guise of plundering armies" Dr M Nāzim has misunderstood this remark and therefore criticised it. In his opinion "The Hindus rejected Islam as their national religion because of the fundamental and irreconcilable differences between Islam and Hinduism" The learned Doctor has tried to substantiate his point by saying that "Islam. with its definite articles of faith, could not appeal to the average Hindu to whom religion had never meant any specified set of doctrines" He is sadly mistaken. In my opinion Islam is nothing if not natural and conducive to human welfare-spiritual as well as secular. It was nothing if it failed to appeal to the down-trodden Hindus - Hindus who had been subjected to grave inequalities and who had become fed up with the lot assigned to them by their

preach his faith by waging wars and leading expeditions against the infidels. Mahmūd, whose knowledge of Islāmic theology was undisputed, cannot be said to have been ignorant of such injunctions of the Qur-ān as "Lā ikrāha fid dīn" (Let there be no compulsion in religion). His religious policy was based on toleration and "he is not said to have forced any Hindū to abjure his religion or to have put any person to death for the sake of conscience" Referring to his religious policy, M. Elphinstone tells us that "it is nowhere asserted that he ever put

religion A large majority of the Hindus were in need of a shelter and no religion could provide a better shelter for them than Islam Their minds were quite open to receive the truth Presented in its proper form and propagated in the manner prescribed by the Prophet, Islam was sure to reap a rich harvest. The subsequent history of Islam in India bears eloquent testimony to this hard historical fact The only difficulty in its way was the opposition of the Brahmans who had grown fat at the expense of lowcaste Hindus, such as Shudras, because Islam-with its democratic principles of equality and brotherhood-would have dealt a death-blow to their supremacy, which they so jealously guarded But with the majority of the Hindus in its favour, it was not difficult to overcome their opposition The fundamental and irreconcilable differences between Islam and Hinduism," referred to by Dr M Nāzim, ought to have facilitated rather than retarded the work of conversion in India, for if Islam and Hinduism were alike, there was no need for change and conversion would have been out of question,

^{*} P P-M T, 45 "Though zealous for Islām," says Sir W Haig, "he maintained a large body of Hindū troops, and there is no reason to believe that conversion was a condition of their service" (C H I, in, 27)

9009

a Hindu to death except in battle or in the storm of a fort" Under him the Hindus enjoyed perfect religious freedom. They were entrusted with highly responsible posts, and religion was not a condition of their service. The names of Tılak, Rai Hindû, Hajrai and Sonī stand out preeminently in the military history of Ghaznīn † Mahmud was a conqueror and he drew no hard and fast lines between Hindus and Muslims in his schemes of conquest. Of his Indian expeditions at least two were led against the Muslims for much the same reasons as against the Hindū Rajas, and almost all his Central Asian expeditions were led against Muslims "If he harassed the Hindu rajas of India," says Dr M Nazim, "he did not spare the Muslim sovereigns of Iran and Transoviana The drama of plunder and bloodshed that was enacted in the sacred Ganges Doab was repeated with no less virulence on the slopes of the Mount Damawand and the banks of the river Oxus Religious considerations rarely weighed with a conqueror and the Sultan does not appear to have been influenced by them in his schemes of conquest" His transactions with Hindu Rajas were guided entirely by policy, without reference to religion, and he cannot be blamed or praised for what he never did nor attempted to do Was then, it may well be asked now, propagation of Islam his object in leading

97 2 J 196 M

^r H I, 293

⁷ P P-11 T , 46

IM G, 163

his expeditions against India? Emphatically not Like other kings, who preceded and followed him, Mahmud did not concern himself with the work of conversion—that was left to saints and work of conversion—that was left to sames and Mujn-ud-Din Chishti, for his own hands were too full of political pre-occupations to leave him leisure to turn his attention to that side No honest his torian can conceal the truth and no Muslim, acquainted with his faith, can justify Mahmud's acquainted with me raith, can Jueth, manning call expeditions from religious point of view and call expeditions from rengious point of view and can-them Jihads Far from enjoining or recommend-ing, Islam—which means peace, which preaches peace and which stands for universal peace, does not even countenance but positively forbids the use of force for the spread of the faith and no principle known to the Shariyat enjoins, recommends, Justifies or countenances systematic spolation of temples and places of worship for the acquisition of wealth

Mahmud launched no organized effort to destroy idolatry in India He attacked shrines, raised temples to the ground and broke idols to pieces not because it was meritorious from religious, Extirpation of idolatry?

^{*} It may be noted here that in those times the Musalmans (or rather new converts to Islam) had become so mans (or rame) new converts to Islam, had become so fed up with idolatry and carried such a deep seated prered up with idolatily and carried such a deep seated pie-indice against it that soon after their conversion they nuice against it that soon after their conversion they made it a point to destroy it root and branch. If, therefore, Mahmid broke idols at some places, it was most probably Mannium broke mois at some places, it was most probably the expeditions were in order to please his followers

point of view, but because they were fortified places, containing treasures of India's fabulous wealth. No temples, other than those of the kind described above, were touched, and once peace was concluded everything was safe and toleration in the widest sense of the word was granted to all and sundry. While referring to "the mundane motives" of Mahmud's Indian invasions, Dr. Ishwara Topa says. "It may also be observed that the temples of India which Mahmud raided were storehouses of enormous and untold wealth and also some of these were political centres. The temples were, in fact broken during the campaigns for reasons other than religious, but in times of peace Mahmud never demolished a single temple.* If the destruction of temples and

understood as Jilāds (holy wars or wars against unbelief) and hence the historians of that age have also referred to them as such and given full yent to their own religious vanity. Mark the change in the conception of Iilād

^{*} Politics in Pre-Mughal Times, pp 46-47 Dr M Nazim's remarks in this connection are equally interesting. He says "The critics who accuse the Sultan of wanton bloodshed and reckless spoliation of Hinda temples, forget that these so called barbarities were committed in the course of legitimate warfare, when such acts are sanctioned by the practice of all the great conquerors of the world Spoils captured from a defeated enemy have always been considered the lawful property of the victorious army in India, however, wealth was accumulated, not only in the coffers of the kings, as in other countries, but also in the vaults of the temples which were consecrated to the service of various deities. The consequence was that, while elsewhere the capture of the defeated monarch's treasury usually gratified the conqueror's lust for mammon,

the extinction of idolatry or the spread of Islām in India were his motive, he ought to have followed that policy in times of peace as well, first in his own country and then outside, and then there was no need to enter into treaties without achieving the object. We know it for certain that the Hindus enjoyed full toleration under Sultan Mahmud. Al-Ma'arrī informs us that they were granted separate quarters in the Imperial Ghaznīn and allowed to observe their religious ceremonies without any let or hindrance. In other words, they were quite free to blow their Sankh and to bow before their idols under the very nose of the Sultan.

Was conquest or territorial annexation his chief object?, This too is far-fetched. Conquest or for he never contemplated an Indian territorial empire. Perhaps, he knew that a annevation? Muslim empire in an alien country was then almost impossible, that it would involve a great waste of men and money if he tried to hold in subjection a race hostile in religion and language, customs and traditions, tastes and tempraments, habits and hobbies, and that it was beyond the range of practical politics to establish his sway in Central Asia as well as in India simultaneously Nor does permanent annexation seem to have been his principal motive. The

in India temples were also ransacked to secure the piles of gold and precious stones in them (M G, 163)

² Risālat-ul Ghufran, p 153.

annevation of a part of the Punjab and some other places such as Sind and Multan, which lay contiguous to the Ghaznawid Empire, proves rather than refutes his non-territorial designs. The Punjab was anneved, because with it as a base Mahmud could successfully attack the places beyond. His western campaigns, on the other hand, disclose a different policy—that of acquisition and annevation, conquest and consolidation. At times, when necessary, he himself, even when his health did not permit, supervised the expeditions undertaken against his enemies and tried to establish his sway in the newly conquered territories in the west, not so in the east

What it may then be asked, was the inspiring motive? The establish-The inspirment of a Turko-Persian or Central ing motive Asian empire and nothing beyond that was the chief motive of Mahmud's Indian invasions, which were undertaken for the realization of that object. In the first place, they gave him the much-needed appellation of "holy warrior' and enhanced his prestige in the Muslim world, and secondly, they cemented his strength and constituted a great financial asset to his military resources. In other words, his Indian expeditions were undertaken for political as well as economic purposes, * and in

^{*} Professor M Habib is perfectly right in saving that "Islam sanctioned neither the vandalism nor the plundering motives of the invader," but I do not find it possible to

many respects they were justified, for in the first clash between the Hindus and the Musalmans in the reign of Subuktigin the former were the aggressors and when defeated and reduced to submission they renounced allegiance, formed confederacies to stem the rising tide of the Ghaznawids and fought against Mahmud

Sultan Mahmud invaded India time and again and conquered a number of Results of places Almost the whole of Nor-Mahmad's thern India felt the force of his invasions of India arms, but the results of his victories were far from permanent The one permanent result of his seventeen successful invasions of India was that only a few of the places he had conquered there, were permanently annexed to the Empire of Ghaznin, for after his death only a portion of the Punjab, Sind and some parts of the adjoining provinces, such as Multan. acknowledged his suzerainty. Elsewhere in India, the results of his expeditions and of a series of

agree with him when he says that Mahmud's attacks on India were "un-called for" and that "the Hindu princes had done Mahmud and his subjects no harm" (S M G, 79) See supra, pp 85 ff, where I have made it abundantly clear that the Hindus were the aggressors and that they themselves were responsible for exciting the hostilities of the Ghaznawids The Ghaznawids paid them in their own coin with, of course, compound interest If they had not done so, the Hindus would have enacted the role of conquerors and the fate of the Ghaznawids would have been sealed This is what my reading of the original sources has led me to.

victories soon wore off. His rule did not take root in the places he conquered and the Rājpūts re-established their rule in Northern India, excepting the few places referred to above, and continued to rule for about two centuries more. Thus, while Amīr Subuktigīn had left Peshāwar as an outpost of Ghaznīn, his more celebrated son and successor, Sultān Mahmūd, left the Punjāb as an outpost of his far-flung Central Asian empire. This was the net-result of his seventeen expeditions of India so far as conquest or territorial annexations were concerned.

But the effects of Mahmud's invasions of India were far more enduring than EFFECTS- their results Politically-These expeattions paved the way for the establishment of a permanent Muslim empire in India by flinging open its gates to the subsequent Muslim invaders, by exposing the weaknesses of the Indian political and military systems and by establishing the superiority of the Musalmans over the Hindus in the art of war, c scip ne and devo-tion to duty Economically—Trey were a heavy drain on India's age-long accumulated wealth They made India much poorer and contributed vastly to the glory and granceur that was Ghaznin They were a great firancial asset to Mahmud's military programme, cecause they immeasurably augmented his rescurces and ensured his success by enabling him to finance his scremes

THE GHAZNAWIDS

Islam and Hinduism-into contact and led to mutual intercourse between Hindus and Musalmans tual intercourse Delween Timuus and Warlords
In the train of Muslim warriors and warlords came Muslim saints and savants who permeated the ranks of Indian society, propagated Islam in India and won a number of converts In a land of idolaters, littered with temples, containing myriads of man-made gods, and sounding with temple bells, mosques were founded and cries of La-ilaha-ilallaho, Muhammad-ut-Rasul-ullah There is no God but Allah and Muhammad is His prophet) and Allah o Akbar (God is Great) began to sound and pronounce the unity of God But for the successful invasions of Sultan Mahmud it would have been well nigh impossible for the Muslim missionaries to settle down in India and carry on their work peacefully lies in the portance from Islamic point of view has in the fact that they indirectly facilitated the future progress of Islam in India Mahmud and we are to do with the motives of Mahmud and we are not prepared to assign him any credit for what he never did nor aimed to do

CHAPTER V

SULTAN MAHMUD THE GREAT-IV

CLLTURAL ACTIVITIES OF HIS REIGN

Great as a conqueror, fit to rank with the greatest conquerors of the world like Introductors. Alexander the Great Mahmud was equally great as a man of culture and refinement. His achievements in the arts of peace were on a par with his victories in the science of war and compare favourably with those of Cæsar Augustus. An attempt is made in this chapter to bring out in brief the contributions he made to the cause of Islāmic culture and civilization.

In order to appreciate his work, it is necesMahmud's sary to have an idea of his tastes.

We have already said something about his education in connection with his early life. In continuation of that account it may be observed that he grew up to be a good scholar and a fine poet.†

Some of his poetical compositions are preserved by 'Awfi in his Lubāb-ul-Albāb‡ He was very fond of Islamic law and Tradition and his proficiency in these subjects was recognized even

^{*} See supra, pp 24-26

T M G, 156-57, and Lubāb ul-Albāb, II, 24

I Lubāb-ul-Albāb, II, 24

THE GHAZNAWIDS by the most practised theologians of the day Fight seems to have been his most favourite sub-Ject, for his name is intimately associated with 110 the authorship of a standard work on the subject, the authorship of a standard work on the subject, alled Taffid al Funt, the lamb is a called Taffid al Funt, the subject, and the subject is a subject is a subject in the subject in the subject is a subject in the subje informs us that Imam-ul-Haramain Abul Wa all Abdul Mulk Javain has recorded in his work entitled Mughis ul-Khalq fi Ikhti) ar-ul-Haq that Mahmud was deeply interested in Ahadith (Traditions), that Ulama, Possessing a profound knowledge of the subject, used to meet at his Court and recite Ahadith in his presence, and Court and recite Andum with great avidity and that he listened to them with great avidity asked them to explain the points which he did Himself a scholar and a poet and a true lover of learning, Mahmud was in not understand † a position to Judge and appreciate literary merits, and hence he rose to be one of the greatest patrons of letters, literary renaissance of Persia found in him a -, patronage most powerful exponent and his contributions to the development of Persian literature assign him a high place in the literary history of Persia, + His patronage of Persian literature has rarely * Some scholars have expressed doubts about Mahmad's authorship of the book, but there is nothing impro-TTärikh 1-Ibn Khalkan (Eg ed), 11, 86, and I K, II, mua s tathor mir of the book, but bable in it (See M G, 157 note) vii, (iranslator s note), 256 ff t I. H P. I. II, S A, I, and S P L

been surpassed * Like many a Muslim king hellowed the society of learned men and used to invite them to his Court from distant countries i His bounty attracted a large number of scholars to Ghaznin and made it a centre of light and learning for all Asia While the French Napoleon used to import the choicest works of art and literature from the countries he conquered to add to the beauty and grandeur of Paris, the Ghaznawid Napoleon fetched over to his beloved Ghaznin the artists, scholars and poets in person from the regions he reduced to his swar in order to enhance the splendour of his famous Court accorded a most warm welcome to learned refu gees from all climes and countries and took care to carry out their wishes Whenever he conquered a new town, he at once transported to his capital all the choicest works of art and literature obtained from its libraries and museums and thus augmented the wealth of art and literature already accumulated there ! The celebrated author of the Tariku-1-Gusidah informs us that he spent a sum of not less than 400,000 dinars per year

^{*} Barthold's view (Turkestan, 289) that Mahmud's patronage of learning was due to an ostentatious desire to convert his Court into a cradle of culture and not to an innate love of learning is erroneous and ill-founded. Mahmud might have been influenced by this ulterior motive, but in view of the fact that he was himself a scholar, a poet and an author, it cannot be said that his love of learning was not genuine.

[†] T M, 232-33, 245 and 247

I K T, 1, 262

THE GHAZNAWIDS on the literary luminaries of his realm * Ferishta would have us believe that no king had ever gathered more learned men at his Court than Mahmud † M Elphinstone says that "he showed so much munificence to individuals of eminence that his capital exhibited a greater assemblage of. literary genius than any other monarch in Asia has ever been able to produce the rewards were called the mannest rewards were assures us that "his meanest rewards were calculated in thousands of dinars, and the later generations of poets have cherished his meniory as a giver of elephant-loads of gold and silver "8 This is enough to indicate the extent of Mahmud's literary interest and now we shall make a short reference to the progress of Persian language and literature under his patronage.,

The Arab conquest of Persia was frought with far-reaching consequences With the transfer of the seat of Islamic Government to Baghdad, the cultural centre of gravity also shifted there, and Persian ideas and ideals began Renaissance to flow fast into the ranks of Muslim society to the change its outlook on life and letters. Arabs came into close contact with the Persians, they took an unusual fancy to the culture of the conquered and in their eager fascination for it

^{*}TG,395† T F, 1, 22 † H I, 290 8 M G, 158

they forgot to pick and choose from Persian ideas They assimilated them wholesale in almost every department of administration and in every sphere of social life Politically, they adopted the system of Persian government division and organization of the various departments of the State, their names and functions, the entire paraphernalia of Persian monarchy—the personality of the Persian King, his seraglio, his slaves, his servants. State ceremonials and all other symbols of sovereignty Socially, they imbibed Persian ideas of social pleasures and pastimes and took over from the Persians their chase, chess and Chaugan (polo), arinking, music and songs, and even their national spring festival Culturally they made Persian their Court language and took almost all Persian ideas, including Ta'bir or the science of interpreting dreams Here we are chiefly concerned with the cultural side of this ail-embracing influence * In a nut-shell, Persian language and literature received a new lease of life as a result of this change When, after the fall of the Abbasid Khilafat, the Samanids established their sway in Transoviana and extended it to Turkistan, Isfahan and Khurasan, Persian superseded Arabic and became the language of the court and the country the Tārīkh-i-Tabari, a standard work on Islamic history, was translated into Persian from Arabic by the Vazīr of one of the Samanids in 946 A C and poets like

^{*} For some more details, see my book on "Some Cultural Aspects of Muslim Rule in India, pp 6 ff

Rūdikī* began to use Persian as their medium of expression The Samanid Kings were great patrons of Persian language and literature, and poetry in particular made much progress under their patronage The fall of their dynasty left the literary luminaries unremunerated and they flocked eagerly to Ghaznin, the new home of light and learning and the new cradle of the sage and the scholar There they were accorded a most warm welcome and there they found full scope for the display of their talents. It would be impossible, even if the author were competent, to do full justice to the subject within the scope of a small chapter † Attention is therefore focussed on the achievements of some of the most important scholars and poets who flourished under the patronage of Sultan Mahmud, contributed vastly to Persian literary culture and immortalized the name of their Imperial patron

The versatile Abū Rīhān Muhammad bin Ahmad, known to history as Alberuni (the foreigner), comes first Albertini in order of importance He was

^{*} He received 80,000 dirhams from a Samanid Prince

for a moral work (H I, 290 foot-note)
† Competent scholars like Browne, Shibli and Azad have written volumes on the subject and the more inquisitive readers would find much to quench their thirst for knowledge with in their works entitled A Literary History of Persia, Shir-ul-'Ajam and Sukhandan-1-Paras respectively The Tazkarat-ush-Shu'ara by Amir Daulat Shah also contains much useful information on the subject. chiefly on poetry

decidedly the most shining star in the firmament of Mahmud's literary world As a versatile genius, he was un-equalled, unapproached and unrivalled At once an astronomer, philosopher, geographer, mathematician, chronologist and a profound scholar of Sanskrit, Alberuni's mind was indeed "encyclopædic" He was brought from his native Khwarizm as a captive, thrown into prison and subsequently exiled into Hindustan on that life of travel and tour to which we owe the immortal Taliqiq-ma-lil-Hind, which is a veritable mine of information about the life and thought of contemporary India He travelled all over India, applied his vigorous intellect to the study of the language of the Hindus, their arts and sciences, their philosophy and literature, their customs and traditions, in short, the entire paraphernalia of their civilization, and embodied his observations in a book which is a great asset to the study of Hindu history and an indispensable source of informa-The philosophic and scientific spirit that animates Alberuni in the laborious and sympathetic treatment of his subject on an alien culture is a complete contrast to the pride and prejudice of the narrow-minded scholars of the West and serves as an imperishable index to the intellectual character of Islam and the catholicity of Muslim mind In return for his knowledge of their customs and traditions, their thoughts and ideas, he communicated to the people of India the wisdom of the Baghdadian school*

^{*} The Spirit of Islam, Amir 'Ali, 380, O H I, 194

work on astronomy, called Al-ganun-al-Mas'udi; or "the Canon Masudicus" after the name of his Imperial patron, Sultan Mas'ūd, is a milestone of literary research and a monument to his memory. His Tahqiq mā-lil-Hind,* which amply manifests the extent to which the Muslim scholars had turned the treasures of Greek lore to fruitful purposes, is an embodiment of well-digested erudition and untiring industry His another great work, which deserves specific mention, is the Asar ul-Baawa or "the Vestiges of the Past" He also translated into Arabic the Sanskrit work entitled Karanātilaka by Vijayānanda, son of Jayānanda. of Benares, and renamed it Ghurrat-uz-Zinat. Besides these master pieces of literature, he wrote many other books on different subjects, such as astronomy, mathematics, geography, physics and chemistry

Abū Nasr Muhammad bin Muhammad al Jabbār al-'Utbī ‡ was a distinguished Al-'Utbī historian and penman attached to the Court of Sultān Mahmūd He wrote a history of the reign of his Imperial

^{*} This work has been translated into English by Dr E C Sachau under the title "Alberāni's India" The original title of the work is Tahqiq-ma-lil-Hind and not Tārikh-ul-Hind or Tārikh i-Hind, as wrongly given in some books, e g, E & D, p 1, and Encyclopædia of Islām, 1, 726

[†] This work also is translated by Dr E C Sachau under the title 'The Chronology of Ancient Nations'

[‡] For his life and work, see al-'Utbi in Ency of Islam

patron, entitled Kitāb-ul-Yamīnī or Tārīkh-i-Yamīnī which though deficient in dates and details, is still an indispensable authority on the life and work of Sultān Mahmūd From literary point of view it is very ornate and the author seems to have concentrated more on the beauty of style and diction than on historical data and dates of important events

Among others who deserve specific mention in this hurried survey were Al-Others Farabī the famous philosopher who was considered 'a second Aristotle' by the Arabs of his day and honoured and prized by Mahmūd the more since the refusal of his precursor, Abū 'Alī Sīnā (Avicenna)* who was 'undoubtedly one of the greatest thinkers and physicians the world has produced', to join his Court, Abdul Malik bin Muhammad bin Ismāīl as-Salabī, the learned author of a metrical history of Irān from the earliest times to the reign of Sultān Mahmūd, called Kitāb fī Ghurar-i-Akhbār-i-Mulūk-ul-Furs, T Abul Fazl Muhammad bin Hussain al-Baihaqī,‡ the

^{*} On his refusal to accept Mahmud's invitation, he was hunted down from place to place till at last he found a safe asylum with one of the Buwaihid rulers of Raiy, who was a great patron of Persian literature (L H P 1, 95 ff)

⁷ Originally this work covered four volumes Of them, only the first two have survived and the other two have disappeared. The two that have survived deal with the pre-Islāmic period and the Prophet Muhammad

For his life and work, see Ency of Islam, 1, 592

reputed author of the Magamat-i-Abū Nasr-i-Mushkānī,* the Majalladāt† and a collection of important diplomatic correspondence of Sultān Mas'ūd and probably of Mahmūd as well, called Zīnat-ul-Kuttāb,‡ Mahmūd-al-Warrāq, the author of a universal history ending with the year 1018 A C,\$ and Hilāl bin Muhassin bin Ibrāhīm as-Sabī, the author of the Zail Tajārib-ul-Umam, containing in detail the letters of victory sent by the Sultān to the Khalīfa of Baghdād ¶

^{*} This work, now no longer extant, contained much useful information on the reign of Sultan Mahmad, as is evident from the extracts preserved in the Jawami ul-Hikayat and Asar-ul-Wuzara

[†] This was a monumental work, covering as many as 30 volumes. It was a most comprehensive history of the Yamini Dynasty (Sultan Mahmud and his successors), beginning from the year 1018 A.C., and coming down to 1068 A.C. Only the second half of the 6th volume and volumes 7-9 and a part of the 10th volume, dealing with the reign of Sultan Mas'ud, are preserved and the rest lost Several parts of this work were known after the names and titles of the Ghaznawid rulers. For instance, the part dealing with the reign of Mahmud was named Tārīkh-i-Yamini after his title (Yamīn ud Daulah) and that dealing with the reign of Mas'ūd was called Tārīkh: Mas'ūd after his name. The Tārīkh: Yamini is a most valuable work and its importance lies in the fact that it was based on original State papers and a diary which its author used to keep

I This work too has been lost (M G, 2)

 $[\]xi$ T M, 317 Also see M G, 3

I A part of this chronicle, covering an account of three years (390 2 A H), has survived It has been published by Professor D S Margoliouth in the third volume of the Tajārib ul-Umam of Abu 'Ali Ahmad bin Muhammad Miskawaih

delicacy and tenderness that characterize others and the Homeric simplicity that pervades most of it. The most striking feature of the book was the absence of Arabic words and phrases, but it has undergone so many changes and suffered so much in diction that it is now conspicuous for the absence of this feature. The constructive genius of Firdaus achieved victories far more enduring than those of his master. The Ghaznawid Empire built by the latter crumbled to dust a decade after his death, but the Shalmamah, the crowning achievement of Firdaus, will live for ever as a monument to his memory.

'Unsari,* the scientist, philosopher, linguist and, with the possible exception of Firdausi, the greatest genius of 'Unsarī the age, next engages our attention He may be mentioned as the best instance of a man raised to honour and affluence for literary merits alone His extemporaneous effusions, his odes and encomiums, his voluptuous metaphors and, above all, his pure and powerful diction, exquisitely polished and extremely charming, assign him a place second only to that of Firdausi in the history of Persian poetry. He versified the victories and conquests of Sultan Mahmud, and this part of his work is very useful for historical purposes. In recognition of his services and in appreciation of his merits, he was

^{*} His full name was Hasan bin Ahmad

honoured with the title of Malak-ush-Shu'ara (poet-laureate) and entrusted with the work of looking through the poetical compositions of all the accomplished poets of the kingdom before being submitted to the Sultan. This enhanced his prestige and influence and also raised him to honour and title. He left behind a aiwan of about 80,000 verses *

Among other poets who flocked to Ghaznin and flourished at the Imperial Court. Other poets—the most distinguished were Daqiqi, who had first undertaken the stupendous task of writing the Shāhnāmah and had composed more or less 1,000 verses, Ghazāirī Rāzī, to whom a reference has already been made, Asadī Tūsī, the honoured teacher of Firdausi, who is said to have completed the Shāhnāmah by contributing as many as 4,000 verses, 'Asjadī Marvī, Farrukhī Tirmizī and Minūchihr Balkhī †

The preceding account, though exceptionally brief and perfunctory, is, however, Criticism enough to convey the idea that the of poets age of Sultan Mahmud was an age of literary efflorescence when poetry in particular progressed by leaps and bounds. Some of the poets attached to the Ghaznawid Court, especially those referred to above, were renowned all

^{*} Vide T S, Talqa-i Awwal

⁷ For a detailed account of these and many other poets, see *Ibid*, L H P, r and 11, T F, 1, 38-39, S P L, T S, and S A, Vol I

over Asia and their presence at Ghaznīn, highly prized by the Sultān, was responsible for raising the reputation of the Imperial patron to the highest water-mark. It is true that mystic ideas had not yet gained a wide currency and the Ghazal had not yet been invented; and that the poetry, though brilliant, was not deep, yet the Ghaznawid poets possessed, in spite of their short-comings, a certain amount of freshness and candour which the succeeding generations of poets have frequently lacked *

As a man of culture and refinement, endowed with an intuitive love of learning and an instinctive admiration for everyinstitutions thing that is beautiful in art and literature, Mahmūd's magnanimity was not confined to literary sphere alone, but also found a most suitable expression in the establishment of such intellectual institutions as maktabs and madrasahs, mosques and monasteries, museums and libraries He founded the celebrated "Celestial Bride"—a mosque of matchless beauty and grandeur—, built of marble and granite, at his capital and furnished with gold and silver lamps, candelabras, precious ornaments and costly carpets This magnificent mosque was in that age "a wonder of the east"—a sobrequet which it richly deserved † In the vicinity of this sacred structure the Sultan

^{*} See L H P, 1, 11, S. A, 1, and S P L

[†] T F, 1, 30, and H I, 292

established a big university and provided it with a vast library and a fine museum. The library was stocked with numerous literary treasures in various languages and the museum enriched with invaluable antiquities. The mosque was surrounded by as many as 3,000 quarters meant for the residence of teachers and students of the university. The teachers were paid regular salaries and the students were granted stipends and scholarships from the State funds and the income of the mosque and its endowments.*

Sultan Mahmud had a fine taste for architecture. The spent huge sums of money on the construction of magnificent monuments with a view to beautify his beloved Ghaznin. He imported distinguished architects from distant countries and employed them in giving a suitable expression to his architectural designs. His example was followed by his nobles who vied with one another and even emulated their king in erecting superbedifices. The result was that Ghaznin became a nucleous of Islamic architecture in Central Asia

Among the public works of a vast and varied Public Works description erected under the instructions of Sultan Mahmūd

^{*} Tārīkh-:-Ibn Kl.alkān, 11, 86, T.F., 1, 30, and H I, 290 T F, 1, 30, Indian as well as Persian architecture together — not one to the exclusion of the other —seems to have influenced Mahmud's tastes for architecture. (Viac C H I, 111, 574)

may be mentioned aqueducts, reservoirs, cisterns, porches, bridges and dams. The most prominent of all these were a market at Balkh, a bridge over the Oxus and the Band-1-Sultan across the river Nawar, about eighteen miles north of Ghaznīn Of these only the last-named has survived and that too, though still in use, is much damaged *

Unfortunately, however, the Ghaznīn of these days is a complete contrast to the Ghaznīn of the days of Sultan Mahmūd Once the historic capital of the great Ghaznawid Empire and the cradle of Islāmic culture, it is now a collection of kacha houses, wearing the appearance of an ordinary Afghān town of limited population So complete in fact seems to have been the spoliation to which it was subjected by 'Alā-ud-Dīn Hussain, surnamed Jahānsoz or "world-burner", and later vandals that nothing but the tombs of a few kings, two towers of victory—one built by Mahmūd and the other by his son, Mas'ūd—, and the shrines of saints and savants have survived to give us an idea not so much of the glory that was Ghaznīn as of the misfortunes that befell it †

^{*} See Ghaznī in E:B, H L. E A, 494-97, and M G, 166

[†] For some details on the architectural remains at Ghaznin, see my article under the caption "Impressions of Ghaznin" in C & M G, Lahore, dated the 1st September, 1938 Also see H I E A, 494-97, C H I, 111, 574-75, and E B

The tomb of Amir Subuktigin is situated near the modern town of Ghaznin Tombe of Subul the Kabul Ghaznin Road tigin, Mahmad, It is built of white marble and & 3 other links has a small but beautiful dome over it. Its epitaph is in Kafic characters * The Rauzah (tomb) of Sultan Mahmud is further up on an elevation, having a modest garden around it T. It is also built of white marble and is crowned with a newly constructed dome Its epitaph is in Kufic script, invoking the mercy of God on the noblest Amir, the greatest king tne Lord of Church and Sale, Abul Oasim Mahmud bin Subukagin The outer gate, with a long corridor to the right opening into the enclosure seems to be a later addition goors that formerly agorned the tomb are now to be seen in the Agra Fort, where they were removed by the British in 1842 A C. They

^{*} This tomb is not mentioned by any modern writer Even Sir J. Marshall, Dr. J. Fergusson and Dr. M. Nazim are silent about it. All that they have said about in their works are the tombs of Mahmud and Mas ud and the two tovers of victory. None of the notability other than these finds place in any modern work known to me. Even the Encyclopadia Britannica does not go beyond referring to the above mentioned tombs and towers. The little that is mentioned about these too seems to be based on hear say reports and is therefore full of mistakes, and inaccuracies. Compare it with my account in "Impressions of Glazrin", which is based on personal observations, and mark the mistakes.

[†] The village that has sprung up round the tomb is known as Rauzah i Sultán

are made of deodār wood, richly carved and containing beautiful inscriptions in Kūfic script * The tombs of three other kings, viz., Abdur Razzāq, Abdul Fateh and Ulūghbeg, are also situated in the same neighbourhood. They are crowned with a common dome. The names of the three kings are inscribed on a tile of white marble, which is fixed in a wall of the domed structure. Both the tombs and the dome are in a dilapidated state.†

The legend that the gates of the tomb had been taken away by Mahmüd from Somnath is devoid of historical foundation and is fantastically absurd $(H\ I\ E\ A\ ,496,f\ n\)$

^{*} Mas'ūd had raised a beautiful mausoleum over this tomb and created vast endowments for its maintenance (T M, 310) The tomb was held in such esteem by the people that even the 'world-incendiary', 'Ala-ud-Din Hussain, did not include it in the wholesale destruction of Ghaznin ordered by him "His tomb has however. suffered from the ravages of his Muslim admirers, who for ages have resorted to it for the purpose of seeking divine grace and have carried away to their homes fragments of wood or handfuls of earth as keep-sakes, from the sacrilege of the savage hordes of Hulagu Khan, and in more recent times, from the misguided enthusiasm of Lord Ellenborough, who, believing that its gates were those of the temple of Somnath, ordered them to be removed and brought back to India." (M G, 124, foot-note) "Once a grand edifice", the tomb became a cluster of "dilapidated ruins" later on and has been repaired and renovated by the Afghan Government only recently It is no longer in "dilapidated ruins", as stated by Dr M Nāzim (M G, 167 and 124, f n)

[†] Some scholars have omitted these tombs and mentioned those of Mas'üdand Ibrāhīm, which I could not trace

Oute close to the city is a cluster of tombs surrounded by orchards and vineyards The most prominent of Shrines these tombs are those of Ramzan bin Yūsuf alias Shāh Rūm, Majdūd* alias Hakīm Sanāī and Khāqānall lying side by side under a common dome which is a later addition Sa'dī's famous poem in Arabic, extolling the virtues of Muhammad, the Prophet of Islam, is inscribed on the tomb of Sanāī! Among numerous other tombs that are interspersed in the valley may be mentioned those of Bahlol Dānā, Shams-ul-'Arifīn, Jam-i-Auliyā and Shaikh 'Attar Most of the shrines that have survived have lost all marks of recognition and cannot be identified at this distant date §

^{*} The full name of this famous poet-philosopher was Abul Majd Majdūd bin Adam al-Sanāi. (T S)

[†] His full name was Abul Fuzalā Afzal-ud-Dīn Khāgānī (TS).

The opening verses of this poem are — بلع العلى نكما له - كشف الدحى نحماله حسدت حميع حصاله - صلو علية و اله

[§] It may be noted here that the Afghān Government is deeply interested in the preservation of these sacred remains and relics of the past and its interest has found a most suitable expression in the repairs and renovation of architectural remains lying scattered all over Afghānistān

CHAPTER VI

SULTAN MAHMUD THE GREAT-V

SOME LEGENDS ABOUT HIM

A number of anecdotes are affoat about Sultan Mahmud's career and character Few of them have yet been subjected Introductory to the rigours of criticism and the result is that they are freely quoted by competent scholars as well as by mediocres to illustrate certain traits of Mahmud's character * Of them. the four most famous legends that have gained a wide currency and given birth to a series of wild charges against Mahmud are those about Firdausi, Avaz, the idol of Somnath and the two Dabishlīms Here it is proposed to take up these four legends to scrutinize them at some length and to examine their sources, because they are responsible for giving a wide vogue to some curious notions about Mahmud's character and work

^{*} Dr M Nāzīm has dealt with some of these stories and tried to expose their spuriousness in his learned monograph on Mahmīd of Ghazīd (pp 219 ff), but about Firdausi and Ayāz he has contented himself by drawing the attention of his reader to the articles of Professor M Khān Shīrānī in the "Urdū" (quarterly journal) about Mahmūd's relations with Firdausī and characterizing Mahmūd's "attachment" to Ayāz as based on latter's "extraordinary devotion" and not on "good looks" despite the fact that both these stories have done much harm to the reputation of his hero

We take the legend of Firdausi first It is to the effect that this famous poet was commissioned by Sultan Mah-Legend of Firdausi mud for writing the Shahnamah (Book of Kings) in poetry and promised a gold dinar for each verse composed, that when the great epic was completed the Sultan paid the poet in silver instead of the promised gold, that the poet refused to accept the baser metal, retired from the Imperial Court in disgust and composed a satire against his niggardly patron, that sometime later the Sultan repented of his cavil and sent more than the promised money to Firdausi, that the poet was no longer in the land of the living at that time, and that the poet had a daughter whom the money was offered but she refused to accept it Taking their cue from this story and the satire, some stupid scholars have gone even so far as to declare that Mahmud "was a bastard" and others, e g Sir W Haig, have contented themselves with the statement that he was "the son of a concubine and not a regularly married wife", while all are almost invariably agreed on the charge of avarice

Professor M Habib has also written a book on Mahmud, but he has quietly passed over these stories. In a foot-note (S M G, 62) he has referred to the articles of Professor Shirānī. There is, thus, no work in English in which these stories have been subjected to a critical examination. I have, therefore, thought it proper to devote some space to them. I may add here that I have not had the opportunity of reading Professor Shīrānī's articles despite sounding all possible sources.

and breach of promise against him

Almost all the available sources of this story are materially discrepant and 1 Information contradictory They differ in almost Contradictory all details Even the name, nativity, parentage, dates of birth and death of Firdausī as well as of commencement and completion of the Shahnamah by him, his age and education, his life and religious beliefs, nay the number of verses that the Shahnamah contained. the circumstances that surrounded Firdausi's access to the Imperial Court and presentation of the book as well as Mahmud's order to write that book and his perfidy and final repentance are differently given in different accounts * the presence of such a confused mass of conflicting and contradictory evidence it is wholly unsafe to accept the story at its face value

Secondly, there is absolutely no reference to the story in the chronicles of the contemporary Persian and Arab historians who have left behind detailed accounts of the reign of Sultan Mahmud The Persian historian.

'Aini, who was a contemporary of Sultan Mahmud, says nothing about it The celebrated author of the Alliand o Malahum Min Maqala Maqbul, another contemporary critic of Mahmud, who was so unsparing and even unnecessarily

^{*} Vide M F, 34 ff, and L.H.P, 11, 129 ff

extravagant in his criticism that he must have fully exploited the story and the satire only if they had been correct and current in his times, makes no mention of it. In short, the whole of the contemporary historical literature is silent on the subject and no writer of that age has even alluded How, it may then be asked, did the story originate and gain currency subsequently? The story first appears in the Chahār Magāla, where it is not based on any authority * It is from this solitary source that the story must have been reproduced in the subsequent literature necessary to note here that the book just referred to was written by Nizāmī al-'Arūzī, a court-poet of the Ghurids, about 150 years after the death of Sultan Mahmud and the probability is that it was concocted by him in order to please his patrons, the Ghurids, between whom and the Ghaznawids existed a bitter enmity, or if it was not his work, it might have been incorporated in his book later on, for the literature of that age and of the age that followed is not entirely unmixed and intact † Again, the Chahār Magāla is full of historical mistakes and mis-statement of facts 1

^{*} C M, 72, ff

[†] The Diwan-1-Hāfix, the Gulistān and the Kulliyāt-1-Shams Tabrex are the instances in point. One reason for the changes in the books of those times, as apart from malicious intentions, is that literature suffered enormously during the tumultuous times that followed the death of Mahmūd. Changes such as we have come across may have crept in while the books were rewritten.

¹ Vide M F, 27, ff,

At present there are several MSS of this book and they are all full of material discrepancies Even the authorship of the book is a subject of controversy* It is therefore unsafe to place implicit reliance on what is stated in it and is not supported by other sources Yet again, the language and style of the story of 'Mahmād and Firdausi' are quite different from those of the other contents of the book (Chahār Maqāla), so much so that they cannot be attributed to one and the same author Any one acquainted with Persian language and literature will easily discover the difference and find that the language and style in which the story is written are far inferior to those of the book in general T Almost everywhere in the book Mahmud s name is mentioned with respect but not so in the story. The absence of the story in the literature of a period no less than a century and a half also shows that it is a later fabrication The story itself is not free from flaws For instance Nizāmī al-'Arūzī, the author of the Chahar Maqala, informs us that Firdausi was a man of means and lived a comfortable life, ! while Firdausi himself complains of his poverty § At another place in the same story al-'Arūzī savs

^{*} M F., 24

T Ibid, 32-3

¹ C M, 77

[§] The relevant verses are -

ودیگر که گعم وقادار بیست - مراین رنم راکس خریدار بیست مرا عمل و خورا برابر بدے - رمانه سا چون بدادر بدے

that on his way back from Hindustan Mahmud was reminded of the fate of poor Firdausi, whose work had not been duly appreciated and who had left the Imperial Court in utter disappointment, that Mahmud asked one of his servants to remind him of it at Ghaznīn and he would do something for the poet, that on reaching Ghaznīn the Sultan was reminded of Firdausi, whereupon he sent indigo worth 60,000 dinars to the poet, and that the indigo was taken to him from one gate of the city while his corpse was being taken to the graveyard from another * Obviously this episode relates to the time of Firdausi's death, which took place in 411 A. H One may therefore say that in the year 411 A H Mahmud was coming back from Hindusian But there is nothing to corroborate this and we know for certain that Mahmud undertook no expedition against India in the year 411 A H In the same story Nizāmī al-'Arūzī says that he visited the Tomb of Firdausī in 510 A H, but does not say that he was told anything about the story † According to his own statement, he visited Nishapur in 540 A H, 2e, thirty years after visiting Firdausi's Tomb, and received details of it from Amir Ma'zī ! This is incredible, for if the story had been correct or current in those days, the Mujawar (man in charge) of Firdausi's Tomb must have known it

^{*} C M, 81-82

[†] Ibid , p 82

¹ Ibid, p 81

and told it to al-'Arūzī, as is the usual practice Evidently the story was fabricated later on

Thirdly it is a question whether the Shahnāmah was undertaken by Firdausi at (3) Was Firthe instance of Sultan Mahmud dausi appoint-According to Firdausi, the Shahnaed by Mahmud to write the mah was completed in 400 A H Shāhnāmah? (=1010 A C) and it took him 30 or 35 years to complete it * From this we infer that the work was started in (400—30=) 370 A H (=480 A C) or (400-35=) 365 A H. (=975 A C), i e, it was started (388-370=) 18years or (388-365=) 23 years before Mahmud's accession, which took place in 388 A H The conclusion therefore is that Firdausi was not appointed by Mahmud for writing the Shahnamah This conclusion is confirmed by other considerations also Mahmud's reign extended over 33 years and the composition of the Shahnamah took 35 years Therefore, the work was started either 2 years before Vlahmud's accession

^{*} The verses showing that the Shāhnāmali was completed in 400 A H are
(page 1 along the completed in 400 A H are
(page 2 along the verses showing that it was completed in 35 years are
company and page 2 along the verses showing that it was completed in 35 years are

get up to page 2 along the verses showing that it was completed in 30 years are

The verses showing that it was completed in 30 years are

company and along the verses showing that it was completed in 30 years are

company and along the verses showing that it was completed in 30 years are

company and along the verses showing that it was completed in 30 years are

company and along the verses showing that it was completed in 30 years are

company and along the verses showing that it was completed in 30 years are

company and along the verses showing that it was completed in 30 years are

company and along the verses showing that it was completed in 30 years are

or 2 years after his death. In one case it was not undertaken at Mahmud's orders and in the other case it was not completed and presented to him during his lifetime. As the weight of evidence is in favour of the fact that the Shahnamah was presented at the Court of Mahmud, one cannot resist the alternate conclusion that it was undertaken by Firdausi on his own account and not at the request of Mahmud Assuming for the sake of argument that the Shahnamah was written under Mahmud's orders and assuming also that it was started from the very date of of his accession (388 A H), it was then completed in (388+35=) 423 A H This would mean that it was completed (423-420=) 3 vears after Mahmud's death and (423-411=) 12 years or (423-417=) 6 years after Firdausi's death, 411 A H and 417 A. H being the two dates of his death mentioned in the Shahnamah This also is impossible Again, there is a verse in the Shahnamah which means that the author, after completing the work, kept it with him for 20 years in order to find a suitable patron for it * Adding this period of 20 years to that of 35 years, during which the work was completed, and subtracting the whole (20 + 35 = 55) from 400, the year when it was completed, we get (400-55=) 345 A H as the date of commencing it. In other words, the book was started (388-345 =)43 years before Mahmūd's accession

^{*} The verse referred to above is -

سحن راديم داشتم سال ديست. - كمديدم سرا وار اين كدم كيست

or (361-345=) 16 years before his birth, the years 388 A H and 361 A H being his dates of accession and birth respectively If we accept the period spent in the writing of the Shahnamah as 30 years, then according to the above calculations, it was started 38 years before Mahmud's accession and 11 years before his birth. This too is absurd And, if 389 A H * is accepted as the correct date of completion of the Shahnamah, then according to the above calculations, it was started in (389-50=) 339 A H, i e. (388-339=) 49 years before Mahmud's accession (388) or (361-339=) 22 years before his birth. Here the period of completion of the Shahnamah is taken to be 30 years. If it is taken to be 35 years, then according to the above calculations, the writing of the Shāhnāmah was undertaken 43 years before Mahmud's accession and 17 years before his birth This is no less absurd Other dates of completion of the book are 371, 384, 391 A H and the periods during which it was completed are 6, 13, 15 and 25 The results will be more discouraging if the date of starting the Shahnamah is worked out on their basis according to the above calculations Furthermore, FirdausI says that his age was about 80 in the year 400 A. H † That is to say, he was born in (400-

^{*} This is according to the verse - اگر سال قیمتار ناسی صداست

[†] At the end of the Shāhnāmah, which was completed in 400 A H, Firdausī says — אינט אינ יינע אינער אייער אינער אי

form of a book called Pāstān-Nāmah* by an intimate friend of his, named Muhammad Lashkarī Again, while Firdausī was writing the Shāhnāmah, he enjoyed the patronage of Abū Mansūr, Hussain bin Qatib and Arsian Khan, the governors of Tus T Why should he receive the patronage of these persons when he had already found a patron in Mahmud? It is quite obvious that he had not yet seen Mahmud what to say of his appointment by him for writing the book Furthermore, the first edition of the book is said to have been completed in 389 A H and dedicated to a certain notable of Khālanjān (in Isfahān), named Ahmad bin Muhammad bin Àbī Bakr al-Islahānī ‡ If the Shāhnāmah had been written at the request of Sultan Mahmud, it would not have been dedicated to Ahmad in 389 A H before its presentation to Mahmud Firdausi is stated to have visited Mahmud's Court

* The relevant verses are

دمشهرم یکے مہر ماں دوست بود - تو گفتی کہ ما میں دم یک پوست بود مرا گوت حوا امد این رائے تو - بر بیٹی حوا امد مگر بائے تو بوشتم میں این راءئم پہلوی - بر پیش تو آرم مگر معنوی تو این قصم حصر وال بار کو - بدیں حو ب رو محمال آبر و چون آوردایی بامہ بودیت میں - بر اوروحت این حال آریک میں کو ایہا یفا حمد کہ ہم سال او - بعوید در حا اور آل اور نا باش حوئی تو بام درست - ابو بکر آخر معدد بخست مداوید این دیتر بندہ کود - لسفو موادم پوار حدد کرم بودیسی طوسی پاک حف - در این بام بر بام محیود گفت

at the age of 85, e, just before his death, then who worked at the Shahnamah for 30 or 35 years, if we suppose that it was undertaken at the instance of Mahmud, and how could it be presented at the Ghaznawid Court during the lifetime of Mahmud? Obviously there was no question of appointment and hence no question of promise perfidy and repentance Finally, the author of the Chahar Magala, which is the first source of information, does not say that Mahmud had appointed Firdausi for writing the Shahnamih Later writers, who have made further embellishments on the story, have made this addition without citing any authority and hence cannot be believed to have told the truth When the Shahnamah was presented to Mahmud, he is said to have asked his courtiers what reward he should give to its author * If Mahmud had appointed Firdausi to do that work and made a promise regarding his remuneration, he would not have put such a question to his courtiers Moreover, Firdausi never referred to his appointment by Mahmud for writing the Shahnamah or to Mahmud's promise and perfidy when he explained his case to the ruler of Tabaristan and Amir Abul Muzaffar Nasr, the brother of Sultan Mahmud Finally, the task of writing the Shahnamah was first undertaken by Dagigi who is said to have composed as many as 1,000 verses before he left the task.† If this is correct, the

^{*} C M . 79

[†] T F, 1, 39

story of Firdausi's appointment and Mahmud's promise and perfidy falls to the ground

Fourth, v. the Shāhnāmah has suffered a great deal and undergone many changes (4) Apocryphal since it was completed * In authorship of first place the authorship of the the Shāhnāma whole of the Shahnamah, as it has come down to us, cannot be attributed to Firdausi alone, for two other poets of distinction have contributed a goodly number of verses to it According to the Taskara-i-Haft Aglim and the Marma-ul-Fusaha, it contains 1,000 ver-es of Dagigit—a fact which Firdausi frankly admits and acknowledges the contribution with thanks ! According to Ferishta, the Shahnamah was completed by Asadī Tusī who contributed 4,000 verses § The Atishkada-i-Asar also corroborates the above version in all details \ Professor Browne says that it was the second edition of the Shahnamah which was presented at the Court of Mahmud || This is quite consistent with the testimony adduced above If this is correct, the story of Mahmud's appointment of Firdausi, promise of

^{*} MF, 45 ff

[†] Ferishta also supports this (See T F, 1, 62)

The verses embodying this fact are -

ر کستاسپ و ار حاسب بیتے بهرار - نگفت و سر آمد و را رورکار پریوفتم و درشتم رو ساس - موا در دل آمدر بهر سوبهراس

^{\$} TF, 1, 61

[¶] L H P, n, 141

[|] M F, 44 ff, and 65 ff

a dinar for each verse and perfidy loses all force of reality and takes the form of fiction. Secondly, Firdausi's mastery of the Persian language was universally acknowledged and he always expressed himself in Persian without invoking the aid of the Arabic language, and hence the most important and distinctive feature of the Shāhnāmah was the absence of Arabic words But the existing MSS of the book are free from this convention, for they contain a number of Arabic words and phrases At present there are many MSS. of the Shāhnāmah and, though the book is universally believed to contain 60,000 verses, no two of the existing MSS contain the same number of verses. That written by Muhammad Khan Qazwini contains 42,000 verses, others have more than this and the one written in 810 A H, s e 410 years after the completion of the book and is perhaps the oldest of all that have survived, contains as many as 87, 911 verses, of which 7,000 are in praise of Baghdad. Why so many verses in praise of Baghdad and not Ghaznin is an objection which, like many others, need not be dilated upon here Suffice it to say here that the presence of so many MSS., containing different number of verses and different accounts, renders the authenticity as well as authorship of the book highly apocryphal Again there are many historical mistakes in the Shahnamah as it has come down to us, e g, Parichehra is spoken of as the grand-daughter of Iraj, whereas in fact she was his daughter, the murderers of Dara are stated to have been two Wazīrs, named Nasar and

Halosar, though in fact they were two soldiers of Hamadan* There are a large number of discrepancies and even contradictions in all the the existing USS of the book † Furthermore, there are serious literary and poetical defects which cannot escape the notice of anyone conversant with Persian language and literature ‡ These are defects and drawbacks which cannot be attributed to such a competent poet and wellinformed scholar as Firdausi Such, in short, is the extent of changes which the Shahnamah has undergone that Allama Lutf 'All Azar has good grounds to doubt if it still retains any verses of Firdaus at all. Under the circumstances, it is not safe to hold him responsible for the whole book as we have it or to say that it was written by him at the instance and under the patronage of Sultan Mahmud & And, whatever the merits and

^{*} Vide M I, 50

[†] Ibid, pp 56 ff

¹ Ibid, pp 51-53

It appears to me that the story of the famous Arabian poet, called Lubaid 'Amri, and Amir Muñwiya was moulded into that of 'Mahmūd and Firdausi' by some ingenious writer, with necessary changes, and fathered on Nizāmī al-Arūzi. Khalīfa 'Umar had granted a monthly allowance of 300 dirlams to Lubaid 'Amri for his poetic talents. This monthly allowance was increased by 100 dirlams by the succeeding Khalīfa, 'Usmān and 'Alī When Muñwiya became Khalīfa, he diminished the allowance, which the poet refused to accept. Later, the Khalīfa repented of his act, increased the allowance and sent the arrears to the poet. It is stated that the money reached the place of the poet, when his dead body was

short-comings of the present Shahnamah, there is no doubt that it is a book of poetry and cannot therefore be free from exaggeration, and we cannot arrive at correct conclusions as long as due allowance is not made for this element If Mahmud did not live up to his words on account of his miserliness, as alleged, why did he repent and send the promised amount with other presents to the poet after he had left the Imperial Court and composed a most trenchant sature on the Sultan? The satire would have enraged the Sultan and furnished a casus bells for wreaking a vengeance on him. Far from repenting and rewarding him, Mahmūd must have hunted him down and impaled him without compassion if he had in fact written that satire

Let us now turn to the satire and see if it was really written by Firdausi As apart from the cumulative evidence adduced above, there are other considerations that confirm our doubte, so much so that we cannot attribute its authorship to that poet. We learn from the Chahār Maqāla, which is the only source drawn upon by later writers about the legend of 'Mahmād and Firdausi' and the 'satire' that originally the satire consisted of 100 verses and that all of them, except six, were destroyed by the King of Tabaristān with his

being carried to the graveyard. The deceased had a daughter, to whom the amount was given, but she refused to accept it (See translator's note in I K, II, xii, 268-69)

have put a crown on my head, and if his mother had been a Banu (lady of noble birth) he would have covered me with gold and silver up to my knees' * Now who does not know that Mahmud was the son of Amīr Subuktigīn from his wife who was the daughter of no less a person than an Amir of Zābulistān? Is it not a historical blunder or rather the height of absurdity to say that he was not the son of a king and a queen? Going further, the above statement is thus contradicted "The son of a concubine will do no good even if he be the son of a king" Here Mahmud is spoken of as the son of a concubine, which is too foolish a remark to comment upon, and is also admitted as the son of a Shahryar (king) as against the previous statement in which he is not † The satire also contains verses in praise of the Sultan, which shows that it was not the work of one man It also contains a number of Arabic words, which is quite contrary to the practice of Firdausi who always used strictly Persian words and expressions There are also in the satire idioms and expressions which were not in vogue in his times Finally, the language and the style of the satire are far inferior to those employed by him in his Shahnamah Evidently the satire, fathered on Firdausi, is not his work. If he had

^{*}The verses translated here are —

ו کر شاہ را شاہ دودے پدر - سرم بر نہادے صرا تاح در

و کر مادر شاہ مانو ددے - مواسیم و رو تا دم را دوددے

† The verse translated here is —

پرستار رادہ نم آید نکار - اگرچہ بود رادہ شہریار

in fact been the author of it, he would not have written verses at the mosque in praise of the Sultan about his own bad buck.

The sum and substance of the above discussion is that both the story and the satire are false and frivulous, concocted with no other intention than to create an aversion against one of the greatest personalities of the world Those who charge Mahmud of avarice and greed forget his munificence which was so widely and liberally enjoyed The theory of niggardliness, based on the fantastically absurd legend of 'Firdausi and Mahmud" is fully negatived by the fact that he spent huge sums of money on the construction of public works If he knew how to collect wealth, he also knew how to spend it Those who say that Firdausi was a Shia' and it was for this reason that Mahmud deprived him of 'the promised reward' should know that Mahmuds patronage was enjoyed by all and sundry, irrespective of race or religion, that a king who appreciated merits from whatever source they emanated and rewarded them with

^{*} The verses referred to above are حصیته در کهه عصود راهل دریاست چنوه دریا که اور ۱ تعارا بیدا سیست چرعوطم ما ردم و اددر او ددیدم در کناه دریا سیست کناه دریا سیست

Note that in these verses Mahmud is referred to as 'Mahmud-1-Zābulī, because his mother was the daughter of an Amir of Zābulistān

cavil cannot be said to have deprived Firdausi of his due on that score, and that the Sultan was quite favourably inclined towards the Shīas and had given two daughters of his in marriage to two Shīa' Princes named Minūchihr bin Qābūs and 'Unsar-ul-Ma'ālī Kaikāūs * The mere mole out of which a huge mountain has been made is that Firdausi was charged with "Carmathian heresy" and imprisoned at the instigation of hostile courtiers who bore him bitter jealousy. At a time when there existed a bitter enmity between the Sultan and the Carmathians, when most of the leaders of the Carmathians were the residents of Tus, the native place of Firdausi, when Firdausi was found to have connections with the Dailamites, who had given protection to the Carmathians and when he had received a rich reward from Fakhr-ud-Daulah, the Dailamite, it was not difficult to substantiate the charge—a charge on which many had lost their lives When released at the request of friendly court-poets, Firdausi went to Mahmūd's brother for a recommendation, explained his position to him and said that he had suffered through the machinations of a jealous courtier who had instigated the Sultan against him before the latter had cast a glance at the contents of the book †

^{*} MF, 36 ff, M G, 152

[†]This is evident from the following verses of the Shāhnāmah —

چیس شہریارے کہ تحشدہ ا ۔ یہ گیتی ر شا ہاں درحشدہ یہ کرد ایدر این داستانہا نگاہ ۔ ر دد کو۔ بدیجب آمد گاہ

Why did Firdausi go to Mahmud's brother for a recommendation when he had left the Court in disgust and composed such a satire as has come down to us? If he had composed the satire, how could he come back safely from a person none other than Sultan's own brother? Obviously, there was no question of appointment and reward for writing the Shahnamah *

The story that can be reconstructed after reshuffling the conflicting and contradictory mass of evidence that has come down to us is that Firdausi wrote a major portion of the Shahnamah at his own sweet will and was in search of a patron, that with the help of the Governor of Tus he had access to the Court of Mahmud, that there he would have made a fortune if he had not fallen a victim to the jealousy of hostile courtiers, that he was charged with 'Carmathian

حسد دود دد گوے در دار می - تدم شد در شاہ بار ار می بد ایدیش را روے بیکی مناد - سعمهاے بیکم دم بد کرد یاد

Al-'Aruzī, the author of the Chahār Maqāla, who is responsible for the story of Firdausī, thus writes at one place أنظم أو (مودوسي) أكر سلطان محمود دانسته بود عبي الكو أن أراد مود را محروم و مايوس بكداش "—(C. M. 55)

Evidently al-Aruzi does not charge Mahmud of avarice

^{*} Dr M Nāzim is wrong in saying that "Firdausī composed a large portion of his immortal Shāhnāmah at his (Mahmūd's) Court, and probably at his request, but his work did not receive proper recognition "(M G, 158) Vide Supra, pp 130 ff

heresy' and imprisoned, that on his release he sought the recommendation of Mahmud's brother but failed in his object and died in disappointment in his home at Tus, and that he left the Shahnamah incomplete, which was completed by 'Asadī

While we are still about the story and the satire, it is necessary to make a short Charge of reference to the charge of 'bastardy' bastardy laid against Sultan Mahmud for the fact that European scholars are too apt to accept all that is against the Muslim Kings as correct and reject all that is in their favour as fiction founded on flattery and that the rigours of their criticism are one-sided, confined only to the bright side of the picture, stories and satires such as we have dealt with would have vanished instead of gaining such a wide currency Accepting the story and the saure at their face value without subjecting them to a critical examination, they have not only charged Mahmud of stinginess but have also challenged his legitimacy by declaring him a bastard * In his account of Sultan Mahmūd. Sir W Haig tries to exonerate the Sultan of the blame of bastardy and defends him at the cost of Islam by saying that "he (Mahmud) seems to have been the son of a concubine" and arguing that "by the law of Islam the son of a concubine or handmaiden is as legitimate as the son of a regularly married wife", † Here the

^{*} Brigg's Ferishta, 1, 29, foot-note.

[†] C H I, 111, 27

learned writer betrays a superficial knowledge of the laws of Islam and Muslim history and an utter lack of critical perception. In the first place, Mahmud was not the son of a concubine, but of a mother who was the daughter of an Amir of Zabulistan, regularly married to his father, * and secondly, according to the laws of Islam, the son of a concubine cannot be "as legitimate as the son of a regularly married wife," as our mis-informed friend would have us believe †

^{*} I have used the words "regularly married" because there is no evidence to the contrary. There is no evidence to the contrary there is no evidence to the contrary because there was nothing uncommon about the marriage of Mahmūd's mother. Had there been anything unusual about it, it must have been made much of by the enemies of the Sultān. My conclusion is also confirmed by the fact that Mahmūd was known as 'Mahmūd-1-Zabulī' after his mother who was the daughter of an Amīr of Zābulistān, and if there had been any slur about her marriage his court-poets would never have called him 'Mahmūd-1-Zābulī'

[†] Concubinage means sexual connection with a woman not holding the legal status of a wife or keeping a woman in the capacity of a wife without contracting Nikāh (regular marriage) with her. The practice was common in pre-Islamic Arabia and might have also been in vogue among some Muslims before the revelation of the verse making the marriage of male as well as female slaves compulsory. No master of a slave girl could keep her as a concubine without violating the injunction embodied in the said verse. In the Holy Book 'ownership' is not recognized as validating or legalizing sexual intercourse. The only thing that legalizes sexual relationship is marriage duly performed. The conditions governing the marriage of a slave girl are the same as those applicable in the case of a free

Another equally fantastic and absurd story is that relating to Mahmud and Ayaz Mahmad This story has latterly provided a and Avāz most popular theme for literary compositions in prose as well as poetry. It is said that Ayaz was a most handsome youth and hence an object of Mahmud's passionate love and veneration Briefly stated, the story is as follows "The love which Sultan Mahmud cherished for Avaz exceeded all limits, but there was no tinge of sensuality in it * One day, when wine was being served in a banquet, Mahmud drank beyond sobriety and lost his consciousness. In that state of drunkenness his eyes fell on Ayaz's interwoven curly locks, hanging down on his face, and, losing control over himself, he threw his arms round the boy's neck, but soon woke up and, in his zeal for piety, ordered Ayaz to cut down his locks and his orders were at once obeyed by

woman, with the addition that the consent of the master, in addition to that of the girl, must be obtained Sexual intercourse with a slave-girl is lawful only when a regular $Nik\bar{a}li$ is contracted with her. Thus the son of a concubine cannot be "as legitimate as that of a regularly married wife", as our misinformed friend would have us believe (See Al-Qur-\bar{a}n, xxiv, 32)

^{*} Dr M Nazim has apparently accepted the story as true but characterized Mahmūd's love for Ayaz as true and based on the latter's "extraordinary devotion" and not on "his good looks" Here he has not used that critical acumen which he has so prominently displayed in other parts of his learned monograph on Mahmūd He seems to have followed Allama Shiblī and his followers (See M G, 153)

the boy "*

The Chahār Magāla is the oldest work in prose in which the story appears, and it is most probably from this solitary source that it has been reproduced by later historians and modern writers T Nothing needs now be said about the merits of the book whose authenticity has been successfully challenged by competent scholars and whose defects have been exposed in the preceding pages ‡ Reading through the story, one cannot help being struck with the following points, viz, (1) that Mahmud was a drunkard whose parties were characterized by wine-drinking, (2) that he lost control over himself at the sight of Avaz and fell a prey to carnal love inclining towards unnatural gratification of sexual appetite, and (3) that he gained control over himself in that state of drunkenness and, moved by piety, ordered Ayaz to cut down his locks as if they were responsible for the episode order to see how far the story is correct, it is necessary to examine these three points, two of which amount to very serious charges against Mahmid's moral character and the third is a ridicu-

^{*} This is a quotation from Allāma Shiblī's Shir-ul'Ajam I have reproduced the story in his words in order
to show how the story has escaped critical examination and
hence misled scholars of established repute

⁷ C M_{i} , 61-64 The story also finds place in the Kullizāt-i-Attār, but being written about a century after the Chahār $Maq\bar{a}la$, it is reasonable to suppose that it has borrowed it from the same source, t e, Chahār $Maq\bar{a}la$

[‡] See supra, pp 132 ff

lous contradiction of facts The first is a charge of drunkenness, which must be dismissed as false in the presence of more reliable and weighty evidence pointing to Mahmud's piety * It is almost universally admitted that Mahmud was a most pious man who kept company with men of piety and learning and never indulged in mean pleasures prohibited by the Shariyat (Islamic law) Once it is admitted that Mahmud was a pious man and a staunch follower of his faith, It would be impossible to imagine that he was a habitual drunkard, as depicted in the story The correctness of the second charge, which is a most hemous one, depends upon the correctness of the first charge If the first charge falls, the second cannot stand. In the case of a drunkard and in a state of drunkenness, the animal in the man may come out and appear in all its nakedness, but a man who never indulged in licentious debauchery—a man whose piety is admitted even by his hostile critics—cannot be accused of leaning to that weakness Psychologically, subconscious qualities come into play in a state of drunkenness and the habits formed, good or bad, appear on the surface and cannot be concealed But we have ample testimony about Mahmūd's character and habits, and in the presence of such strong evidence in his favour he cannot be said to have acted in the manner described in the story The most funny thing in the story is that its author, as also those who have followed

^{*} Vide infra, 166 ff

him, speak highly of Mahmud's piety and at the same time accuse him of drunkenness and showing an inclination towards sodomy. How piety and drunkenness could go hand in hand in the case of Mahmud is not explained This in itself is enough to discredit the story and prove its talsity The third is an amplification of this contradiction of facts It is a mixture of opposites-piety and impiety, drunkenness and sobriety * How a man whose piety is admitted on all hands, even by the author of the story, could become impious at one and the same time, how in a state of drunkenness he could remain pious and how in his passion for piety he would like to punish the object of his true love' instead of reproaching and reforming himself are points which contradict each other and conclusively prove that the story is nothing but a fabrication and that its author was nothing but a fool For, in the first place, it is impossible to remain pious when drunk and secondly it was not just or equitable on the part of Mahmūd, whose sense of justice is also universally admired, to order the cutting of Ayāz's locks which had done no wrong If there was anything wrong, should the story be true, it was Mahmūd and not Ayaz who was responsible for it In that case, Mahmud should have given up drinking, "the

^{*} How the author of the story, who was a Muslim, and his Muslim imitators, including 'Allama Shibli, were able to reconcile these opposites is not clear to me and I am at a loss to understand their conception of "piety" and "true love" (See C II, 62)

mother of all evils", if at all he had been a drunkard, as depicted in the story, or he should have reproached and reformed himself. That would have been the proper course under those That Mahmud liked and even circumstances loved Ayaz is true enough, but the story as told in the Chahar Magala and reproduced in the Shir-ul-'Ajam and other books, is false on the face of it Dr M Nazim is right in saying that "the proverbial attachment of the Sultan to his handsome Turkoman slave Abū'n-Najm Ayāz bin Uymaq was due to the extraordinary devotion of Ayaz rather than to his good looks "* It appears that the existence of this attachment captured the fancy of poets and story-tellers who developed it into a love-romance and depicted it in lurid colours

Another story that lacks historical foundation is that relating to the Idol of Somnāth. Briefly stated, it is to the effect that after the conquest of Somnāth Mahmūd entered the temple and ordered the idol to be demolished, that in spite of the strong protestations and remonstrances of the Brahmans, who threw themselves at the feet of the invader and rolled before him, imploring to spare the idol and take its weight in gold, the Sultān lifted up his mace and dealt it a heavy blow, saying that he would rather like to be called an idol-breaker than an idol-seller,

^{*} VI G , 153

and that the momen, the blow escaped the hollow figure burst asunger and poured forth a far larger treasure as the teet of the 'iconoclast' than that offered to him for sparing it. This story is fictious for the following reasons (1) It lacks all contemporary confirmation. If it had been true and correct, it would have been utilized as a theme for gastias by such poets as Farrukhi (2) The unexpected find of tems and jewels is not mentioned in any of the con emporary works, nor does it find place in the letter of victory sent by the Sultan to the Khalifa of Baghdad and quoted by the earner authorities (3) There ought to have been no question of offering a ransom for sparing the idol for the simple reason that everything belonged to Mahmud by right of conquest and has at his mercy (4) The lingams or phalli everywhere in India are invariabout made of solid stone and are not hollow, and there is no reason why the *lingam* at Somnath be made an exception to the rule (5). As the form and shape of the *lingam* of Somnath are not described by any writer, it is reasonable to believe that it was a shapeless solid stone and not hollov (6) The contemporary scholar, Alberuni, who had travelled all over India and recorded his observations on Hindu life and thought, has given elaborate rules regarding the construction of such idols (lingams)* He has nowhere mentioned that the lingams were hollow. On the other hand, the impression that we gather from

^{*} See Sachau, n, 193 4

his account is that they were solid. In view of all these facts it is not safe to believe the story that Mahmud was requested to spare the idol of Somnath and take its weight in gold and that he refused to do so on the ground that he preferred the title of idol-breaker to that of idol-seller. It must therefore be dismissed as a later concoction. That the idol was broken is true enough.

This story is as follows Mahmud was so much fascinated by the climate Story of 2 Dibishlims of Kathiawar that he wished to fix his capital there, but was dissuaded by his officers from doing so and advised to leave a deputy there There were two candidates for the post of governor-one an ascetic and the other a Raja—either called Dabishlim The choice of the Sultan fell on the ascetic and he was appointed governor of the place. Lest Rajā Dabishlim should menace the ascetic Dabishlim after the departure of Mahmud, he requested the Sultan to remove him from his way At that time the Sultan said that he had already spent a period of three years in carrying on 'a holy war' in India and that he would have to stay there for another six months to settle that affair Rāja Dābishlīm was attacked, defeated and brought a prisoner before the new governor, but he feared to keep him in his own custody and therefore requested the Sultan to take him with himself Mahmud acceded to his request and took the captive to Ghaznin Subsequently, the governor sent his men to fetch over his rival to his capital

When the captive was due, the governor went a part of the way to receive him in accordance with the custon of the country. As the captive was a little late in arriving, he went to sleep under the shade of a tree, putting a red hand-kerchief on his face. While he was fast asleep, a bird of prev stooped down and, mistaking the red handkerchief for a piece of flesh, pounced upon his face and tore away his eyes along with the handkerchief. When the captive arrived, the people acclaimed him as their ruler, for their ruler (the ascetic) had been rendered unfit to rule. The new ruler, te, Raja Dābishlim, ascended the throne and confined his rival, the ascetic, in the cell which the latter had prepared for him.

This is a myth on the face of it. In the first place, it lacks all contemporary confirmation. There is nothing in the contemporary literature to corroborate any of the statements made above. It first appears in the Wasaya-i-Nizām-ul Mulk which is a work of the 9th century, written about four centuries after the death of Mahmud Seconds, there is nothing on record to show that Mahmud ever fought against a Raja, named Dābishlīm, whom he carried to Ghaznīn as a prisoner, etc. Thirdly, we know for certain that

^{*} T Γ, 1, 34-35, Rauzat us Safa, 741 42,

T In van have some modern scholars devoted their time and energy to the identification of the mythical Dabishlims with some Hinda princes of India Professor Hodivala has devoted considerable space to the subject, but has not been able to resist the conclusion that the story is a myth,

he left no governor at Somnāth * On the other hand, the Hindū governor of the place, who had fled at the approach of Mahmūd, returned soon after his departure † Fourthly, Mahmūd's stay at Somnāth did not exceed a few days Allāma Ibn-ul-Asīr informs us that Mahmūd went back to Ghaznīn within four months after the capture of Somnāth ‡ During the years preceding and following the year of the Somnāth expedition Mahmūd was occupied elsewhere §

pure and simple In his own vords "The story is undoubtedly unhistorical and the details imaginary. The chronology also is impossible, as Mahmūd is known to have returned to Ghaznīn in 417 H. The name of Dābishlīm is only a literary fiction or reminiscence from the Kalilawa Dimina and the denouement is merely an edifying tale of poetic justice, an epilogue to inculcate and point a moral of the 'Biter bit' type" (S I-VI H, 239)

^{*} See supra, pp 75 ff, and M G, 118, f n 8

 $[\]dagger Z A, 86$

[‡] K T, 13, 242-43

[§] See supra, pp 35-36, 46 48

CHAPTER VII

SULTAN MAHMUD THE GREAT-VI

CLOSING DAYS, CHARACTER AND FSTIMATE

Now that we have dealt with the wars and conquests of Sultan Mahmud, the Introductory cultural activities of his reign and the stories delineating certain traits of his character, it has become easy for us to describe his character, to estimate the value of his work and to assign him his proper place in history. But before this is done, it is necessary to give a brief account of his closing days because they too have a bearing on the subject under discussion.

During the expedition against the lats (1027 A C) Mahmud is said to His illness have contracted malaria, which beand death came chronic and affected his lungs He continued suffering from consumption for over two years During his illness also he did not allow himself the rest that was so essential for his health. On the other hand, he concealed his ill-health from the public and exposed himself to the hardships of arduous Journeys and distant expeditions. He performed his daily duties as before and did not shrink from proceeding against the Saljuqs and the refractory governors in person even when he was suffering from that malagy The result was that his health. rapidly failing, broke down, so much so that he sank all of a sudden and passed away on the 30th of April, 1030 A C* He was buried in the Firozī Garden which was his most favourite pleasure-rescrt † During his prolonged illness he showed remarkable powers of endurance and self-control His end was indeed in harmony with his eventful career—a monument of patience and perseverence, self-reliance and contempt of danger He died quite as boldly_as_he had lived—defying death ###

On his death-bed the Sultan is said to have ordered his treasures to be displayed before him and to have 'wept bitterly' at their sight. His grief on that occasion is differently interpreted by different writers: One theory is that he felt pangs of remorse at the prospect of leaving behind his treasures of wealth without having spent anything in the way of God § The sponsors of this theory charge him with "a sordid love of mammon" and condemn

^{*} According to lunar reckoning Mahmud had an age of sixty-one years

 $[\]dagger T M, 12$

 $[\]stackrel{\uparrow}{\downarrow} Z A$, 92, and K T, 1x, 281

⁸ The first propounder of this view seems to have been Khwändmir He is followed, among others, by Sir W Haig who says "The avarice most conspicuously displayed in his review of his riches before his death and in his undignified lamentations over the prospect of eaving them " (C H I, 111, 27)

shock at the presentiment that the empire that had entailed so much suffering and bloodshed was tottering to its fall before his own eyes "On the distant horizon", says Dr M Nāzim, "his keen eye could discern a dark cloud, the harbinger of a threatening storm for the Seljūqs, whom in a moment of weakness he had permitted to settle in Khurasan, were gathering force with ominous rapidity. The stupendous achievement of a life of vigorous warfare appeared to be crumbling away as the great Sultan lay on his death-bed "*

There is no doubt that Mahmud had succeeded in creating a vast and wonderful Beginning empire out of chaos within of the end period of thirty years—an achievement which reflects great credit on his military genius-, but it is open to doubt if he had taken necessary steps to consolidate what he had conquered and to cement the foundations of his empire His work did not endure The mighty fabric fell down soon after his death It appears that it had some inherent weaknesses and that it carried the germs of disintegration with it /In the first place, consolidation in the scheme of the Sultan did not keep pace with conquest Most of his time was occupied by wars and conquests Consequently, he had little leisure to devote to administrative affairs The story of the widow who complained of lawlessness in the distant parts of the Ghaznawid Empire and Mahmud's

^{*} M G.125

prompt action does reflect credit on the Sultan's sense of duty towards his subjects, but it does not conceal the fact that the administrative control was rather slack * Secondly, the Empire had grown unwields and in the absence of such means of communication and transportation as we have in our own times it was not easy to inspire awe and fear among the refractory governors of the outlying provinces of the far-flung empire, who never missed an opportunity failed to avail themselves of the weakness the Central Government Thirdly, when the Sultan was out on his expeditions the work of administration was carried on by his ministers There is no doubt that his ministers were men of great ability and character, but it cannot be denied that they were wanting in the breadth of vision and betraved a certain amount of selfishness They devised no means and methods for controlling the distant parts of the Empire and establishing the Imperial authority there On the other hand, they seem to have done more to strengthen the Vizarat Department than to cement the foundations of the great Empire † Fourthly, the government of the newly conquered provinces was not based on the acquiescent good-will of

^{*}On receipt of her complaint when the Sultan urged the impossibility of maintaining law and order in such remote parts of his Empire as Iraq, the widow boldly retorted "Why do you conquer places which you cannot properly govern? You shall have to account for this on the Day of Judgment" (S N, 58)

[†] See S A M A, 161, ff

the people living there Kingdoms were overthrown and princes were taken prisoners, but no attempt was made to pacify the people Temporarily subdued, the supporters and sympathizers of the fallen stars were ever ready to cast in their lot with the enemies of the Sultan with a view to throw off his yoke Fifthly, the wealth that had poured in from all quarters fostered luxury among the Ghaznawids and demoralized them The rise. at first, of the Saljuqs and then of the Ghurids. who were heads and shoulders above the degenerated Ghaznawids in physical strength and stamina, sounded the death-knell of the Ghaznawid Empire, which could not withstand or survive the repeated rebuffs inflicted on it by its enemies Finally, the successors of Sultan Mahmud were mere measocres, who could not control the affairs of such a vast and wonderful Empire Some of them were too weak to suppress disorders even in their capital. The dismemberment of the Empire began as soon as the master-mind was no more The disruptive elements kept under control by Mahmud escaped soon after his death and plunged the administrative affairs into chaos *

The character and work of Sultan Mahmud,
whose name has become the centre
Mahmud's of a cycle of heroic legends, have
occasioned a most heated controversy By some he is regarded as a fierce, ferocious and fanatical Muslim who knew no pity

^{*} See infra, Chapters VIII and IX

and who tried to plant Islam in India at the point of the sword Others have depicted him in most luria colours and tried to show that he was a brigand chief, with an insatiable thirst for power, pelf and prestige, 'so laboriously won, so precariously held, so mentably lost ' Dazzled by his extraordinary genius-too dazzled to know his limitations—, his ill-informed admirers have raised him above criticism and declared him not only the greatest sovereign the world has ever produced but also a saint, endowed with miraculous powers Whereas fanatics of to-day have extolled him as a true model of kingship whose foot-steps every Muslim king should aspire to follow, moralists of a different type have condemned him as an embodiment of avarice, greed and selfishness Such are the conflicting and contradictory views about his character and work, carried to their farthest extremes by their exponents The truth lies between the two extremes, for Mahmud was neither the one nor the other-neither a saint nor a savage As a man and a mortal, he had his own virtues and weaknesses, and there is no point in exaggerating the one or the other side of his character and thus overdrawing the picture

A man of medium stature, with muscular and well-proportioned limbs, fine His personal appearance complexion, handsome face, small eyes and a fine round chin, covered with a sparse beard, Mahmūd enjoyed a sound robust health which never failed him during his thirty years' struggle when he had to bear the

hardships, and privations of arduous journeys and prolonged sieges,* except at the end when he fell ill and spoiled it by strenuous work

Mahmud was endowed with remarkable qualities of head and heart Kind His virtues and sympathetic by nature, he was a man of strong family affections. He was a dutiful son and a loving brother. As a lieutenant of his father, he proved himself a great source of strength to him in his military expeditions. He always tried to act upon his advice. The reasons why he acted against his will in the matter of succession to the throne have been set forth at their proper place and there is no need to recapitulate them here † Suffice it to

[†] See supra pp 26-29,

private life_and tried to regulate their social activities in accordance with the Islamic code of morality. He trained them in the military exercises of the day, and in order to enable them to pick up the requisite administrative experience, he placed them in charge of provincial governments and appointed capable ministers to assist them in their work. He was very kind and considerate to his officers. He respected their legitimate aspirations and always tried to promote their interests. That was why he received glowing tributes from them even after his death He threw careers open to talents and recognized ability, education and experience as the principal qualifications for the State service. Dr M Nāzim assures us that there is nothing on record to indicate that he ever chose his ministers for considerations other than those stated above * He was very generous and forgiving and it was only in rare cases that he inflicted capital punishment. He seldom punished the rebels with anything worse than simple imprisonment He had an innate love of justice and was profoundly interested in its even-handed distribution His sense of justice is beautifully illustrated by the treatment meted out by him to his nephew for contracting illicit connections with the wife of a poor mant and

^{*} M G, 151

[†] Once a poor man presented a complaint to the Sultan, alleging that his nephew, having struck intimacy with his wife, had entered his house by force, disgraced him and driven him out by dealing blows, and that the offender had

the punishment inflicted by him on an important military officer named 'Alī Nushtigīn for transgressing the Muslim law." His own son, Mas'ūd, could escape to appear before a Qāzī only by an immediate settlement of the claim against him. His respect for genuine piety is borne out by the visit he paid to the celebrated saint, Abul Hasan Kharqānī, after undertaking an arduous journey and the reception he used to accord to another saint, named Abū Saīd 'Abdul Malik bin Abū 'Usmān Muhammad bin Ibrāhīm al-Khargūshī, whenever he visited the Imperial Court & He was deeply interested in the welfare of his subjects. Story-tellers and other writers have credited him with a strong sense of duty to his people and a very high conception of kingship

renewed the outrage more than once The Sultān was evasperated at this piece of information and was beside himself with rage, but he asked the complainant to keep quiet and to come again and inform him as soon as the offender paid another visit. On the third day the complainant appeared again and informed the Sultān of the wrong to which he was being constantly subjected. Putting on a loose cloak and taking his sword with him, Mahmūd followed the complainant to his house and found the guilty couple in flagrante de licto. He first extinguished the lamp and then dealt such a blow to the adulterer with his sword that his head fell off (T, F, 1, 36)

^{*} S N, 41

⁷ Ibid, 208

[‡] TF, 1, 37 38, and Taskarat-ul-Aulijā, Pt II, 209

 $[\]S K T$, 13, 247

It is narrated that on receiving a complaint from a widow about a dacoity in the vicinity of Iraq, in which her son was killed, he took effective measures for the maintenance of law and order even in the remotest parts of his far flung empire *

From being an orthodox follower of the Hanafite school of law, Mahmud changed over to the Karramite creed, which attributed "substantiality" to God, and ultimately subscribed to the Shafi'ite school of law † Despite these changes—indicating perhaps a spirit of independent inquiry in religious matters—, he had a strong faith in God and was so firm in faith that even amidst a clash of arms he would kneel down to offer his homage to the Almighty and pray for the success of his arms—Punctilious in the performance of his religious duties, he paid Zakāt and distributed alms among the poor and was highly extolled for his piety.‡ He is said to have been

In the presence of such strong and sufficient

^{*} T H, 1, 296, and S N, 58

 $[\]dagger T$ Y, 324-33, Mughīs-ul-Khalq quoted in M G, 159, and S N, 44

^{&#}x27; سلطان محمود عربوی پادشا فے دود ناصنات سعادت دینی و دندوی فائر گردیده سیرت پسندیده نعائے رسا دید کم از سمم پادشا بان در گشت" (تاریخ فوشته - حاد اول - صفحات ۲۲-۲۲)

keenly desirous of performing a pilgrimage to Mecca, but his political pre-occupations left him no time to do so * Far from fanatic, as he is too often described, he was not even a bigot, and if he was, he was one without intolerance He maintained a large body of Hindus in his service and we know for certain that conversion was not a condition of their employment. He waged wars with the infidels not because it was meritorious from religious point of view—they had not the least semblance of Jihad (defensive war) in them—, but because it was a part of his military programme, a great source of gain and, withal, the greatest source of glory in his times T

He was the beau ideal of a soldier. He always fought in the front rank and As a soldier his was always the inspiring soul in the battle-field. He is said to

evidence, pointing to his piety, it is difficult to believe that he was addicted to drinking, as he is supposed to have been (M G, 153). He might have been addicted to drinking in his youth and then given it up later on and become pious. But for this there is nothing to reconcile the two contradictory traits of his character — $\psi^{\tilde{\omega}}$ or piety and $\psi^{\tilde{\omega}}$ or drunkenness

* M G, 160

There is but one solitary instance when Mahmud is said to have offered the alternatives of "Islām, tribute or the sword" to a Hindu Rāja of Hindustān—Ganda, who stoutly rejected the first two proposals and cheerfully accepted the challenge to fight—, but this does not mean that he compelled the Rāja to embrace Islām, for the Rāja had the option of retaining his religion on payment of tribute after submission

have received as many as 72 cuts and wounds* His genius as a general cannot be described justly except in terms of superlatives that defy the dictionary His military exploits eclipsed those of Alexander the Great and stand unsurpassed in the annals of the world At his accession he was the ruler of Ghaznīn, Bust and Balkh, which he held as a vassal of the Samanid King Immediately after his accession he declared his independence and, like other independent rulers. established direct relations with the Khalifa of Baghdad Next he launched upon a career of conquest which was crowned with the creation of a vast and wonderful empire He conquered) Sīstān, Ghūr, Gharshistān, Khwārizm, Kāfiristān, Raiy, Jibal, and Isfahan, and established his suzerainty over the rulers of Qusdar, Mekran, Tabarıstan, Jurjan, Khultan, Saghanıyan and Qubadian in the west In the east he overran a large part of India, overthrew the Hindushahiya Dynasty which ruled over the region between Lamghan and the river Biyas, conquered Multan and Bhatiya and exacted allegiance from the rulers of the states of southern Kashmīr, Kanauj, Kālınjar, Gwālior, Narāyanpur and many other Rajas of secondary importance. Within a period of three decades he set up an empire which extended from Iraq and the Caspian Sea to the Ganges and from the Aral Sea and Transoxiana to the Indian Ocean, Sind and the desert of Rajputana, covering an area of about 2,800,000

^{*} Majmā-ul-Ansāb quoted in M G, 154

square miles *

So much of Mahmud's time was occupied by conquests that he had little leisure to devote to administrative affairs But As a king the fact that he was able to preserve good order throughout the length and breadth of his far-flung empire bears eloquent testimony to his administrative talents He was righly endowed with a creative and constructive genius, but he did not introduce any new legislation or innovations like other great kings. This was perhaps because he had no time to do that Persian institutions and the laws of the Shariyat served his purpose well, and without wasting his time in formulating new laws and introducing new institutions, he contented himself with whatever readymade he had For the effective and efficient administration of his kingdom he created an official machinery and put it in charge of a responsible officer who was officially known as Wasir T The Wasir was the most important man in the kingdom, next only to the monarch first to occupy this position under Mahmūd was 'Abdul Abbās Fazl bin Ahmad, a man of wide and varied experience and an expert in the art of government ! Abbas remained in office for a

^{*\}I G. 169

⁷ For details on the subject, see last chapter Also see S A M A, 161 ff, and M G, 135-37

[‡] He had gained enough administrative experience during his service under Subuktigin and the Samanids

period of about ten years and acquitted himself very admirably But the jealousies of the nobles aroused by his rise, coupled with his refusal to surrender a favourite slave of his to the Sultan and some alleged misappropriation of State revenue, brought about his fall While Mahmud was out on an Indian expedition, he was treated so tyrannically that he succumbed to the tortures to which he was subjected * The next man who became Wazīr was Shamsul-Kufāt† Abul Qasım Ahmad bin Hasan al-Maimandi, a man of great culture and refinement, an able administrator and, above all, a school-fellow and foster brother of the Sultan He enjoyed the confidence of the king for not less than eighteen years and during this long period he gave ample proof of his genius for government, devotion to duty, discipline and loyalty, and established his reputation so strongly that his name passed on from generation to generation as one of the wisest and most upright ministers in the Muslim world Unfortunately, however, he too, like the first Wazīr, incurred the enmity of the nobles by disregarding them, and the result was that he also met the same fate-was dismissed and sent a prisoner to one

⁽S A M A, 164, and M G, 135)

^{*} T Y, 265-73, Asār-ul-Wuzarā, Dastūr-ul-Wuzarā, S A M A, 164-65, and M G, 135

^{† &#}x27;Shams ul-Kufāt' was the title of this Wazīr (Vide T Y, 346, L H P, 11, 105, S N, Pt I, Ch vii, 51 and Pt II, Ch vi, 53) In E & D, Vol 11, p 486, it is written as Shams-ul-Kah, which is nonsense

of the forts of India * Apparently, it was the second time that the opposition of the nobles compelled Mahmud to throw out his minister Since the safety and stability of the government depended very largely on the good-will and cooperation of the nobility, both the monarch and his minister had to respect their feelings and to carry out their wishes. In order, therefore, to eliminate the element of opposition to the Wazir Mahmud asked them to select four men and to submit their names to him, so that he might choose one of them as his Wasir This was done, and the Sultan considered the qualifications of each individual candidate and said that the first Abul Oasım, was indispensable in the military department, that the second, Abul Hasan lacked polished manners, that the third, Ahmad, was most useful in the refractory province of Khwārizm, and that the fourth, Hasanak, T was the fittest man, both by ability and descent, but was unfortunately too young to occupy that evalued office. The nobles noted that the Sultan

^{*} Jawāmi-ul-Hikāvāt, I, Ni, 9, T M, 211, T Y, 272-73, S A M A, 165-66, S N, 206, and Asār ul-Wusarā

This real name as given by Gardizi is Hasan bin Muhammad al Mikāili. (Z A, 96) According to Ferishta, it was Ahmad Hasan bin Mikāil (T F, 1, 38) 'Utbī calls him Abū 'Alī Hasan bin Muhammad bin Abbās (T Y, 329) and on his authority Khwāndmīr speaks of him as Abū 'Alī Husain (recte Hasan) bin Muhammad (Dastūr ul-Wuzarā in E & D, 19,151) Hasanak is the diminutive or familiar form of Hasan (S I M H, 156)

was most favourably inclined towards the youngest candidate and, knowing that all the four candidates would be rejected if they did not declare for him, they unanimously voted for Hasanak who accepted the office and occupied it till the death of the Sultan * The election of a panel by the officials and the selection of one Wazir from it by the Sultan and giving reasons, in brief, for the appointment of one and the rejection of others in a constitution in which there was no organized public opinion made the king constitutional at least in this respect, for the method of selection was decidedly better than arbitrary appointment † While assuming the command of his army,—for most of his life he spent in camp, -he left the prosaic task of administration to his talented ministers who displayed considerable tact and ability in the management of State affairs. His civil officers had the efficiency that was required of them The result-was-that the administration was based on the principles of Justice and equity, and law and order were maintained so vigorously that "the lion and the fox drank side by side from the same stream." Trade and commerce were protected, "so that caravans could freely pass between Khurasan and Lahore" Provincial governors were warned to be strictly honest in their dealings They were kept under strict control and were not

^{*} T M, 208-11, 453-54, T Y, 329-33, K T, 1x, 239, and S A M A, 166-67

[†] S A M A, 166-67

allowed to oppress the people in any way. The activities of the shopkeepers were regularly watched, and the Sultan himself appointed officers to supervise the weights and measures used by the merchants * Yet with all their extraordinary genius, they were wanting in the breadth of vision which, if they had would have enabled them to consolidate with their far-sighted statesmanship what their king had conquered by his superior military genius. We cannot deny that they were clever and thorough in their work, but the fact cannot escape recognition that, like common-place administrative experts, they were devoid of idealism and that an empire without ideals cannot last long †

Mahmūd's claims to cultural greatness are equally well-founded He was a liberal patron of art and literature and under him Ghaznin rose to the rank of a stately city, containing such masterpieces of art as "the Celestial Bride" and such educational institutions as the Grand University His patronage of poetry has rightly passed into a proverb

Mahmud was not without some weaknesses ‡

Mahmud's He was self-willed and stubborn, He
was fierce when his will was thwarted weaknesses and had no stomach for defeat in

[†] T M, 664, T Y, 332, and S N, 41 † S W G, 69 70 ‡ "There was in him", says Allāma Ibn ul Asīr, "nothing which could be blamed saye that he would seek

arguments, though he had the grace to acknowledge his mistakes in cooler moments after momentary fits of indignation (2) Tolerant towards the Zimmis (non-Muslims) to a fairly high degree. his attitude towards the non-Sunni Muslims was positively hostile (3)He could not tolerate the slightest departure from belief in the orthodox Sunni sect (4) He appointed Multasibs (censors) to supervise the religious life of his subjects and to punish them for heresy and moral delinquency The Carmathians. Batinis and other non-Sunnis were captured, imprisoned and impaled, and their literature was destroyed without the least compassion In the words of Dr M Nazim "An invaluable store of learning which the liberal policy and scholarly zeal of the Buwaihids had accumulated in the course of years was thus consumed in an instant to satisfy the enthusiasm of the puritan warrior"* Though honest and

to obtain money in every way" The Allama has laid his finger on a weak spot in Mahmūd's character. On receipt of money from a wealthy man, accused of Carmathian heresy, he issued a certificate testifying to the soundness of his religious beliefs. But this does not imply that he was greedy. The need and not the greed for money, as already pointed out, led him sometimes to resort to objectionable means for acquiring it

^{*} This intolerance does not seem to have been genuine. It was most probably occasioned by the hostile attitude of the Sunni Khalifa of Baghdād who had declared a war against the Fātimid Khalifa of Cairo who championed the cause of the family of the Prophet Muhammad. The political motives which influenced his attitude towards the non-Sunnis takes most of the fanatic out of Mahmūd,

upright in daily life, he did not shrink from having recourse to underhand means when they served his purpose well in political and administrative As the founder of a dynasty, he was a failure and in the matter of deciging the question of succession to the throne after his death be cannot escape the blame of short-sightedness 1017 1 C he nominated Mas'ud as his successor," but in 1018 A C he left Muhammad as his deputy at Ghaznīn and asked the Khalīfa of Baghdad to give preference to his name over that of his brother, Mas'ud, in official correspondence T This engendered hostilities between the two brothers and led to the formation of two parties, which made confusion worse confounded # Later he divided the almost unwieldy empire between Mas'ua and Muhammad, but here again he betrayed the same partiality \ This added fuel to the fire and set it ablaze Shortly before his death, he disinherited Mas ud and nominated Muhammad as the sole monarch of his dominions after his death. This was a blunder of the first magnitude and the Sultan cannot be exonerated

but it also reveals a weakness of character—violation of the most elementary principles of morality for ulterior motives

^{*} T M, 256

[†] Ibid , 258

The division of the empire was well thought out, for it had become extremely difficult to control the outlying provinces from Ghaznin, but the division was far from judicious, for it favoured one and handicapped the other

^{\$} T M, 27-28

THE GHAZNAWIDS

of the charge of unduly favouring his younger son, especially when he knew too well that the elder was the abler of the two brothers*

From the preceding analysis of Mahmūd's character it is evident that his virtues far-outweighed his weaknesses and his constructive work fully overshadows all that he destroyed Everything considered, he was by far the greatest king of his age and one of the greatest personalities of the world Referring to the two extreme view-points about his character and work—the Hindus representing him as a veritable Hun and the Muslims adoring him as a heroafter their own hearts, te, a Wali-allaht—Professor Ishwari Prasad thus expresses his opinion with touching brevity—

"The impartial observor must, however, record a different verdict. To him the Sultan was a born leader of men, a just and upright ruler, an intrepid and gifted soldier, a dispenser of justice, a patron of letters, and deserves to be ranked among the greatest personalities of the world "I

^{*} T N, 91-93

[†] He is frequently spoken of by Muslims as a Wali-allah (saint) endowed with miraculous powers

A Short History of Muslim Rule in India, by Dr Ishwari Prasad, 55, and M I, 115

CHAPTER VIII

SULTAN MAS'UD I

Sultan Mahmud left three sons, we Mas'ud, Muhammad and Nasr, of whom the first-named was the eldest and the Introductors last-named the voungest and a minor * 19 early as 406 \ II (=1015-16 A C) Vanmua had nominated Mas'ud as his successor and made his nobles swear allegiance to him t La er, ne was annoved with the 'riotous conduct' of the neir-apparent and made up his mind to bequeath his kingdom to his second son, Muhammad, who had made his way into the favours of his father during the expulsion of his brother As a shrewd and experienced politician, Mahmud kney too well that Mas ad y as the abler of the two rival claimants and more qualified to rule in those troubled times. He therefore contemplated a division of the Empire but the idea was dropped probably because it aggravated the rivalry between the brothers and led to the formation of two parties and the creation of further troubles. Fortified with the permission of the Khalifa to nominate his successor and following the precedent of the Ommavage Khalifas, notably Muanna, he declared Muhammad as his suc-

^{*} T. M., 256 Dr. Ishvari. Prasid (M. I., 119) says that Mas ad was Muhammad's "younger brother, but as he does not cite his authority, it is not safe to accept his satement as correct.

T T 11, 256

cessor and managed to instal him as such He got his name inserted in the Khutba and made his nobles take oath of allegiance to him.*

The story of the struggle for succession between Mas'ud and Muhammad is The war of a mere repetition of that of the consuccession test for kingdom between Mahmūd and his brother, Ismail At the time of Mahmūd's death Mas'ūd was away in charge of the government of Isfahan In his absence Muhammad was elevated to the throne and the local grandees renewed their allegiance to him in accordance with the will of the late Sultan When Mas'ud received information about all this, he wrote a letter of condolence to his brother, Muhammad, expressing profound sorrow at the demise of his revered father and called upon him to acknowledge his superior claims by giving precedence to his name in the Khutba and the coins † Muhammad offered a flat refusal and

^{*} Vide T M, 27-28, 151, 258, Jawāmi-ul-Hikāyāt, 1, XI, 46, Baizawī in E & D, 11, 256, T N, 14, T F, 1, 40, and Reverty's T N, 85 f n 9, 91, 92 f n 4 and 93

[†] Mas'ud refused to acquiesce in Muhammad's succession and asserted his own claims on the following grounds, viz, (1) In his early years his father had declared him as his Wali-Ahad or heir-apparent (2) The Khalifa of Baghdad had granted him Manshar and Karamat (dimploma of investiture and robe of honour respectively) and thus vindicated his right to succeed to his father, and this, according to him, was the strongest ground (3) He commanded the confidence of three prominent classes, viz, nobles, commoners and religious people (4) He possessed

Mas'ūd had no alternative but to resort to the arbitrament of the sword. As soon as he arrived from Isfahān, the people took up his cause and the army also sided with him. Muhammad was taken prisoner, blinded and confined in the fort of Walwālīj, while Mas ūd ascended the throne and

the requisite qualifications for kingship, t e ability to rule

and the tact to command respect of his officers

It may be noted here that the idea of having his claims to succession recognized by the Khalifa of Baghdad had occurred to him quite early and he had sent an envoy to Al-Oadir Billah, the Khalifa of Baghdad, with rich presents. and requested him to recognize his title. In addition to the presents sent, Mas'ud had also promised to send a sum of 200,000 dinārs and 10,000 pieces of cloth every year to the Khalifa if his request was acceded to return for all this, his request was granted and the Khalifa was pleased to send him a formal diploma of investiture. conferring upon him the titles and territories of his father. with the additional title of Zāhir-ul-Khilāfat-ullah Amirul-Vominin Mas ud regarded this as a great victory and fully exploited it in his struggle for succession (Vide T V, 16-17, 49, 50 ff, 86, 101, 127, 533, T N, 1, 312. and T F, 1, 40)

This word has a number of variants Gardizi calls it Walaj (Z A, 95) He is followed by Nizām ud-Dīn Ahmad (T A, 11) and Ferishta (T F, 1, 40) Ferishta further adds that in those days Walaj was also called Khalaj by the people of Qandhār (Ibid) Baihaqī calls it Walwālīj (T V, 693, 695, 696) He locates it on the road running between Kābul and Balkh (Ibid, 350) Minhāj-us-Sirāj (T N, 343, 349, 359) calls it Walakh (recte Walaj) It appears that a dot was left out by the scribe and thus T was converted into T Elliots translation has it as Balbaj (recte Walwaj) He identifies it with Kelāt-i-Ghilzaī (E & D, 11, 192, foot-note), but his conjecture does not carry weight in the presence of

assumed the reins of kingship with great care and caution

Immediately after his accession to the throne, Sultan Mas'ud ordered the release Release and of Khwaja Ahmad bin Hasan alreinstatement of Maimandi. Maimandi, brought him out of prison and reinstated him in his previous post It was only after an unusually great reluctance and extraordinary pressure brought to bear upon him by the Sultan that he accepted the ministerial portfolio, but not before his duties and obligations, powers and privileges were clearly defined He submitted a written statement, containing terms and conditions of service and got it approved by the Sultan before accepting the office * When he was formally brought

Professor Hodivala's postulation, identifying it with Walwalij, the place where Sultan Mahmud had a mint (S I-M H, 190) Some of the coins struck at the said mint are preserved in the British Museum (C O C, II, p 148, No 503) It was a town in Tukhāristān (L E C, 428) According to Abul Fida, it was the capital of that place (A A m, 88, note)

It was customary in those days for the Grand Wazir of the Ghaznawids to submit a written statement, technically called $Mawaza'(r^{*}\omega)_{7}$, containing the duties and obligations of the Wazir and defining his powers and privileges in relation to and as against other Wazirs and high officials and even as against the Sultān himself and to get it approved by the Sultān before swearing allegiance to the throne and writing down an oath of allegiance $(E \ E \ D, 11, 65-67)$ Similar statements were indited and signed by some other Wazirs and the terms and conditions

to the Royal Court, the Sultan invested him with powers and privileges second only to his own After that he was taken to the royal wardrobe, so that he might put on the official costume prescribed for the Grand Wasir Dressing himself in a garment of scarlet cloth of Baghdad, which was profusely worked with gold-thread, donning an Amama (turban) of the finest muslin cloth, fringed with beautiful borders and decorated with a chain, and putting on a girdle of 1,000 misqāls, studded with turquoises, he appeared before the Sultān and offered him a beautiful bunch of pearls, valuing at 10,000 dirhams. In return, the Sultān presented him with his own ring, beset with a turquoise and having his own name inscribed in it. The Khwāja gratefully accepted the proferred present and swore allegiance to the Sultan When he went home, people went to greet him in great numbers and showered such valuable presents on him as articles of gold and silver, fine pieces of cloth, camels, horses and Turkish slaves Having collected the presents and gifts, the Khwāja sent them to the Sultān Impressed by his unselfishness, the Sultan ordered 'Abdus, one of his personal attendants, to take 10 000

laid therein were formally approved by the Grand Wazir The Mawaza' was thus a covenant or contract of service between the employer and employee, defining the duties and obligations, powers and privileges of the parties in relation to each other. The Khwāja submitted a similar statement to the Sultān before accepting the fice of Grand Wazir and obtained his sanction (S)

dinārs. 50,000 dirhams, ten Turkish slaves, five horses from the royal stud and ten camels as presents from him * Once liberated and restored to his previous position,† the talented Khwāja

† He had served Sultin Mahmud as his Wazir for a period of 18 years, but was condemned owing to the

The man who took the presents of the Sultan to and ten camels to him. The translation in $E \in D$, ii, 72 is as follows "So he ordered five horses ten 'Abdus camels to be taken to him" There is and ought to be no isafat after fill and 'Abdus camels is a sheer nonsense Professor Ishwari Prasad (M I, 163) has followed $E \in D$ without ascertaining the meaning of 'Abdus camels and comparing the translation in $E \in D$ with the original and hence repeated the error. In the same passage (E & D, 11, 72) 'Abdus is referred to as camels The original is - حوں عندوس نا این کا احت بردنک حواجم رسدد حواجم بم جاسب وعبدوس بار الشب e i "When 'Ibdus approached the Khwaja with these presents, the Khwaji rose up and 'Abdus then returned" It is quite clear from the original that 'Abdas was an important officer of the Sultan, who took presents to the Khwajn, because the latter rose up at his arrival as a mark of respect. Moreover, we have enough evidence to show that 'Abdus was a favourite personal attendant of Sultan Mas'ad His full name was Aba S'ad bin 'Abdul Azīz (Z A , 98, and T A , 11) He is frequently referred to by Baihaqi, extracts from whose book are translated in E & D, 11, 62, 90 92, 101 The translation of the above extract in E \mathcal{E} D is as follows "When the camels brought the presents before the Khawajah, he rose up The camels then returned" What a funny translation!

old charge of his having subscribed to the Carmathian creed. We are not in possession of any details about the charge, but prima facie and to all intents and purposes it was false and frivulous. Moreover, that episode had been closed during the reign of Sultan Mahmūd and there was no justification for reopening it * Failing to substantiate this charge, Mas'ūd referred the matter to the Khalīfa of Baghdād and obtained his sanction for the execution of Hasanak† The sanction of the Khalīfa was in itself a weighty argument in support of Hasanak's execution. Armed with the decree of the Khalīfa, the Sultān subjected the victim of his wrath to a great humiliation and ordered him to be stoned to death. When the victim

See supra, p 93 The gravamen of the charge against Hasanak was that he had received a robe of honour from Al Zāhir, the Khalifa of Cairo, but Mahmud had sent the said robe of honour to the Khalifa of Baghdād, who had ordered the execution of the recipient, and it had been burnt to ashes in a public square at Baghdād. The episode was thus closed and the case was res judicata Mas'ūd was not justified in reopening the question and subjecting the ex-Prime Minister to such a fate

The Khalifa of Baghdad had been annoyed when Hasanak was favoured with a robe of honour by the anti-Khalifa of Cairo and he had written to Mahmud on the subject Mahmud had appeased him by sending the robe in question to Baghdad where it had been burnt Apparently the Khalifa was not satisfied with the punishment He gave full vent to his deep-seated resentment against Hasanak by ordering him to be stoned to death when the matter was referred to him by Mas'ud

the Sultan to do away with the ex-Minister—so important was the institution of Wizarat In order to do what he desired, Mas'ūd tried so many methods but failed and succeeded only when he shelved the responsibility on the shoulders of a more powerful person in the Muslim world—the Khalīfa; The Hasanak tragedy also shows how unsafe and uncertain life and office of the minister were under the later Ghaznawids

In this connection we may appropriately point out that in the matter of appointing Appointment his Wazir, Mas'ud pursued the of new Wazir policy of his father After the death of Ahmad bin Hasan al Maimandi, he convened a council of his high officials, such as 'ArIz. the Commander of the army, and the Chief Minister of the Ceremonies In their presence he expressed profound sorrow at the demise of his capable and trusted Wasir, paid a glowing tribute to him, spoke highly of his loyalty and love of truth, discipline and devotion to duty, ability and efficiency and then asked them to suggest the name of someone who might prove a suitable successor in the vacant office. After a long discussion, during which several names were suggested and rejected, the choice fell on Abū Nasr Ahmad bin Muhammad bin 'Abdus Samad, who had been the Sāhib-i-Dīwān of Hārūn, the

¹ Tarıklı 1-Yamını (Tehrün edition), 429, and Tāriklı 1-Baihaqı, 207

then Governor of Khwarizm He was formally invited and asked to take over the charge of the vacant office*

On his death, the ruler of Mekran left two sons, vis, Isā and Abul 'Asākar of Conquest of whom the former commanded the Mekran and confidence of the army and the Kirmán respect of the people and was therefore successful in asserting his claims Failing to cope with him successfully, the latter personally waited upon Mas'ud and requested him to intervene on his behalf. In response to his request. the Sultan put a huge army at the disposal of Abul 'Asakar and ordered it to accompany him Reaching near Mekran, the Commander of the army conveyed the Imperial message to Isa. calling upon him to make a compromise with his brother, but he paid no heed to it. In the battle that was fought Isa was killed and most of his men surrendered. Abul 'Asakar took possession of Mekran and, as already agreed to, inserted the name of Mas'ud in the Khutba and the coins, indicating that he was a vassal of the Sultan All this happened in the year 422 A H (=1031 A C)† The same year also witnessed the annexation of Kirman Abū Kalījār bin Sultānud-Daulah was defeated and put to flight by the Imperial army sent against him Kirman was occupied, but was lost again to the Ghaznawids

^{*} T F, 1, 41, T N, 177-78
T I H, II, NI, 274-75, T F 1, 41.

owing to the slackness of the Hindu army.*

'Ala-ud-Daulah, whom Sultan Mahmud had left with Mas'ūd in Isfahān and Alâ-ud-Daulah who had made an unsuccessful attempt to carve out an independent kingdom for himself, was putting up with Abu Kalijar in Khozistan after his expulsion from Isfahan in the hope of receiving support from As soon as he heard of Mahmud's death, he collected an army, took possession of Isfahan with its help and advanced towards Hamadan with a view to seize Raiy Mas'ud's governor of that province inflicted a sharp defeat on him and compelled him to retreat to Isfahan He was pursued thither and reduced to such straits that he withdrew to the fort of Qarohan in mean disguise and took shelter there. After these events Mas'ūd's sway was firmly established in Raiy, Jurjan and Tabaristan On three more occasions 'Ala-ud-Daulah tried to re-establish his authority and recover his lost possessions but failed He was defeated and put to flight on every occasion †

Majd-ud-Daulah, a former ruler of Isfahān whom Sultān Mahmūd had defeated and deprived of his sovereignty, tried to avail himself of the disturbed state of affairs occasioned by the death of the

^{*} I K, II, x11, 274 ff, T F, 1, 41

[†] I K, II, A11, 275 ff, T F 1, 41

Great Sultan and aggravated by the struggle for succession between Mas ua and Muhammad But he was badly defeated and put to flight by the Governor of Raiy who was entrusted with

the command of the army sent against him *

While Mas'ud was involved in the fratricidal war, 'Aitigin, the ruler of Bukhara, collected his forces and attacked Recovery and loss of Altuntash, the Governor of Khwa-Khwārizm rizm After the new Sultan had firmly established his authority, he sent a huge army to Khwarizm and directed its Governor to lead a punitive expedition against Alftigin When attacked by the Imperialists, the ruler of Bukhara took to flight and a large part of his territory was occupied by Altuntash. After the conquest was completed Altuntash returned to Khwarizm On the way he was attacked by the soldiers of 'Alitigin who were lying in ambush and awaiting his return He turned round and again dispersed them Then he called his men together and said that he had received a grievous wound during the last encounter and that it was impossible for him to survive it A peace was then concluded with 'Alltigin, according to which Bukharā was handed over to Mas'ūd and the territory on the other side of Samarqand was given to 'Alītigīn Altuntāsh succumbed to the fatal wound he had received and his post was conferred upon his son, Harun, in recognition

^{*} I K, II, xn, 273-74

of his services 'Abdul Jabbār, who was sent by the Central Government to act as his deputy, could not pull on with him and returned to Ghaznīn where he poisoned the Sultān's mind against his rival Sultān Mas'ūd, without investigating the matter, ordered Shāh Malak to proceed against Hārūn. Khwārizm was attacked and occupied by force Hārūn and his brother. Ismāīl, took to flight and sought safety with Tughral Beg and Dāūd who, at their request, attacked Khwārizm and took possession of it #

Sultan Mahmud had constituted Jurjant and Tabaristan into a separate pro-Jurian and vince and placed it in charge of a Tabarıstan Ziyarid Prince, called Dara bin Minuchihr bin Qabus and married one of his daughters to him When Mas'ūd ascended the throne, he confirmed Dara in his possession Availing himself of the disturbances in the Ghaznawid Empire, Dārā stopped to send tribute to Ghaznin at the instigation of 'Ala ud-Daulah and his friend, Farhad After quelling the disturbances of the Turkomans, Mas ud turned his attention towards Dārā. The Imperial army defeated the rebellious vassal and occupied Jurjan in 424-25 A H (=1034 A C) Pursued from place to place and reduced to utter helplessness, he sought and received royal forgiveness

^{*} I K, II, x_{11} , 279 ff, T F, I, 41

[†] Jurjān (ancient Hyrcania) is also called Gurgān in some accounts Its present capital is Astrābād.

on the condition of paying tribute regularly every year *

When Sultan Mas ud turned his attention towards India he found that Ariyaraq, Condition who had been put at the helm of affairs of India in India by Sultan Mahmud, had become a perfect autocrat and begun to disobey the Imperial firmans + With seductive wiles and sugar-coated words, he was wheedled out of India by Khwaja Ahmad Hasan and taken to Ghaznīn There he was invited to a drinking feast and made to drink heavily. He was then bound in chains and sent to Ghur and thrown into prison, where he was probably poisoned to death. The wealth he had accumulated during his vicerovalty of India was confiscated friend, Asightigin, shared his fate soon afterwards The officer who was next entrusted with the viceroyalty of the Indian Province was Ahmad Nivaltigin, an experienced hand, who had acquired ample knowledge of public affairs

^{*} Vide Z A, 99, T A, 12, E & D, 11, 196, T F, 1, 41, and L H P, 11, 169

T "Secure in possession of a vast territory without any restraining influences, the Ghaznavide Commander of Hind who was an ambitious man by nature—had given proof of his ambitious designs even in the time of Mahmud, but so great was the twe in which that mighty conqueror was held that the plans of Arvarak were never allowed to mature" (MI, 123, 24)

[†] T VI, 97, T I, (Delhi Lith Ed) 281 Sir W Haig wrongly calls him Asaftigin (C H I, 111, 28)

during his service under Mahmud the Great. Khwaja Ahmad Hasan, the Grand Wazir, invested him with the official robes of honour and instructed him to be true to the conditions of the covenant he had entered into with the Sultan He also advised him not to encroach upon the jurisdiction of the Shīrāzī Qazi,* the civil administrator who was a most quarrelsome colleague, to co operate with the Superintendent of the Intelligence Department, whose duty was to keep the Sultān informed of all that happened in India, and to discharge his duties diligently and honestly. After administering the necessary advice, he addressed him the following warning "You must not say anything to any person respecting the political and revenue matters, so that no one's word may be heard against you, but you must perform all the duties of the commander, so that the fellow may not be able to put his hand upon your sinews and drag you down " Some of the Dailamite chiefs and head-strong slaves, who had been found guilty of sundry acts of disobedience, were sent with him to India and he was directed to keep a strict watch on their movements and to regulate their social activities in such a manner that they might not be able to create any trouble Before sending him to India, the Khwaja prevailed upon him to leave his son in Ghaznin, nominally on the pretext of giving him a suitable education but actually to keep

^{*} His original name was Abul Hasan

him as a hostage so that his father might not have the audacity to rebel. Later when the governor-designate of India waited upon the Sultan, the latter addressed him in these words "Ahmad rejoice and be happy, be careful to understand the value of this favour, keep my image ever before your eves and do good service so that you may attain to great honour." Despite the advice of the Sultan and of the Grand Wazir and the fact that his son was at the mercy of the higher authorities he too, to quote Bailings, turned away from the path of rectitude and took a crooked course. When he assumed the charge of his office, he found it hard to pull on with his powerful and quarrelsome colleague, Abal Hasan, the Shīrāzī Qazī, who was a hotheaded and arrogant administrator. Since he refused to consult the Qazi in the discharge of his duties, a quarrel ensued between the two and jeopardized the position of the Ghaznawids in India. The dispute related to the appointment of the command of an expedition. It was referred to the Central Government and the Qazi was ordered to mind his own business and not to meddle in military affairs. Soon after that NiyaltigIn undertook an expedition against Benares, one of the sacred cities of the Hindus, renowned for its riches all over India and untouched by the spears of the legions of Islam as yet *

^{*} Abul Fazl (Air: i-Allar) has stated that Mahmud twice visited Benares This statement lacks contemporary confirmation 'Utbi and Gardizi make no mention about

Success greeted the invader who returned laden with immense spoils. The Qāzī was shocked to hear of the success of his rival and spared nothing to poison Mas'ūd's mind against him and to bring him to disgrace. He sent his spies to Ghaznīn to inform the Sultān that the Viceroy had possessed himself of a vast amount of wealth and begun to behave independently, giving himself out as a son of Sultān Mahmūd. Reports received from various sources confirmed Mas'ūd's doubts and he sent against him his Hindū general, Nath, who was defeated and killed. A number of other officers offered their services against the Indian Viceroy, but the choice fell on another Hindū, Tilak,* the son of a barber, who was entrusted

this and all later historians are also silent on the subject Such a silence would have been ignored if the observant Alberūnī had not made a categorical statement which clearly shows that Mahmūd had not seen that "Holy City" Writing in 421 A H (=1030 A C, when Mahmūd died) he observes "Hindū sciences have retired far away from those parts of the country which have been conquered by us, and have fled to places which our hand cannot yet reach, to Kashmīr, Benāres and other places" (Sachau, 1, 22) Abul Fazl's evidence in this care cannot overweigh that of Alberūnī

There is some confusion about the name, parentage and religion of this general. He is called Tulak bin Husain by Ferishta (T F, 1, 42), Talak bin Husain by Nizām ud-Dīn Ahmad (T A, 12), and Tilak son of a barber by Baihaqī (E & D, 11, 127). Still another perversion, viz, Jaisen, is found in some of the MSS of the authorities quoted above. Gardīzī sates that he was the som of Jahlan (Z A, 102). It appears that the words 'Husain' and 'Jaisen' are both mistranscriptions of Jahlan or Jahlansī, which

with the command of an army and ordered to proceed against India His arrival at Lahore struck terror into the hearts of the supporters of Nivaltigin, who left their leader and sought forgiveness Deserted by his followers and feeling sure that it was impossible for him to hold out long, Nivāltigīn fled for life He was hotly pursued by Tilak's forces, "which consisted mainly of Hindus' and engaged in a fight at night The Turkomans, who were still with him, left him in a body and implored forgiveness When defeated, he managed to escape from the battle-field and successfully evaded the pursuit party A reward of 500,000 dirhams was fixed for killing him and it was proclaimed that anyone who took his head to Tilak would receive that reward The task was taken up in right earnest by the Jats, who were thoroughly acquainted with the ins and outs of the desert and the wilds They succeeded in tracing him out and bringing him to bay They assaulted him with arrows, spears and swords The Turkish blood boiled within him and he defended himself single-handed till he

is an old name frequently found in inscriptions and dynastic lists (Chronology of India by C M Duff, 192, 297) That Tilak was a pure Hindu' admits of no doubt Baihaqi (E & D, 11, 128) informs us that Tilak rendered a signal service to Mas'ūd by bringing all the Hindū Kātors (Thākurs) and many outsiders under his sway This would have been impossible if he himself had not been a pacca Hindū Tilak alone was not a Hindū who was raised to dignity by the Ghaznawids There many Hindūs who enjoyed such favours and c up is ble positions under them (See P P M T, 46)

fell fighting in a fresh encounter The Jats chopped off his head, sent it to Tilak and received from him a reward of 10,000 dirhams instead of the promised sum, 500,000 dirhams—that too after much haggling Mas'ūd received the news of Tilak's victory with great satisfaction. He sent congratulatory letters to Tilak and expressed great joy over his success

A reference may appropriately be made to the official career of Tilak Origi-Tilak's rise Tilak's rise nally a Hindū of humble origin, he was employed by Sultān Mahmūd and promoted to high rank by him in appreciation of his versatile accomplishments. He was a man of handsome appearance and charming manners Khwaja Ahmad Hasan was literally enamoured of him. He made him his private secretary and employed him as an official interpreter between the State and the Hindus A gold-embroidered robe, a jewelled necklace, a fine canopy and a precious parasol were given to him as marks of distinction and kettle-drums were beaten and ensigns of gilded tops were set affoat at his residence as indications of his superiority "Thus", says Dr Ishwari Prasad, "was a Hindu entrusted with an important command. This elevation of men of humble birth to high rank on the ground of merit alone was a principle which was invariably followed by Muslim administrators in India"* The

^{*} M I, 128-29

elevation of talented Hindus to high posts and positions was not viewed with surprise by the broad-minded Muslims of the day. The philosophical Baihaqi, referring to the rise of Tilak, thus expresses himself "Wise men do not wonder at such facts because no body is born great, men become such But it is important that they should leave a good name behind '*

Elated by Tilak's success in India and ignoring, consciously or unconsciously, Capture of the dangers which seriously threaten-Hinsi and ed the Ghaznawid possessions in the Sonpat west, Mas'ud made up his mind to lead an expedition to the 'Virgin Fort' of Hansi,† and thus fulfil the vow which he had once taken during his illness. In vain did the Khwaja try to impress upon his mind the impolicy of such a step "The vow is upon my own person", he said, and advising his ministers and high officials to co-operate with one another and carry on the business of the State efficiently during his absence, he invested the Khwaja with plenary powers and set out at the head of a huge army in 1037 A C and reached the town of Hansi after a long and arquous Journey The fort was considered as impregnable by the Hindus, but the Musalmans

^{* 1}bid , 128

The city of Hinsi is situated 11 miles to the east of Hisir It has a ruined eastle (Tieffenthaler Description Historique et Geographique De L'Inde, Vol. 1, p. 134)

laid mines under it at five places, took it by storm within ten days and seized enormous spoils. Entrusting the charge of the newly conquered place to a reliable officer, Mas'ūd advanced against Sonpat,* which was situated in the vicinity of Delhi, and occupied it without experiencing the least opposition from its chief who is said to have fled into the forest at the approach of the invader, leaving behind his treasure which was taken possession of by the Muslim soldiers. After winning these victories and fulfilling his vow, Mas'ūd marched back to Ghaznīn and gave himself up wholly to drinking and merry-making,†

Hardly had Mas'tid ascended the throne and assumed the reins of governments when he found himself confronted with the Saljūq menace. The Saljūqs had begun to make encroachments on the dominions of Sultān Mahmūd towards the close of his reign and disturbed his peace of mind. Taking advantage of his death, they began to make inroads into Khurāsān and create disturbances at many places. At first they did not attract. Mas'ūd's personal attention and his generals were able to establish.

^{*} The city of Sonpat is situated to the north of Delhi, not far from it (1bid, i, 133)

[†] The Indian expedition, despite the victories won there, proved to be a collosal blunder During Mas'ūd's absence the Saljūqs strengthened their position and ultimately established their authority (Vide infra)

his authority in the refractory provinces of the west, as we have already noted. The peace made by them under their leader. Alltigin with the Ghaznawid Commander, Altuntash, in 1032 A C proved to be a truce, for they continued to carry their raids into the Ghaznawid Empire The people of the outlying provinces complained against their aggression and Mas ud made up his mind to advance against them in person. He first attacked Transoxiana and occupied it, but his soidiers suffered enormously on account of snow and cold. About that time Balkh was invaded by the Saljugs under their leader, Daud Post-haste the Sultan proceeded towards Balkh In his absence Tughral Beg, the Saljuq, attacked and plundered Ghaznin When the Sultan reached Balkh Daud marched back to Mary After restoring law and order in Balkh, Herat and Nishabur, he turned his attention towards Tughral Beg At Dangangin he was confronted by the Turkomans Deserted by many of his followers, including an Indian army, Mas ud suffered a crushing defeat at the hands of his enemies on the 23rd of March, 1040 A C T He marched

but does not cite his authority. See I/K, II, Ni, 279 ff and I/Γ , i, 41, v here his name is given as 'Mitigin

[†] Dr Ishwari Prisad calls him Illutmish (Ibid) without mentioning his authority. See I K, II, \times 11, 279 ff and T F, 1, 41, where his name is written as Altantish

I Authorities are at variance about the date as well as the place of this built

Date -Three dates are most frequently found, viz,

back to Marv and then proceeded to Ghaznin via Ghür Far from being able to raise an army strong enough to stem the rising tide of the Saljūqs, he could not now quell the disturbances that cropped up about Ghaznin Place after place slipped away from his possession in rapid succession and he was constrained to concentrate his attention on India Eventually he decided to withdraw towards India In vain again did the Khwāja insist on his stay at the capital and remind him of the detrimental consequences of his obstinacy.

^{430, 431} and 432 A H I have preferred the second, 1 c, 431 (=1040 A C), because it is based on better and more reliable sources, 112, T M, 777, T N, 1, 92, E & D, 11, 198, and T I, 1, 43

Place The author of the Tabqāt-i Nāsirī (E & D, 1, 273) locates the site of the bittle it Tiliqīn and he has been followed by many modern writers, including Sir W Hing (C H I, 111, 31) The more authoritative opinion, however, is in favour of Dandanqān Gardīzī (Z A, 107), Buhaqī (T M, 792-94), Nizām-ud-Dīn Ahmad (T A, 25 of B I S), and Ferishta (T F, 1, 43) all locate the battle field at the latter place. It is also called Dandāiqan in some MSS. It has been described by Abul Fida as a small town of Khurāsān, famous for its cotton fabrics. It is about forty miles north-west of Marx ur-Rūd or Marūchak (Marx i-Kūchak) on the Marūchak-Sarakhs Road. The town of Tāliqān is about fifty miles south-east of Marx-ur-Rūd. Sir T. Holdich calls it Dandālqān and says that it was near Ak-tepe, which is not far from Panjdeh. (Gates of India, 244, 46)

The Khwaja's prognostication proved only too true Subsequent events testified to the soundness of his advice and showed that Mas'ad's fears were ill-founded and his

After appointing Maudud to the government of Balkh, Majdud to that of Multan Flight to and Amir Izad to that of Ghaznin India and making other necessary arrangements, Mas'ud proceeded towards India bag and baggage Hardly had he crossed the Indus and reached Marigala * when his own guards, consisting of Turkish and Hindu slaves, robbed him of the treasure he was carrying with him on the backs of camels Then followed a wild confusion and a general mutiny of the army While Mas ud was taken prisoner and sent to the fort at Girl,7 his brother Muhammad, whom he had blinded before his accession, was brought out

flight from Ghaznin was an act of folly and not of wisdom, for the Saljuqs were pre-occupied with the conquest of Persia and adjoining places and Ghaznin was immune from their attacks. (Vide Chapter IX)

Marigala (or Marikala, as it is in some MSS) is a pass situated between Attock and Rāwalpindī, a few miles east of Hasan Abdāl Alberūnī (Sachau, 1, 302) identifies it with Takashshila (Taxila) "The name is preserved in that of a pass and a range of hills about two miles to the south of Shāhdherī and a few miles east of Hasan Abdāl" (A G I, 111, T N, Raverty's Translation, 95 note, and S I M H, 193-94)

This place has not been satisfactorily identified and its site is not precisely located. In his Notes on Afglianistān, p. 131 note, Raverty identifies it with Gibarkot in Kunar (Kāfiristān). From the text of T. M. (E. & D., 11, 273) it appears that it was not far from Marikala or Marigala where Mas'ūd's treasure was looted by his own guards. Professor Hodivala's postulation that it must be Girī, i. e. Shāhbāz Girī or Kāpar da Garī, which is situated in the district of Mardān about 40 miles east of

THE GHAZNAWIDS

and put on the throne Being blind, Muhammad could not conduct and control the affairs of the government personally He therefore delegated his powers to his son, Ahmad, one of whose early acts was to put an end to the life of the deposed king * Muhammad, who was unaware of all this, is said to have cursed the perpetrators of the crime †

Mas'ud followed with great wisdom and vigour the illustrious traditions of The Wizārat his talented father He selected his Department under Mas'ud Wazir in the same way as his father He was also in the habit of consulting his ministers and seeking their advice on all important matters, though he did always follow their advice Fortunately for him his ministers were not mere mediocres or flatterers They were men of courage, character and culture who never hesitated to disagree with or even oppose him whenever they thought he was following a wrong course His decisions to lead an expedition against Hansi and to leave Ghaznin for India were openly opposed by the Khwaja, and on the second occasion he was reminded of the disastrous consequences of the

Peshawar does not seem to be far from the mark (S. I M H, 194-95)

^{*} This was in the year 433 A H (=1041-42 A C) In his Habib-us Siyār Khwāndmīr confirms this (E & D, iv, 198) Minhāj-us-Sirāj (T N) puts it in the year 432 A H which is apparently incorrect

[†] T F. 1. 44

the institution of Wizarat gained a great deal in power and prestige This was because he very jealously safeguarded its dignity. He was the first Wazir who flatly refused to accept that office as long as the Sultan did not define his jurisdiction and describe his powers in writing ? After a long discussion on the question, which was carried on in black and white, the Sultan found no alternative but to fall in with his proposal. If that practice had been followed by others, the institution of Wizarat would have gained great strength and stability and paved the way for the establishment of a constitutional monarchy †

Patronage of learning and promotion of education

Like his father, Mas'ud too was a great patron of learning and a zealous protector of the learned Among the celebrated scholars, who flourished under his patronage and dedicated their works to him, may be mention-

ed Abū Rīhān Alberūnī,‡ and Abū Muhammad

^{*} See supra, pp 186-87

 $[\]dagger$ For a discussion on the subject, see S A M A, 156 ff I We have had an occasion to refer to the literary attainments of Alberuni (See supra, pp 114-16) Dr E C Sachau, who has translated two of his works, calls him "a champion of the truth, a sharply cut character of a highly individual stamp, full of real courage and not re fraining from dealing hard blows when anything which is good or right seems to him to be at stake" and says that if in these days a man began studying Sanskrit and Hindu learning with all the help afforded by modern literature and science many years would pass before he

Nāsihī From the literary works of Abū Rīliān we detive a good deal of information about the progress of Arabic as well as Persian literature under his direct encouragement. The same authority further informs us that Indian mathematics, astronomy, philosophy, astrology, pharmacology, medicine and Greek sciences were sedulously studied by inquisitive Muslim scholars and that a number of Indian as well as Greek works were translated into Arabic and Persian "During his reign' says Khwāndmīr, the author of the Rauzat-us-Safa, "so many colleges, mosques and religious edifices were built in the various parts of his dominions that it is impossible to enumerate them"

Sultan Mas ud was endowed with uncommon physical power. The contem-Character a porary historian, Baihaqi, informs estimate us that he wielded a mace, weighing twenty mans and that in a hand to hand fight

would be able to do justice to the antiquity of India to such an extent and with such a degree of accuracy as al-Birani has done in his Indica. Alberani was indeed a literary giant with a prodigious brain power. For "a just and sympathetic appreciation of his character and attainments", see Dr. Sachaus Prefaces to his translations of the Asār ill Bāqiya and the Indica. Also see L. H. P., 1, 101 ff

^{*} $E \in D$, w, 138 39 The testimony of Khwandmir is supported by Perishta who says "In the beginning of his reign Mas'ad built many mosques and endowed several colleges which he caused to be erected in the different cities of his dominions." ($T \in F$, 1, 44)

with a tiger he smashed the skull of the beast with a single blow * Fond of drinking and music, he frequently resorted to the Firozi Garden, his father's most favourite pleasure-resort, and sat there under a green pavilion, surrounded by his servants After reviewing the forces, he used to sit down with his favourite friends to a sumptuous feast, which was invariably accompanied by music and drinking Obstinate and self-willed, full of ambition and adventurous spirit, he was wellknown for his valour and war-like zeal He was so brave and outspoken that once he offended his father by strongly supporting the maxim that 'kingdom belongs to the longest sword' He was a magnificent prince During his reign the Ghaznawid Court retained the splendour it enjoyed under Mahmud the Great He used to consult his ministers on all matters of moment and sought their advice. He did not put them to harm even when they turned down his proposals and he had to over-rule them.†

TM, 141 'Twenty mans' seems to be an exaggeration Ferishta seems to be correct when he says "Mas'ud's mace was so heavy that no one else could lift it up with a single hand" (T F, 1, 40)

⁷ Dr Tripathi, who has discussed the point at length,

says — "In most cases the Sultan overruled them (ministers) and had his own way which ultimately brought him to a sad end But no minister is known to have been driven out of office or penalized or even fallen from the Sultan's estimation for having opposed his proposals" (S A M S. 170)

CHAPTER IX

SULTAN MAUDUD & HIS SUCCESSORS

When Mas'üd was murdered under the orders of Ahmad son of Muhammad, his son Maudud was away at Mandud Balkh As soon as Maudud heard the sad news of the execution of his father, he vowed to wreak woeful vengeance on the murderers and marched post-haste to the Imperial Capital at the head of his army In an encounter at Nagarhar* he inflicted a crushing defeat on his rivals and put most of them to death He also slew his uncle, Muhammad, and all his sons except 'Abdur Rahim whom he spared because he had shown some consideration to Mas'ud during his captivity. On the site where he won victory over his rivals he founded a town called Fatehabad or "Town of Victory" and built a big rest-house there for

^{*}The author of the Tabqāt-1-Nāsirī (E & D, 11, 274) calls it Takarhārūd which Sir Henry Elliot has wrongly identified with Bakhrāla (Ibid, foot-note) Takarhārūd is a miswriting of Nagarhār (ibid, foot-note) Takarhārūd is a miswriting of Nagarhār (ibid, foot-note) or Nagrāhara, which is said to be the old name of a town and a district in Afghānistān, situated near modern Jalālābād (G D A M A, s. n) Baihaqī locates the site of the battle in Dīnār, which is also written as Depūr and Dūnpūr Professor Hodivala suggests that the last two names are the forms of Udayanapura and that the town still survives under the name 'Adināpur', which is represented by Bālābāgh, 12 miles from modern Jalālābād (S I-M H, 195) Nagrahara is also written as Nangnahār

the comfort and convenience of travellers *

Ghaznīn, Maudūd made Abū Nasr Appointment of Wazīrs bin Ahmad 'Abdus Samad his Wazīr, but dismissed him in 1041 A C and threw him in prison where he met his death. The next man who was honoured with the dignity was Khwāja Abū Tāhir bin Mastaufī Tāhir enjoyed that dignity till 1044 A C when the vacancy was filled by Khwāja 'Abdul Fateh 'Abdur Razzāq bin Ahmad bin Hasan al-Maimandī The last named remained in office till the close of Maudūd's reign †

Maudūd next turned his attention towards
India Nāmī, the then Governor
of the Punjāb appointed by Muhammad, was defeated and slain

in some accounts, but the more authoritative opinion is in favour of the former Nangnahār and Nek-nahār seem to be later corruptions (*Ibid*)

^{*} T N in E & D, 11, 273-74, T M, 867, and T F, 1, 40 The town of Fatehabād has continued to our own times It is situated some four miles south of Bālābāgh (Masson Journeys to Baloclustan etc., 184)

The active interference of the Wazir in the matter of succession occasioned bitter hostilities against him and jeopardized his position, rendering long enjoyment of power very rare. Placed between the devil and the deep seathe Jealousies of the nobles and the suspicions of the Sultan,—he found himself in a most awkward position. As a result, his power and prestige suffered a great deal (S. A. M. A. 173-74)

by Abū Nasr Ahmad bin Muhammad, the new Governor appointed by the new Sultan and sent to India for the purpose But Maudud's brother, Majdud, whom his father had appointed to the government of the Indian Province had captured Thanesar and was now at Hansi. seeking an opportunity to attack Delhi On receipt of information that his brother had sent an army against him, Majdud lost no time in returning to Lahore He reached there on July 27, 1042 A C and was found dead in his bed on the morning of the 30th, one or two days after the arrival of Maudud's army The cause of his death is shrouded in mystery. What it was due to-heat-stroke, heart-failure or some rapidly fatal disease or the secret agency of his brother—is a matter of speculation *

At the time of Maudūd's accession the kingdom of Ghaznīn was exposed to the onslaught of the Saljūqs, but as good luck would have it, their attention, almost wholly occupied elsewhere, was not drawn towards Ghaznīn The victorious Saljūqs divided their newly conquered territory into four minor kingdoms under the suzerainty of Tughral Beg While Tughral Beg hastened

^{*} Majdud had proved himself a capable commander and an energetic officer during his viceroyalty of the Indian Province and it appeared probable that the troops sent against him by his brother would declare for him. Under the circumstances, it is not wholly unsafe to say that Maudud's agents had been at work. (C. H. 1, 111, 32)

to complete the conquest of western Persia and was occupied in the capture of Baghdad and the overthrow of the Roman Empire at the head of the main forces of his tribe, Abū 'Alī, another adventurer, who had attained to the sovereignty of Herat, Sistan and Ghur, was left to struggle with the Ghaznawids for asserting his own sovereignty Maudud married the daughter of Chaqar Beg * The marriage was responsible for establishing friendly relations between the Sultan and the Saljuqs Thus it was that Maudud was able to maintain his position at Ghaznin and to recover Transoxiana,†

While the Sultan was occupied in the west, Mahipal, the Raja of Delhi, took Condition advantage of his absence from of India India and over-ran the Punjab, then an important province of the Ghaznawid Empire On this occasion the Raja successfully appealed to the superstition of the Hindus and revived their spirits by convincing them that their Deota (deity) was pleased with them and would help them in driving the Muslims out of India !

^{*} In some accounts he is also called Chaghar Beg. Ferishta calls him Ja'far Beg Saljūqī (T F, 1, 44) He seems to have followed I K (II, x11, 291)

[†] De Guignes Vol, 11, p 190, and H. I, 301-302

Here is a brief description of the device The Raja of Delhi called together his courtiers and informed them that in a dream at night the Deota (deity) of Nagarkot had told him that he had stayed at Ghaznin so long simply for the sake of bringing about the down-fall of the Ghaznawids

With the help of the neighbouring Rājas he was able to take possession of Hānsī, Thānesar and Nagarkot and to lay siege to Lāhore But for the bravery of the Muslim garrison, the fate of the last fort of Musalmāns in India would have been sealed The successful defence was due to the report, regarding the approach of the Sultān with a large army, which was later known to have been a mere ruse, and

in Central Asia, that he had accomplished that task and was non any jous to or erthrow the Ghaznawid power in India as well, and that the Hindus should make common cause and expel the Musalmans from the fort of Nagarkot, so that he might return to his previous abode. The Raia's words were taken for Gospel truth and a wave of enthusiasm ran through the hearts of the Hindus Taking into his confidence some expert architects and a clever Brahman. the Raja managed to set up at night in a garden in the neighbourhood of Nagarkot a replica of the idol transported to Ghaznin by Sultan Mahmud and set affoat the news that it had come there by a miracle. Next morning the idol attracted the notice of the people who hailed it with 10v mingled with surprise. Princes with valuable presents. poured in from many places and paid homage to it. The Rāja of Delhi also paid a visit to it barefooted To everyone who visited it, the Brahman in charge said that the Deota had ordered the Hindus to expel the Musalmans from the fort of Nagarkot by force and take possession of it Thus inspired and invigorated, the Hindus prepared themselves for the fight and volunteered themselves in large numbers. While the volunteers swelled the ranks of the Raja's army, the presents offered to the Deota by the votaries immensely augmented the resources of war The result of this Machiavellian device was that the Musalmans who occupied a fortified position, nere defeated and driven out (For details, see T F, 1, 45).

partly to the fact that the Musalmans, who had hitherto subdued the Hindus, disdained the very thought of being defeated by them The Raja and his confederates were defeated, put to flight and pursued to safe distances In 1048 A C Maudud appointed his sons, Mansur and Mahmud, to the governments of Peshāwar and Lāhore respectively and also sent Abū 'Alī Hasan, the Kotwal of Ghaznīn, to India "to curb the aggression of the Hindus" The Kotwal was well known for his sternness He acquitted himself creditably and successfully accomplished the task he was entrusted with. On his return to Ghaznīn, however, he fell a victim to an intrigue and suffered imprisonment, where he met his death.*

We have referred to Maudud's successes in the west and said that they were Campaign largely due to the fact that the against the Saljūqs were occupied elsewhere Salitios We may now revert to that subject and describe his wars with the Saljuqs who had begun to overrun the Ghaznawid provinces, so much so that even his matrimonial connection with the Saljuq leader proved of little use 1042 A C he launched a campaign against them, which continued to the close of his reign At first the task of reducing them to submission was entrusted to AritigIn who defeated them and

^{*} T F_{2} 1, 44-46, I K , II_{2} X_{11} , X_{12} , X_{23} , and C H I , II_{23} , II_{24} , II_{25} , II_{25

occupied Balkh and had the Khutba read in the name of the Sultan Not long after their defeat they again appeared in the neighbourhood of Balkh in such large numbers that Aritigin was constrained to apply for reinforcements, which were not sent for some unknown reasons The result was that the Saliugs overran Balkh and came as far as Ghaznīn with a view to overthrow the Ghaznawid domination But they were again defeated and put to flight by the Imperial forces sent against them. In 1044 A.C. the Sultan sent another officer to Bust The latter reached Sistan and brought it under the sway of the Sultan Next year the Saljuqs again raised their heads. They advanced on Ghaznīn and when they reached near Bust they were opposed by its Governor, named Tughral After a pitched and bloody battle, in which numerous lives were lost, the Saljuqs suffered a terrible defeat and took to their heels Tughral subdued Ousdar also and brought a number of

^{*} Tughral was a slave and servant of Sultān Mahmūd He was appointed Commander of the troops of Khurāsān by Maudūd Brave, outspoken, freedom-loving and adventurous, he tried to carve out an independent kingdom for himself He contracted friendly relations with the Saljūqs and rebelled against the Sultān When defeated and driven out, he went over to the Saljūqs and joined forces with them against the Ghaznawids He should not be confused with Tughral Beg the Saljūq Sir W Haig savs that "he does not appear to have been acting, in his rebellion, as an agent of the Saljūqs" on the ground that "his successes appear to have included some victories over the Saljūqs" (C H I, 111, 34)

prisoners to Ghaznin In 1046 A C. he was again sent to Bust, but this time he showed signs of rebellion and was therefore defeated and put to flight by an officer sent against him * The same year also witnessed the subjugation of Ghur by Bastigin, who was entrusted with that work Since he distinguished himself as a commander in the expedition against Ghur, he was selected to lead the Imperial forces against the Saljuqs Success greeted him and he was able to disperse the hordes of his enemy. In 1047 A C the Ami of Qusdar rebelled, but when attacked, he fled and sought forgiveness, which was not grudged The Saliuq menace was still there and now the Sulian decided to end it by conducting the campaign against the Saliuqs in person, but he had a sudden attack of colic in the fort of Sialkot, where he had gone to take possession of the treasure that was there with a view to finance the expedition against the Saljuqs without experiencing any difficulty When the disease took a serious turn and the pain became acute, he dropped the idea and returned to Ghaznīn, entrusting the campaign against the Saljūqs to his Wazīr, 'Abdur Razzāq He succumbed to the fatal disease on the 22nd of December, 1049 A C. He enjoyed a brief but busy reign of about eight years †

^{*} The name of this officer as given by Ferishta is 'Alī bin Khādim Rabī (T F, 1, 46)

[†] I K, II, x11, 291, 293, and T F, 1, 45-46

On the death of Maudūd his son Abū Ja'far

Mas'ūd, who was then four years
of age, was proclaimed king in
accordance with the will of his father
and his mother began to exercise the powers of
regency But this arrangement, made by 'Alī
bin Khāqim Rabi', was set aside by the nobles of
Ghaznīn, led by Bastigīn, who were not consulted
in the matter of succession The successful
party deposed the young king and raised his
uncle, Abul Hasan 'Alī, to the throne of Ghaznīn
The minor had a reign of a few days "

Abul Hasan All enjoyed a brief reign of two years † At his accession 'All bin Khadim Rabi', who had identified himself with the cause of the minor king, collected as much of wealth as he could and fled to Peshawar with a party of nobles and slaves on account of fear. There he reduced the

The duration of his reign is a subject of controversy According to Minhaj us Siraj (T - N - 10), it was two months. Hamdullah Mastaufi (T - G), 40, says that it extended over two years. Ibn Khaldūm (I - K), II, xii, 293) says that it lasted for 5 days. Ferishta (T - F), 1 46) says that Mas ad II ruled for five or six days. It is difficult to give the precise duration of his reign, but it can be safely said that it did not exceed a month at the most $(I \cdot Idc - R)$ Rayerty's translation of (I - N), 97, (I - Idc - R), 31, and (I - Idc - R)

⁷ Minhāj us Sirāj s statement (T N, 16) that Mas'nd II and 'Alī exercised joint sovereighty does not seem to be correct, for the arrangement was most unlikely (See Rayerty's translation of T N, 97, f n 4)

444

Afghans to submission and established his sway over the territory as far as Multan and Sind The Sultan sent for his brothers, Mardan Shah and Izad Shah, and honoured them He resorted to a lavish distribution of wealth in order to win the good-will of the people, but it served no purpose His uncle, 'Abdur Rashid, came to Ghaznin, deposed him and ascended the throne *

The first thing that 'Abdur Rashid † did after ascending the throne was to 'Abdur Rashid effect the arrest of Abul Hasan, who had run away at his arrival and to imprison him in a fort. Next he turned his attention towards India. First he won over 'All bin Khādim Rabi' to his side and then sent. Nūshtigīn to take over the government of Lāhore. He was accompanied by an army of distinguished soldiers. Within a couple of days he recovered Nagarkot or Kāngra, which the Hindūs had occupied during the recent troubles, and established his authority in the Punjāb. Shortly afterwards, the chief, Tughrāl 'the Ingrate', who

^{*} T Γ , 1, 46 47, and I K, Π , χ_{Π} , 293

[†] According to Ferishta, Sultan 'Abdur Rashid was a son of Sultan Mahmud and was confined in a fort between Bust and Isfarain by Maudud. The same authority further informs us that Maudud had made a will in support of his succession during his life time. It is, how ever not explained why he was imprisoned by the same man who had made a will in his favour or why the will was made when he was not on good terms with him (Vide T. F., 1, 47)

had been sent to Sīstān to reduce it to submission, revolted and began to mature plans for the conquest of Ghaznīn The conquest of Sīstān had placed enough of the sinews of war at his disposal, and he boldly advanced with his army and inflicted a defeat on 'Abdur Rashīd and entered Ghaznīn in triumphant procession*

The victorious rebel crowned himself at Ghaznīn and slaughtered 'Abdur Tughral the Rashid as well as all other princes Ingrate' of Mahmud's family who happened to fall into his hands. He contracted Nikah with Mas'ūd's daughter and sent sugar-coated compliments to Nüshtigin Karkhi, who had set out from Lahore and reached Peshawar in obedience to the orders of Sultan 'Abdur Rashīd While making a reply to his letter, Nüshtigin sent secret instructions to Mas'ud's daughter, asking her to put an end to the life of Tughral He also sent similar instructions to the adherents of the cause of Mahmud's family The result was that their sense of honour and lovalty was touched

^{*} I K, II, vii, 294-95, T F, i, 47 When inquired as to how he entertained the ambition to rule, Tugiral calmly made the following reply: "At the time that 'Abdur Rashid was sending me forth to do battle against Alp Arslan and Daūd, and was giving me my instructions and had placed his hand in mine terror had overcome him to that degree, that I could hear his very bones rattling from the state of trembling he was in I knew this pusillanimous man was incapable of sovereignit, and the ambition of reigning entered my heart" (T N, 100, and T F, 1, 47)

and they all made up their mind to put the usurper to death. On the eventful day of Nauroz, when Sultan Mahmud had ascended the throne, they actually succeeded in murdering Tughral, who had a reign of only forty days.*

A few days after this event Nüshtigin arrived Farrukhzad in Ghaznin He called together the grandees of Ghaznin and made a search for the surviving descendants of Subuktigin Three princes were found as prisoners in different forts They were Farrukhzad,† Ibrāhīm and Shujā' The choice fell on the first-named and he was forthwith raised to the throne and proclaimed king At his accession in 1052 A C, Farrukhzad entrusted the administrative machinery of the kingdom to Nüshtigin, who was decidedly the most devoted votary of the descendants of Mahmūd Buoyed up with the hopes of retrieving the fallen fortunes of his

^{*} T G, 403, and T F, 1, 47

[†] For Farrukhzād, see Corns of the Ghazni Kings, Nos 97 and 98 Some authorities have omitted the name of this king from the list of the Sultāns of Ghaznīn He preceded Ibrāhīm and followed 'Abdur Rashīd—a fact which is established by numismatic evidence. The Persian nuthorities, it may be noted here, are at variance in regard to the list of the Ghaznawid kings and I have, therefore, relied upon numismatic evidence, which is the safest and most reliable course (C O C in B M, 12, 234). According to Khwāndmīr (R S) Sultān Farrukzād was the son of Mas'ūd and according to Hamdullah Mastrufī (T G) he was the son of 'Abdur Rashīd (See T F, 1, 48)

family by recovering the lost provinces of Ghaznin, he plunged himself into a life-long struggle with his hereditary enemies, the Saljūqs Taking advantage of the disturbances at Ghaznīn, Chaqar Beg Dāūd undertook an expedition against Ghaznīn On hearing of his approach, Nūshtigin set out with his forces and in a hotly contested better the salar and contested battle, which lasted from sunrise till sunset, he dispersed the Saljugs and won a decisive victory, which immensely enhanced the prestige of the House of Ghaznin Next he led an army against Khurasan, where, in an encounter with the Saljuqs, headed by Kalisaraq, he defeated them and took their leader and many others prisoners The progress of the Ghaznawid arms was, however, arrested by the rising genius of Alp Arslan who was sent by his father, Chaqar Beg Daud, after the defeat of Kalisarag Arslan won an indecisive victory and then retired peace-treaty was then concluded and faithfully observed by the parties. A plot was hatched against Farrukhzād by his slaves, who one day, entered the Hummam where he was and attacked The Sultan drew out his sword and defended himself successfully. He put the assailants to the sword but did not long survive the incident. He died of colic in 1059 A C after a reign of six years. His Wazirs were Hasan bin Mehran and Abū Bakr bin Saleh *

^{*} K T , \, \, 2 ff , T N in E \mathcal{E} D , ii, 276 ff , I K , II, \, \ii, 297-298 (translator's note), and T F , i, 47-48

Farrukhzād was succeeded by his brother Ibrāhīm, who was 'a professed Ibrahim devotee', endowed with a rare sense of justice, piety and kindness The new Sultan renewed the peace-treaty with the Saljuqs and in order to harmonize his relations with them still further, he arranged a marriage between his son, Mas'ūd, and the daughter of Malak Shāh, son of Alp Arslan After establishing friendly relations with the Saljūqs, Ibrāhīm turned his attention towards India with a view to conquer the hitherto unconquered places In 1079 A C he personally went there and captured the forts of Ajūdhan (Pāk Patan) and Rūpāl and some other places, displaying great wisdom and valour during the campaign. On his return he brought with him a vast amount of booty and a large number of prisoners to Ghaznīn Ibrāhīm is rightly said to have been a great king. He set in order the machinery of administration which was completely out of gear and 'fully established the authority of the Crown over the recalcitrant tribes" According to Qazī Minhaj, "the affairs of the empire of the Great Mahmud assumed fresh vigour during his reign" As a man of learning, piety and peaceful disposition, he passed most of his time in making copies of the Qur-an and practising the art of penmanship. He was a great lover of learning and a liberal patron of letters He is said to have been the author of a book called Dastur-ul-Wuzarā* On his death.

The Dastur-ul-Wuzara was a "Hand Bool of

which took place on the 25th of August, 1099 A C, the left a number of sons and daughters †

Ibrāhīm was succeeded by a man of more Mas'ud III merits and morals. At his accession the new king, 'Alā-ud-Daulah Mas'ūd III, confirmed the servants of the State appointed by his predecessor in their respective posts and positions. He married the sister of Sultān Sanjar and thus ensured the security of his kingdom against the inroads of the Saljūqs. Peace with the Saljūqs enabled him to turn his attention towards. India. He appointed his general, Tughātigīn, as the Sipāh-Salar or Commander of the forces of Lahore. The said general set out, crossed the Ganges and reached a place which no Muslim, except Mahmūd, had yet touched After raiding a number of places, he returned to Lāhore. While his general was winning victories for him, the Sultān himself revised the

Political Science' meant for the guidance of ministers in the art of administration. It is one of the 93 works drawn upon by Austi (Vide J. H., 67-68, 224)

^{*} $E \in D$, 11, 510 J H, 67-68, 224, I K, II, x11, 298 (translator's note), and T F, 1, 49-50

⁷ T G, 404, and T N 21 Ferishta (T F, 1, 49) and Nizam ud Din Ahmad (T A, 17) give 481 A H as well as 492 A H as the dates of Sultan Ibrihim's death, but do not say which of the two dates is correct. Numismatic evidence testifies to the correctness of the second, 1 L 492 A H -1099 A C (Vide J R A S, 18, 361-64)

Another important event of his reign was the appointment of a Ghaznawid officer, Husain bin Sam as governor of Ghar—a fact which incidentally shows

laws and crystallized them into a consistent code. He proved himself a good administrator and enjoyed a peaceful reign of sixteen years, during a major part of which his Court resided at Lähore*

Mas'ūd III died in 1115 A C and was succeeded by his son, Kamāl-ud-Daulah Sherzād† who had not yet completed the first anniversary of his reign when he was deposed and killed by his brother, Arslan Shāh Abul Mulūk‡ who

that the principality of Ghür was still under the sway of the Ghaznawids

^{*} T F, 1, 49, I K, II, x11, 298-99 (Tr's note)

[†] Some historians have omitted the name of this king from the list of the Ghaznawid Sultāns. There is no justification for it. He is mentioned by Hamdullah Mastaufi (T G), Ferishta (T F, 1, 49) and Khwāndmīr (E & D, 12, 206). The chronological chain of the Ghaznawid kings loses one of its links if his name is excluded from it.

also threw into prison all of his other brothers, except Bahram Shah who, when escaped, went to Sultan Sanjar and invoked his help* Sanjar was at that time in charge of Khurasan as a viceroy of his brother, Muhammad Sultan bin Malak Shah When Arslan Shah learnt of all this, the wrote a letter to Sultan Sanjar about Bahram Shah and tried to appease him, but failed to achieve his object Sanjar took up the cause of Bahram and proceeded against Ghaznīn On hearing this, Arslan Shah sent a messenger to Muhammad Sultan and requested him to dissuade his brother from prosecuting his plan When this also led to nothing useful, Arslan Shah sent his mother, the Saljuqian princess, with 200,000 dinars and other valuable presents to her brother, Sultan Sanjar, to pacify him The

like to style himself 'Abdul Mulūk or "Servant of Kings' Sir W Haig's conjecture lacks all authority and must be dismissed as beside the mark Elliot's conjecture—that the word is 'Abul Malik' is a good shot, near the mark but not exactly in the bull's eye Mirzā Muhammad Qazyīnī has it as 'Abul Mulūk' (J R A S, 1905, 720) Professor Hodivala also is in its favour (S I-V H, 198) It appears that the middle of "الإلكاني" has been left out by the scribe Abul Mulūk or "Father of Kings" is therefore the most sensible restoration of Arslān's title

^{*} The exact date of Sultān Arslān's accession is given in no work, but it is preserved in a qasīda of Mas ūd i-Sa'd-i-Salmān, for which see Mirzā Muhammad Qazvīnī in J R A S, (1905, p 705), where it is given as the 6th of Shawwāl, 511 A H, which corresponds to the 23rd February, 1116 A C

lady, who was so deeply incensed by the murders of her sons and the insult to which he had subjected her, poured forth the long-pentup grievances before her brother and thus aggravated the crisis * Sultan Sanjar advanced with his forces and Arslan Shah also came out to receive him in the battle-field. In the battle that was fought, Sanjar won a decisive victory and Arslan Shah fled towards India After a stay of 40 days in Ghaznīn, Sanjar went back, leaving Bahrām in possession of Ghaznīn On hearing of his departure from Ghaznīn, Arslan Shah raised an army in India and proceeded towards it On his arrival Bahram withdrew to the fort of Bāmiān and remained there till he was restored to the throne by Sanjar Arslan Shah was arrested and made over to Bahrām Shāh who put him to death Thus came to an end a most troubled reign of about three years (1115-18 A C)+

^{*} Bahrām is said to have asked his step mother, the Saljūqian princess, to dance before him and that is supposed to have drawn down upon him the wrath of her brother, Sultān Sanjar $(M\ I)$

[†] K T, χ , 179, T F, 1, 49 50, and I K, II, χ 11, 299-300 (Tr's note) The date of Arslān's death as given by Minhāj-us-Sirāj is 511 (T N) and as given by Ibn-ul-Asīr is 512 A H (K T, χ 179) The difference is due to the fact that Minhāj-us-Sirāj has left out Sherzād, who followed Mas'ūd III and preceded Arslān and whose reign lasted for some months Ibn-ul-Asīr's evidence is, therefore, to be preferred

Since Bahrām owed his success to the support of the Saljūqs, the influence of Bahram Shah the latter in the governmental affairs of Ghaznīn was bound to increase by leads and bounds. Nevertheless, the relations between them and the Sultān of Ghaznīn continued to be harmon ous. But for the bitter hostilities of the Maliks of Ghūr, in which he was constantly involved and to which we shall presently revert, Bahrām's reign would have been glorious.

Soon after ascending the throne, Bahram turned his attention towards the Rebellion Indian Province, which was then of Indian held by Bahlim, an officer appointed Governor by Arslan Shah He took an army against the said officer, defeated him and took him to Ghaznīn as a prisoner, but restored him to his previous dignity and sent him again to India some time later. In his absence, the same officer conquered Nagaur (in Swalik), left his family there and then launched upon a career of conquests Having collected a large army, he raided a number of places and possessed himself of immense wealth. The wealth thus amassed widened the horizon of his ambitions and he now aspired for the sovereignty of an independent kingdom Bahram again marched against him in person and in a battle that was fought the rebellious governor disappeared and was seen no more * After appointing Salar Husain son of

^{*} According to Minhij us Sirāj ($T \times I = E \times D$, ii, 280), Bahlim and his ten sons fell into a quagmire on the

Ibrāhīm 'Alwī as the Sipāli-Sālār of the Indian Province and introducing other necessary changes, the Sultān returned to Ghaznīn.*

We may now revert to the struggle between the Ghaznawids and the Ghūrids for political supremacy in Afghāmistān The Ghūrids, it should be recalled, had fought faithfully under the banner of Sultān Mamūd and established their reputation as first-rate warriors. But when the sceptre passed into the hands of mere mediocres, they became lukewarm, even cold, in their allegiance to the Ghaznawid Sultān and treated him with scant courtesy.

day of the battle [See Dowson's note (Ibid) and SI-MH, 198-99] Dowson says that there are some unintelligible words in the original The words in question are 'טרענט ועד 'According to Richardson's Dictionary, "ערענט 'יין 'According to Richardson's Dictionary, "ערענט 'יין '' means "a ditch, a marsh, a place where water stagnates" Professor Hodivala's suggestion that "the word "ערענט '' (recte "ערענט)" (recte "ערענט)" (recte "ערענט)" אוויין '' (ערענט) אוויין '' (אוויין '' אוויין '' (דענט) אוויין ''' (דענט) אוויין '' (דענט) אוויין

^{*} T F, 1, 50, I K, II, x11, 300 (Tr's note)

[†] The Ghürids fully availed themselves of the struggle between the Ghaznawids and the Saljüqs and husbanded their resources for asserting their own supremacy

serious when a Sūrī Prince, Qutb-ud-Dīn Muhammad of Ghūr, was put to death under the instructions of Bahrām * To retaliate the murder of the deceased, his brother, Saif-ud-Din, attacked Ghaznīn, defeated Bahrām and drove him into India After the conquest of Ghaznīn Saif-ud-Dīn sent his brother, 'Alā-ud-Dīn, to Ghūr and himself settled down in Ghaznīn along with his family The sympathies of the people of Ghaznīn were, however, with Bahram and they kept him in close touch with the affairs at the Imperial Capital † Availing himself of the sympathies of the people and of the state of affairs at Ghaznīn, Bahrām marched out from Kirman I at the head of an army, defeated Saifud-Din in a pitched battle and took him prisoner Saif-ud-Din was mounted on a lean old cow and paraded through the bazars of Ghaznīn and then tortured to death When 'Ala-ud-Din heard of the fate of his brother, he vowed to fall upon the Sultan of Ghaznin and advanced with the best of his forces He defeated Bahram in three successive battles and put him to flight When defeated and disappointed. Bahram fled towards

^{*} Annoted with his brother, Qutb ud-Din Muhammad of Ghur fled to Ghaznin and found refuge with Bahrum, who also married one of his daughters to him Later, he was suspected of treason and poisoned to death $(T \Gamma, 1, 50)$

⁷ T F, 1, 50 51

 $[\]downarrow$ This was a town situated between Ghaznin and India and should not be confused with its more famous namesake (T F, 1, 50)

India There he raised a large force and again attacked Ghaznīn and occupied it. He, however, found his capital in quite a different condition, for after his victory 'Alā-ud-Dīn had given it to plunder for seven days, during which a number of its finest buildings ond 'exquisite memorials of the greatness and splendour of Mahmūd' were destroyed and most of its inhabitants killed † This act of 'Alā-ud-Dīn earned for him the title of Jahānsoz or 'World Burner' Bahrām died sometime later. †

Bahram was a most remarkable patron of letters and a great friend of the learned ed Among many others, Sayyad Hasan Ghaznawi and Shaikh Nizami flourished at his Court and enjoyed his patronage. The latter dedicated his book entitled Makhzan-i-Asrar to the Imperial patron Numerous foreign works were also translated into Persian under his instructions. Among the most important translated versions may be men-

^{*} Even the tombs of the Ghaznawid princes, save those of Mahmud, Mas'ud I and Ibrūhim were not spared The remains of the princes were torn from their graves and burnt (M I)

[†] There are several discrepancies about the date of Bahrām's death According to Ferishta (T F, 1, 52), he died in 1152 A C, 1 c before the burning of Ghaznin by 'Alā-ud-Dīn Jahānsos If this account be accepted as correct, the pillage of Ghaznin took place during the reign of Khusrau Shāh I have followed Minhāj us-Siráj (T N), which is a much earlier work

tioned the Kalila wa Damna, which was renamed Anwar-i-Suliali, which is still so popular with the people of India and outside. The Sultan was also interested in the wide-spread diffusion of education. He opened a number of maktabs and madrasalis for the purpose, and as a natural result, education made mighty strides during his reign.*

Khusrau Shāh, the son and successor of Bahrām Shāh, was unfit to cope successfully with the onerous situation that confronted him at his accession When, after the conquest of Khurāsān and the defeat of Sultān Sanjar,† the Ghuzz Turkomāns attacked Ghaznīn, he took to flight and found shelter in India, where he died at Lāhore in 1160 A C‡ Of the extensive empire of Sultān Mahmūd, the Punjāb was all that remained in the hands of his descendants Ghaznīn remained in possession of the Ghuzz Turkomāns for ten years and then it passed into the hands of the princes of Ghūr

^{*} T T, 1, 50

⁷ Sultan Sanjar died in the hands of the Ghuzz Turkomans in 1157 A C (C H I, iii, 37)

According to Perishta, the pillage of Ghaznin took place during his reign (T F, 1, 52). In view of the fact that there is a great confusion about the regnal dates of the Ghaznawid princes, it is very difficult to locate events in correct serial order.

India There he raised a large force and again attacked Ghaznīn and occupied it He, however, found his capital in quite a different condition, for after his victory 'Alā-ud-Dīn had given it to plunder for seven days, during which a number of its finest buildings ond 'exquisite memorials of the greatness and splendour' of Mahmūd' were destroyed and most of its inhabitants killed * This act of 'Alā-ud-Dīn earned for him the title of Jahānsoz or 'World Burner'. Bahrām died sometime later. †

Bahrām was a most remarkable patron of letters and a great friend of the learned education.

Progress of learning and education.

Hasan Ghaznawi and Shaikh Nizāmī flourished at his Court and enjoyed his patronage. The latter dedicated his book entitled Makhzan-i-Asrār to the Imperial patron Numerous foreign works were also translated into Persian under his instructions. Among the most important translated versions may be men-

^{*} Even the tombs of the Ghaznawid princes, save those of Mahmud, Mas'ud I and Ibrāhīm were not spared The remains of the princes were torn from their graves and burnt (M I)

[†] There are several discrepancies about the date of Bahrām's death According to Ferishta (T F, 1, 52), he died in 1152 A. C, te before the burning of Ghaznin by 'Alā-ud-Dīn Jahānsoz If this account be accepted as correct, the pillage of Ghaznin took place during the reign of Khusrau Shāh I have followed Minhāj us-Sirāj (T N), which is a much earlier work

tioned the Kalsla wa Damna, which was renamed Anwar-s-Sul uls, which is still so popular with the people of India and outside. The Sultan was also interested in the wide spread diffusion of education. He opened a number of maltabs and madrasals for the purpose, and as a natural result, education made mighty strides during his reign.

Khusrau Shah, the son and successor of Bahram Shah, was unfit to copasition that confronted him at his accession. When after the conquest of Khurasan and the defent of Sultan Sanjar, the Ghuraurkomans accessed Gharnin, he took to flight and found specter in India, where he died at Lahore in 1160 V C + Of the extensive empire of Sultan Mah nad, the Punjab was all that remained in the hands of his descendants. Gharnin remained in possession of the Ghura Turkomans for ten years and then it passed into the names of the princes of Ghar

^{*} T 1 .1.57

⁺ Sign Samper died in the hands of the Ghurr T Remarks 1157 \ C \ (C H I, m, 37)

Acc disp to Lerichta, the pillage of Gharnin took place during his reskni (T. I., 52). In view of the fact that if e is a great confusion about the regral dates of the Gharnin id., incer, it is very difficult to locate events in correct ie also der

THE GUNDANAIDS

Khusrau Shah was succeeded by his son, Khusrau Malik, who ruled for about Khusrau 27 years, with his capital at Lahore Malık. He established his authority over all those places which formed part of the Indian Province under Sultan Ibrahim and Sultan Bahram Shah No longer content with the conquest of Ghaznīn, Shahāb-ud-Din Muhammad Ghūri, brother of Sultan Ghiyas-ud-Din of Ghur, conquered Peshawar, Afghanistan, Multan and Sind and then contemplated the conquest of India He repeatedly attacked India and compelled Khusrau Malik to sue for peace and to offer his son as a hostage till the fulfilment of the terms of the peace-treaty Later, Khusrau Malik was taken prisoner by means of a ruse and killed in 1191 A C* With him ended the House of Ghaznīn and then the sovereignty of Hindustan, in addition to that of Ghaznin and its dependencies, passed into the hands of the Ghurid Chiefs

^{*} Briefly stated, the ruse, as described by Ferishta, is as follows —

Muiz-ud-Din (Shahāb-ud-Din Muhammad of Ghur) informed Khusrau that he wished to cultivate friendly relations with him, and as a proof of his sincerity, he sent back the prince kept as a hostage, to his father with a very splended escort. Khusrau advanced a part of the way to meet his son, when all of a sudden he was surrounded by the Ghurid forces at night. In the morning, when he woke up, he found himself a prisoner. Thus Muiz-ud-Din entered Lähore in triumphant procession without shedding a drop of blood. (T. F., 1, 52)

CHAPTER N

THE GHIZNINIO GOVERNMENT

The Ghamawids spring into power and Introductors ruled over a vast empire for over two centuries. During this period some of them, particularly Mahmūd, made an enduring impress on the history of their times. In the preceding pages we have dealt with their military achievements and have also had occasional glimpses of their contributions to the arts of peace. Here it is proposed to deal with the administrative side of their rule, to refer to the progress of arts and sciences under their patronage and to sum up their achievements.

In the sphere of administration the Ghaznawids were guided by the precedents of the Ishalifas of Bakhāda and the Sāmānia rulers of Bukhārā. Far from theocraes, which was contemplated by the Our-an and put into practice by the Prophet of Isiām, the Muslim Government had become an absolute monarchy. The Sukān was a full-

^{*} It may be pointed out here that the State had drifted afar from the high ideal set forth by the Qur an lt did, however, to to follow the laws of the Starinat as long as they did not collide or come in conflict with those of its own. This was because most of the later Muslim kings were interested more in their own aggrandiaement, than in the glorification of Islam.

fledged autocrat with unlimited powers * He could nominate his own successor and do whatever he liked, subject, of course, to such limitations as were occasioned by fear of rebellions and revolts + He was Zullullah or "the Shadow of God" on Earth and hence the highest executive, legislative, judicial and military authority, responsible to no earthly power for his actions He exercised general supervision over the working of the administrative machinery regulated foreign policy, dictated all important diplomatic correspondence and made all important appointments He was the Commander-in-Chief of his army He led almost all his expeditions in person or directed them from his capital. In serious situations he convened a council of high officials of the State and consulted them, but he was not bound by their decision The council was thus a mere deliberative or consultative body I

The Ghaznawid Government undertook to protect the country from external invasions, to regulate foreign policy,

^{*} For the powers and privileges of a Muslim king, see T M, 108-10, T Y, 5, and S N, 110 See also S C A M R I, 8-11

[†] For the limitations of a Muslim king, see JRASB (1935), 1, 128-29, and SCAMRI, 11-15

 $[\]ddagger T$ M, 266, SN, 84 Nizāmī al 'Arūzī compares a king with a child and says that the first and foremost condition of service under him is to speak according to his wishes (CM)

to maintain law and order, to suppress crime and to encourage public morality, to ensure the security of the life and property of the people, to administer justice and to enforce private contracts. In addition to these constituent functions, the Ghaznawid Government also performed such ministrant duties as fixing of coinage, maintenance and protection of roads and highways, construction of public works of utility, promotion of education, encouragement of arts and literature and administration of famine relief.*

Toleration is the sine quo non of the success and stability of a State and no ruler, Toleration however mighty, can afford to do without it if he aspires to perpetuate his rule The Ghaznawid rulers fully understood and appreciated this point and followed a policy of universal toleration within their dominions We have had occasions to refer to the religious policy of Sultan Mahmud and his successors and shown that it was characterized by toleration in the widest sense of the word † The Zimmis (non Muslims) enjoyed full freedom of worship and liberty of conscience The destruction of temples outside the Ghaznawid Empire was not the result of religious zeal or fanaticism but of dire political necessity and need for money-for financing military schemes successfully If the Ghaznawid Sultans had aimed at the spread of

^{*} Vide infra

[†] See supra, pp 103-4, 202 3

Islam at the point of the sword, as alleged by the critics, they would not have tolerated the existence of idols and temples in their capital * The employment of Hindus in highly responsible posts of the State without any restrictions of rank, race or religion amply brings out their broad-mindedness and testines to their catholicity of mind They consistently followed the policy of toleration in their kingdom and we do not come across a single instance when a Zimmi was subjected to inequitable treatment on account of his religion The Hindus formed an important part of the Ghaznawid armies and occupied such important posts as those of commanders. They also held high positions in the administrative system They were taken into confidence and entrusted with responsible tasks †

In the absence of a well-defined and recog-

Absence of the Law of Succession nized law, governing the succession to the throne, rival claims often come in conflict and the history of the Ghaznawids teems with instances to

illustrate this rule On his death Alptigin was succeeded by his son, Is-haq, who was driven out but restored by the Samanid overlord On his death the adherents of Alptigin elected one of their chiefs, called Bilkatigin, as their king. Piritigin (Piri), who followed him, was deposed in favour of Subuktigin, another chief, who was

^{*} Risālat-ul-Ghufrān, by Al-Ma'arrī, p 153 † M G, 163 64, C H I, m, 88 89, H I, 292-93, P P M T. 45-46

descendants of Mahmud * It was therefore easy for an able and ambitious man to make a bid for the throne, but none dared come out. After a thorough search, two or three princes of Mahmud's family were found alive and one of them was immediately enthroned , Two important inferences can be drawn from the above discussion Unfitness to command and rule was a positive disqualification and nothing could override the ability to rule till the time of Subuktigin After Mahmud, however, descent became an allimportant claim which could not be over-ridden by any such qualifications as ability to rule or power of the sword Mahmūd's prestige was responsible for keeping the crown reserved for his descendants and the struggle for succession was strictly confined to their family-circle It was not, however, settled as to which of the sons or brothers of the late king had the best claim One who possessed the purse and commanded the confidence of the people had, of course, the best chances to succeed A minor's chances were few and far between, and hence with one solitary exception no minor occupied the throne of Ghaznin +

The Ghaznawid system of administration was modelled on that of Baghdad and Bukhara For purposes of effective control and efficient

^{*} See supra, p 223

[†] See supra, p. 221 See also S A M A, 12-14

I Ghaznin was formerly a dependency of Bukhara

administration the Ghaznawid Empire was divided into a number of provinces, each of which was placed in charge of a trusted officer Every province was sub-divided into a number of smaller units corresponding to our modern districts The Sultan was the highest authority. as already remarked, and he had under him a set of officers who held the portfolios of different departments of the State The principal departments were (1) Diwan-s-Wizarat, (2) Diwan-s-'Ars, (3) Diwan-1-Risalat, (4) Diwan-1-Sughl-1-Ishrāf-1-Mamlukat, (5) Dīwān-1-Wikālat (6) Diwan-1-Mazalim, (7) Diwan-1-Muhtasib, (8) Diwan-1-Auqaf, (9) Diwan-1-Mumlskat-1-Khas and (10) Diwan-1-Barid * A brief description of each of these departments and its functions is attempted in the following pages

The Diwan-i-Wisarat or the Finance Department was under the direct control Discast-1of the Wasir who was the highest Wisārat official of the State, holding a place second only to the throne The Wasir was expected to be a most experienced and qualified man, possessing a fertile brain, a facile pen and

* Abu Bakr Muhammad bin Ja'far Narshakhi has given a list of some of these Diwans in his Tarikh-i-

Bukhārā, p. 24. (Schefer's edition)

As such, it was governed as a part of the Samanid Empire according to the Samanid system of administration. When Sultan Mahmud mounted the throne and threw off the Samanid yoke, he continued the same system of administration without introducing any appreciable changes. The same system was followed by his successors

ability to handle serious situations successfully. He assisted the Sultan in the discharge of his duties and controlled the entire machinery of government. In the absence of the Sultan he acted as his deputy and supervised all the departments of the State. His was the court of first instance in matters relating to fiscal policy. He occupied a most uncertain position. He was a buffer between the Sultan and his subjects, exposed to the whims and caprices of the former and the hatred and jealousy of the latter.*

The Diwan-1-'Arz † or the Military De-Diwan-1-'Arz partment was in charge of an officer called 'Arz, who was next

^{*} C M, T M, 181, 297, M G, 132 ff, S A M A, 161 ff Sultan Mahmud introduced the institution of Wizārat in the administrative system of Ghaznīn and it gained immense power and prestige under his successors The election by the elite of the officials of a panel from which the Sultan was to select one as his Wasir was indeed a most useful contribution to the system of govern ment that was then in vogue. The importance of the method of appointing Wazir, as introduced by Mahmud and followed by his successors, has not received the ap preciation it deserves. No Muslim or Hindu ruler of India, nor even the Great Mughals, ever thought of adopting such a wise course of action On the other hand, they would have regarded it as an encroachment on their prerogatives It may be pointed out here that the Wazirs of the Ghaznawids were men of extraordinary ability, experience and education. They enjoyed wide powers and were freely entrusted with the work of government in their absence necessitated by military expeditions

[†] The 'Shughl 1-'Arz' is wrongly translated by Elliot as

only to the Wasir in point of importance. The Ariz was the adviser of the Sultan in military matters. His principal peace time duties were to maintain the army in a high state of efficiency and to look after the comfort and convenience of soldiers. He kept a regular muster-roll of all solgiers, showing losses due to illness, retirement or war * During the travels and tours of the Sultan he had to see that the royal camp was properly supplied with provisions, water, fooder, cic + In war times he acted as Quarter Master General of the army provided all possible facilities to soldiers at halting stations, and after victory he supervised the collection of boots which was distributed in the presence of the He had an assistant called Naib-'Ariz. Sultan who helped him in the discharge of his duties I

While we are still about the Diwan-i-'Arz a reference may appropriately be made to the army of the Ghaznawids and the military organization under them. The army consisted of infantry, cavalry, the royal

the business of reporting matters ($E \in D$, n, 73)

^{*} I copy of the muster roll was kept in the office of the Drudin i-Risalat for ready reference (T M, 332)

[.] Traders frequently accompanied the armies and cater ed for the soldiers just as $Ban_1\tilde{a}s$ do the Indian armies in these days (See S. A., 91)

T M, 100, 329 30, 332, 532, 625, and M G, 137-38

body-guard * and elephants † In the absence of statistics it is not possible to give the exact strength of the Ghaznawid army. At one time it was fifty-four thousand strong "besides garrisons at the outposts of the Empire" Dr M Nazim has roughly estimated the number at 100 thousand, but in times of war it must have been swelled enormously by volunteers called Ghazis or 'holy warriors' and the contingents furnished by provincial governments The Ghaznawid army was mainly recruited from Transoxiana, but it also included Arabs, Afghans, Dailamites, Khurāsānids, Ghūrids and Indians. The presence of soldiers of various nationalities served as an effective safeguard, against the possibility of a combination against the Sultan and also fostered a spirit of emulation among them & Highly organized in the times of Sultan Mahmud, the Ghaznawid army deterio-

The body-guard of the Sultān consisted principally of slaves who were more dependable than all others because they depended wholly on the Sultān They had their separate commanders and administrative officers. They were under the direct supervision of the Sultān Their banner bore the distinctive device of a lion and spears' (T II, 329, 488)

[†] Almost all the elephants had been captured in Indian wars or received in tribute from Indian Princes Their drivers were mostly Hindus and their commander was called Muqaddam-i-Pilbānān Baihaqī mentions the price of an elephant as 100,000 dirhāms (T M, 29, 488, 709).

IM G, 140 See also T M, 846

^{\$} S N, 92, and Qabus Nama, 176

rated a great deal and became demoralized under Mas'ud and his successors

The Commander of the troops of Khurasan was the highest military authority, Gradation of second to the Sultan Every proarmy officers vince had its own Sipah Salar or Commander of local troops and an 'Ariz whose duties were similar to those of his prototype of the Central Government, Each Provincial Commander had under him a number of Haubs. each commanding a Jaish or an army Below the rank of Hajib was that of Sarhang, who was the commander of 500 horse. The officer next in rank to him was called Qaid who commanded a Khail, consisting of 100 horse lowest rank in the army was that of the Khailtash who was probably a commander of 10 All soldiers were expected to make their own commissariat arrangements. Each army was provided with a separate armoury and a magazine, and arms were supplied to soldiers just before the battle †

The portfolio of the Diwan-1-Risalat or the Correspondence Department was held by an officer of high literary attainments, experience, ability and

No contemporary chronicler has given a list of the grades enumerated above. They have been taken from different accounts and are based on casual references (V G, 141)

[†] T M, 6, 132, 145, 325, 328-30, 332, 421, 423, 616,

THE GHAZNAWIDS

tact * The duties of the Salisb-i-Diwan-i Risalat or the Head of the Correspondence Department were multifarious He wrote the letters of the Sultan to the Khalifa of Baghdad, to foreign powers, to provincial governors and other high officials of the State. Important diplomatic correspondence was dictated by the Sultan himself and the Sahih 1-Diwan-1-Risalat deciphered the reports received from the Mushrifs and the Sālub-1-Barīds and submitted them to the Sultan † He had under him a large staff of officers, called Dabirs who were paid handsome salaries Service was graded and vacancies were filled strictly on the basis of seniority and efficiency The office hours were from about 9 a m to about 3 p m Tuesday and Friday were observed as holidays, when one clerk was put on duty to deal with important correspondence and expedite the dispatch of urgent letters A clerk from this department always remained in attendance on the Sultan during his journeys and travels I

^{529, 808, 818,} K T, 1x, 241, and M G, 241-42

^{*} He was expected to be a man of mature age and ripe experience Abul Fazl Baihaqī was regarded as too young to fill that post at the age of 45 (T M, 753)

^{† &}quot;Before proceeding to his post, every important officer was supplied with a code of language by the Sālib-i-Dīwān i-Risālat or the Head of the Correspondence Department." (T M, 541, 821)

[‡] T. M, 166, 181, 191, 297, 581

The Diwan-v-Shughl-v-Ishraf-v-Mamlukat *

—, Shughl-1-Isl rāf-1 Man lukat or the Secret Intelligence Department was in charge of a separate officer who had under him a number of officers called Mushrufs, who were

posted in different parts of the Empire and whose duty was to watch the movements of Government officials all over the Empire and to submit secret information about their doings to the Salib i-Shighl i Ishraf-i Mamlulat or the Head of the Correspondence Department. The most trusted and confidential servants and slaves of important officers and princes were employed by this department to spy the acts and actions of their masters. The Mushrifan-i-Dargah or the Mushrifs attached to the Court of the Sultan watched the movements of ministers, courtiers

^{*} The translation of the term 'Shughl-1 Ishrāf 1 Mamlukat' in E & D, 11, 74 is 'the duty of controlling the financial affairs" and the term 'Nusurif' is translated as "an accountant". The dictionary meaning of the word 'Ishrāf' is observation from an eminence. The department (Diagnatis) was so called because its business was to keep a sharp witch on the conduct of all important persons and foreign princes. While referring to the Ghaznawid system of secret intelligence or espionage in connection with the arrest and imprisonment of Arwaraq (Indian Governor), Baihaqī uses the terms (Indian Governor), Baihaqī uses (Indian

⁷ According to Baihaqi, the spies of Sultan Mahmud were so expert that they counted the very breaths of the Khans of Turkistan. (T M, 846)

and princes and kept the Head of the Department informed of their activities. Princes had their own spies among the confidential servants of the Sultan and they kept them in close contact with the Court of their father. Thus it was that they outwitted their father in many cases. The importance of the officers of this department cannot be exaggerated. They could make and mar careers by submitting favourable and adverse reports. They were therefore appointed by the Sultan himself in consultation with the Head of the Department and paid lucrative salaries so that they might not yield to the temptations of money, etc.

The Sālub-i-Dīwān-i-Wilālat was what may be called in modern termino, Wikālat logy the Comptroller of the Household The contemporary chroniclers throw very little light on this department and its officers. This is obviously because the nature of the duties of the Sālub-i-Dīwān-i-Wikālat and his subordinates did not bring them in contact with the King, the Court and the Courtiers. The Head of the Department was sometimes called Wakīl. His principal duties were to supervise the Royal Kitchen, the Royal Stables and the

^{*} According to Nizām-ul-Mulk, the principal qualifications of *Mushrif* were honesty and sound judgment (S N, 57)

[†] T M, 135-38, 164-65, 331, 493, 522, 609, 812, S N, 57, 68, and M G, 144-45

personnel attached to the Royal Palace He was in charge of the personal treasury of the Sultan and it was also his duty to distribute rations and to disburse pay among the personal servants of the Sultan *

The Diwān-i-Mazālim† was what may now be called a Tribunal of Justice The —, Mazālim Sultān himself was a fountain of justice and his was the highest court of appeal He held his court daily and dealt out justice and redressed the wrongs done to the oppressed ‡ He delegated his powers to Pro-

^{*} It was essential for the Head of this department to possess unimpeachable character and integrity

This department was so called because it dealt with the subject of all or oppression and sought to redress the wrongs done to the oppressed

The Amir dealt with oppression, i e held a court of justice, and heard the complaints of the oppressed persons, and it was a most eventful day). In E & D the extract is translated as "The Amir was very severe and the day passed in great pomp and splendour" (E & D, 11, 73). Dowson has translated the extract without reference to the text and hence made a mess of the whole thing. In the preceding page Baihaqi has said that the Wasir has ordered all אולים (oppressed persons or complainants) to be called (T M, 181). באלים ווא is also referred to as a court of justice. Gardizi says that soon after his accession Mas'ūd sat in (held) a court of justice (Z A, 95). Speaking of Sultān Ibrāhīm of Ghaznīn also, he uses

punished * The system of administering justice was quite sound and simple, being based on the laws of the Shariyat On the whole, it worked well and was free from such formalities as a litigant in modern times has to observe. Punishments meted out to offenders were severe but they acted as effective deterrents and were quite suited to those stormy times

The Diwan-i-Muhtasib was concerned with the morals and manners of the —, Muhtasib people The religious beliefs of Muslim subjects of the Sultān were also ascertained by this department and those found guilty of 'heresy' and moral delinquency were punished The adherents of the 'Bātinī' and 'Carmathian' creeds were rigorously persecuted everywhere in the Empire during the reign of Sultān Mahmūd 'they were captured, imprisoned and, if they did not recant, were sometime brutally murdered and burnt' and their literature was ruthlessly destroyed T

The Diwan-v-Auqāf was in charge of a separate officer who supervised social—, Auqāf activities of the people and managed religious endowments and such institutions as maktabs and madrasalis, mosques and monasteries;

^{*} S N, 38, 40, 54, 65, 77, T M, 40, 181, 246

[†] T M, 664, K T, 11, 264, M G., 160

IT M, 30S

The Diwan-i-Mumlikat-i-Khās was another department of the State 'As to —Mumlikat-i- the rôle of this department and Khās the duties of its head, the contemporary accounts afford little clue From the designation of the officer in charge of this department (Sāhib-i-Dīwān-i-Mumlikati-Khās) it appears that he was entrusted with the management of Crown-lands or royal domain, corresponding to the Dīwān-i-Khālisa of the Mughal Period **

Every province had its own Sāhib-i-Barīd†

Sāhib-i-Barīd or Post Master General He was the official news-writer and it was his duty to keep the Sultān informed of all that took place in the province where he was posted. He submitted reports in a cipher previously arranged with the Sāhib-i-Dīwān-i-Risālat Reports were conveyed through the agency of Askudārs or mounted couriers. Important communications were sent through special messengers. The system worked well, but at times it was set at naught by the refractory governors who prevailed upon the Sāhib-i-Barīds either by

^{*} See S A M A, 211-12

[†] At first the word 'Barid' was used for the post-mule, then for the courier who rode the post mule and finally for the distance between two postal stations. The word is perhaps derived from the Persian word v or 'cut' which was applied to the mules that had their tails cut or docked (See S I M H, 153)

bribes or by force to send favourable reports about them to the Central Government. Often the couriers were wavlaid, searched and dispossessed of the implicating papers. The Salib-i-Barid therefore sent his couriers in the guise of merchants, mendicants and travellers, who carried official papers in the handles of implements kept hollow for the purpose or in the soles of their shoes, etc.*

There was vet another officer called Khāzan (treasurer) He was in charge of the Government Treasury. He is only rarely referred to in the contemporary chronicles, where too he does not find place among the high officials of the State. It may therefore be inferred that he did not enjoy a very high status or rank †

The Provincial Government was based on the model of the Central Government and had three distinct branches of administration, viz civil, judicial and military. The highest civil officer in a province was called Sahib-i Diwan. He was entrusted with the collection of the revenue due to the State and was directly responsible to the Wazīr He nad under nim a staff of subordinate officers such as 'Amils' who collected the revenue from

^{*} T VI, 27, 139, 165-66, 425, 493-94, 522-23, 541, 627, 821, S N, 57-58, 65, 68

[†] S A M A, 212.

the sub-division in their charge * The chief military officer was the Sipāh Sālār or the commander of the provincial army The Sālib-i-Dīwān and the Sipāh Sālār worked independently of each other, but in some cases when it was considered desirable they worked together and co-operated with each other. The highest judicial officer of a province was the Qāzī-ul-Quzzāt, who, in addition to his duties as a judge, supervised the Judiciary of the province where he was stationed, and saw that the Qāzīs under him discharged their duties honestly and efficiently.†

How towns were administered is a question that confronts us now Unfortu-Administranately, information on this subject tion of cities is scanty and that too lies scattered over numerous accounts Every town had a fort to protect it from an external attack. The commander of the fort was called Kotwal. He was the highest military officer of the locality The principal civil officer of a town was Muhtasib‡ who had a number of duties to perform He had to see that law was respected and peace was not disturbed, that food-stuffs were not adulterated and weights and measures were not tampered with, that trade was

† S N, 18, 77-78, 149 50, T M, 246, 327, 352, 447, 488, 496, 559

I His other designation was Shihna (M G, 150)

^{*} The revenue system has been dealt with separately Vide infra, pp 260 ff

projected and artisans were not molested, and that the Muslim code of morality was faithfully followed by the Muslim population Offenders were arrested and sent to the Amir i-Haras (Chief Jailor) who kept them in the lock up till they were summoned to stand their trial in the court of the Oaz: Each town had a paid Khatsb who acced as Pesh-Imam and read the Khutba in the name of the Sultan Municipal administration as we understand it, was not known but there is ample evidence on record to show that the notables of towns were consumed in all natters of importance relating to towns The religious and educational endowments were nuministered by a separate office called Ishraf-1 Auque and the Salisb-i-Ishraf-i-Auque looked to the collection of the income derived from and the expenditure entailed by the endowments T

Government service was not the monopoly of any class. It was open to all who possessed the requisite qualifications. A man who entered service as an ordinary clerk could rise to the rank of Wasir in course of time if he possessed the required qualifications for that post ‡ Every

^{*} Ore who leads the congregational prayers among Musalmans is called Iman or Pesh Imam

⁷ T 1, 4, 5, 8, 19, 189, 197, 271, 288, 308, 664, 538, S Λ , 41, 121, T Y , 332

T W, 165 The administrative machiners was mainly in the hands of the Persians Most of the Wazirs

important appointment in the State was a matter of contract. Before taking charge of his office every high official had to enter into a Mawaza' or covenant or contract with his royal master. The terms and conditions of the covenant differed with different posts in proportion to their importance. An 'Aris was, for instance, required to leave his son as a hostage before he was invested with the insignia of his office and sent out *

The Indian territories conquered by the early Ghaznawid princes, were How India was formed into a separate province, administered. which was administered by two officials—Sipali Salar and Qazi. In the days of Mahmud, the Sipali Salar was 'Abdullah Qaratigin who resided at Lahore 'Abdullah was a mild man and the administrative machinery was therefore controlled by the Qazi But his successor, Ariyaraq, was a strong man who could not brook the interference of the Oazī in his affairs and would not like to play the second fiddle. The clash between the two was, therefore, inevitable. The Qazi Shirazi, 'Abdul Hasan All, who knew the Sipah Salar too well, moved the Government of Ghaznin and secured the appointment of two more officials, vis, an 'Amil and a Mushrif When

and departmental heads of the Ghaznawid Government were Persians. This is because they were superior to all others in diligence and obsequousness. (M. G., 131)

^{*} T 11, 177-78, 326, 416, 453, 504

even the appointment of these two officials did not exercise any check on the powers of Arryaraq, the Qazi mobilized the forces of intrigue against him and brought about his fall. He was successful in his object because the Sultan (Mas ūd) was trying to get rid of the old and arrogant officials of his father's time * When NivalingIn was appointed Sifali Salar of India the Wazir of Mas'ud instructed him not to meddle with financial affairs but to leave them to the Oazi Thus, while the entire financial administration was placed in the hands of the Oazi, the Salar could not but force the Tnakurs to my tribute, to wage wars and to administer military affairs. The idea was the separation of civil from military affairs. The 'Anul and the Mushrif assisted the Qazi. The clash between the Qazi and the Salar as well as the rebellion of the latter were responsible for the changes introduced by Mas ud He appointed his own son, Majaud, as Amir-i-Hind (Governor of India) and put a Dabir and a Mastauti (accountant) at his disposal for help T The Mastaufi was expected to control the income and expenditure. At the time of Mas'ud there were the following officers at Lahore, a

^{*} T V, 327-28, S A V A, 213

The original idea of keeping the military department strictly separate from the civil must have been much modified by the appointment of Prince Majdad who re presented his father, the Sultan, and was thus the head of the Indian Government.

Sipah-Salar who was a prince of the royal family, a Mastaufi, a Mushrif and a Dabir There might have been a treasurer as well, though we do not find any mention about him in the contemporary records. This was then the nucleus round which the Wizarat Department developed in India. Further changes in the administration of India must have been introduced when the Ghaznawids transferred their seat of government from Ghaznin to Lähore.*

The Finance Department of the Central Government has been referred to Revenue above † The appointment as well System as the dismissal of such local financial officers as the Salub 1-Diwan or provincial revenue minister, Vastaufi-i-Mumalik (Accountant General) the 'Amil (or Collector) and the Rais, ‡ rested with the Central Government concentrated in the Sultan The Central Revenue Department maintained a regular record of accounts of the Mal-1 Kharaj (revenue) sent to it from different provinces. The principles according to which the revenue of various places was assessed are not known, but it will not be far from the truth to say that the Ghaznawid Government relied on the records, whatever they were, of the rulers whom they supplanted The contemporary

^{*} T 11, 327-28, 622, 656 67, S A M A, 213 11

⁺ See supra, pp 243 44

I He was perhaps a local revenue officer (supervisor?) of a district or town

works do not afford any clue to the actual measurement of land The assessments recorded in the Central Financial Department furnished the basis of the demand of the Wazir for revenue from provincial and district revenue officers The revenue due to the State was the first charge on the property of every person concerned with its collection. It was paid both in cash and kind It was also paid in amber, pearls, cloth. utensils, etc. The Wasir, who was at the helm of all administrative affairs, was particularly keen on the realization of the amount of revenue recorded in his office as due from a place of a provincial officer * There is no doubt trat most of the defaulters were really incarable and dishonest and the Wazir's strictness - as zzz misplaced, but it is quite reasonable to states that there must have been cases when the remains due to the State could not be pa distribute a good governor also ran the rest in section. no fault of his † The revenue visite in-igreat vigour, but neither the Sultan nor his Wazir was slack to show leniercy when he was convinced of the defaulter's inability to pay There are also instances of successful protests and representations to the Central Government against unjust and unreasonable demands. Remissions were not grudged when there were good grounds for granting them. Whenever there was a drought or whenever a province suffered from the attack of an enemy, land revenue was remitted and loans were advanced to cultivators in order to enable them to purchase seeds, cattle and implements.

Taxes and other sources of revenue yat, tributes from dependencies and presents from subordinate Princes, the produce of gold and silver from mines and the duties of the great volume of trade that passed between China, Turkistän and India and Khurāsan, Irāq and Syria, supplemented by the spoils of wars, enormously enriched the State Treasury † There are repeated references to

Other sources of revenue were such taxes as

Kharāj‡ in the contemporary accounts, which shows that it was a most important source of State income. Jizia § was imposed on the

^{*} T M, 144-46, 663, T Y, 247, 271, 321, S N 18, 206, C M

[†]S N, 20, N G, 133-34

¹ See S A M A , 242

[&]amp; For a discussion on Jizia, see S C A M R I

Zimmis in heu of military service and for the protection of their person and property, and a record of the income received from this source was kept in the Revenue Office Zakat' does not figure so prominently in the contemporary chronicles, but it must have been levied in some form or the other. It was $2!^{0}$ tax on property. The revenue due from each province was sent to the Head Quarters by the officer concerned, and in cases of delay and default a Rasul (agent) was sent by the Central Government with instructions to expedite remittance. At times the Wasir himself went to the defaulting province to see into its revenue affairs and to set them right All amounts were sent to the Treasury, where the Khāzan (trensurer) examined the Nuskha-1 Hujjat (demands) and gave a Khat (receipt) on receiving the amount T

The State also undertook to mitigate the horrors of famines whenever they Famine Relief broke out. It sympathized with the famine-stricken and the impoverished and did all that it could to relieve them of their sufferings. Once, when a terrible famine broke out in Khurasan, Sultan Mahmud issued orders to all Provincial Governors to send as much corn to the famine-stricken area as possible Accordingly, huge quantities of food-stuffs began to pour into Khurasan from all over the

^{*}S N, 20, N G, 133

TT M, 170, 314, S A W A, 240 ff

THE GHAZNAWIIS

Ghaznawid Empire * With all the solicitude of the State for the comfort and convenience of the people, it must be remembered that modern means and methods of fighting famines were unknown in those times and the crude and unscientific means of communication and transportation must have formed a great obstacle in the proper administration of famine relief

With rare exceptions, the Ghaznawid Sultans were deeply interested in the pro-Cultural gress of learning and literature, progress architecture and other fine The University of Ghaznin, with a vast library and a museum attached to it, was literally a light-house of learning, shedding its light far and wide and illuminating the East in general and Afghanistan in particular † The Arns-1-Falak or 'the Celestial Bride', the loveliest house of prayer which had received the honorific appellation of 'the wonder of the east' in those days, was the finest gem of Islamic architecture then in existence Both these and many other magnificent monuments that adorned Ghaznin were things of beauty, which if spared and preserved, would have been a joy for ever

^{*} T Y, 247 (Tehran edition, p 331), S A M A, 242

[†] The Ghaznawids systematically encouraged education by opening schools and colleges and extending their patronage to learned persons at a time when no government of the West had yet evolved a regular system of education (See E M I)

THE GHAZNAWIDS

Abul Mu 1star, 45 alliance with Indian Rija Abul Mulak, title of Sult in 10 11 — independence, H Arslin Shah, 228 12, attacked and defeated Abul Ma îlî "Abdul Mulk by Mahmüd, 44, 221-02 Jav uni 110 Mrisis ibī Furks, see Qari Abul Muraffar Nast, Kh inids 140, 169 Alddilli (Friditions), 109 Abul Qisim Ahmad bu 10 Hasan al Maimandi, Wa Ahmad (officer under Mah ~ir of Sult in Mahinad, mad) 177 170 150 39 Ahmad bir Ismul, Sunind Abul Qısım Simjüri, 19, 31, King, 2 33 Ahmad bir Muhammad, 213 Aba Mansar, governor of Ahmad *ben* Muhammad *ber* Tas, 134 Abī Rakral Isfahınī, 130 Aba Mansar, a Seminid Ahmad Hasan, Khwiji, 197 99 206 10 king, 3 Aba Mancar Arslin Khin, Ahmad Nividugin, 197-202 35 Ahmad Tughin Khin, 35 Aba Nasr Muhammad bin Imer, 15 59 73 Asad-ush Shir, 38 Ajndhan (P.4. Patan), 2% Aba Nasr Ahmad *bin* Mu Ale ud Daulah, 194, 199 hammad his "Abdue Sa 'Aleud Daulah Lin Kakt mid, a Gharnavid Wazir wash, 45 and governor, 192 93, 214 'Mr ud Din Hu crin Jalan 215 one, 124 destruction of Aba Rihan see Aberani Ghaznin by him, 231 Aba Said 'Abdul Maik bin MI ud Din of Ghar, 233 Aba 'U em in, 171 M 'Arn J, six Ni imi Abn Said Altantish, 32 Albertani, Aba Jol in Mu hammad bin Al mad, 115 Aba Tilir bin Mastauft, 157 lingami. Khy 412, 214 It on 210-11 Administration, under Mah Alexander the Great, 25 mad, 175 79 - the Ghaz navid, 237 ff, adminisf n 109, 179 All and a Malahum mer red tration of to the, 256 gala Maufal, the, 131 Afri inician, 2, 7, 9, 10, 232, Miln Floin Pali 335 211 colde of Calcienia, 221 22 Afaliant, 4, their time, 9, -

'Ali Huyeri, Sayyad, 102 'Ali Nüshtigin, 171 'Alitigin, ruler of Bukhārā, 35-36, 39, 195, 205 Allāho Akbar, 80, 108 Alp Arslan bin Chaqar Beg Daud, 225-26 Alptigin, ruler of Ghaznin, 2-4, 7, 11, 23, 49, 240 Al Qadır Billah, Khalifa of Baghdad, 41 Al Qanun 1-Has'udı, 116 Altuntash, Amir Hājib, 38, 42, 195, 205 Altuntash, see Abu Sa'id 'Amil, duties of, 255-56, 260 Amın ul-Villah wa Yanunud-Daulah, title of Sultan Mahmud, 31 Amir, title of early Ghaznawids, 41-2. Amir i-Haras (chief jailor), 257 Amir Ma'zī, see Ma'zī Anandpāl, son of Rāja Jaipāl, 53, defeated by Mahmud, 59-61, recovers power, 62, intervenes for Thanesar, 63-64, 71 Anhilwara, captured by Sultan Mahmud, 78-82 Anwar-1-Suhatli, the, 235 Arabic, superseded by Persian, 113 Arabs, 1, 117 Aral Sea, 174 Architecture, of Mahmud, 123-24, - of other Ghaznawid Lings, 264

Aritigin, a general, 218-19 Ariyaraq, governor of India, 197, 258-59 Arıs, Sālub-ı-Dīwān-ı-.192 Arjan, a feudatory of Raja Gandā, 14 Army of the Ghaznawids. 245-47, - officers, 247-48 Arslan Khan, governor of Tus, 139 Arslān Shāh, Sultān Ghaznīn, 228-31 'Ariīs-1-Falak (or Celestial Bride), 122, 179, 264 Asad ush-Shar, 38 Asadi Tüsi, a poet, 121 Asiadi Marvi, a poet, 121 Asaî, a fort in India, 70 As $\bar{a}r$ -ul- $B\bar{a}q$ ia, the, 116 Ash'as bin Muhammad, 3 Asini, 70, f n Askudārs (mounted courters), 254 Asightigin, 197 Atishkada-i-Azar, the, 141 Attar, Shaikh, 128 Augustus, Cæsar, 109 Augāf, Dīwān-1-, 253 Avicenna, see Abū 'Alī Sīna. Ayaz, Abun Najam Ayaz, his relations with Sultan Mahmud, 130 ff

Baganga, river, 62
Baghdad, 48, 91, 93, praised
in the Shāhnāmah, 142,
174, system of government
in —, 242-43
Bahlol Dāna, shrine of, 128

Ghāzīs, (holy warriors), 246

Turkomāns, 235, 236

Sultan, 236

Ghür, 26, conquered Mahmud, 42-43, 174, 197, 206, 216, 220, 235, 236 Ghurak, fort, 63 Ghurids, 42, 132, 166, Bahrām Shāb's war them, 232, 236 Ghurrat uz-Zijāt, the, 116 Ghuzz Turkomāns 235 Ghuzz desert, 33 Girl, fort, 207 Greek sciences, 211 Government of Ghaznin, 237 ff, functions of -, 238-39, Central-, 242-43, Provincial, 255-56, Muslım —, 237-38 Gwalior, 59, conquered by Mahmud, 74-75, 174 Hajib, (military rank), 247 Hājib Qinji, 41

Hajrāi, Hindū general, 101

Hakim, Khalifā, 92

Halosar, Wazir, 153

Hamadán, 143, 194

Ghaznin, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 1n-Afghāns, 11 vaded by Hindus, 9, 16, Hānsī, captured by Mas'ūd, 17, 19, 24, 25, 27, 32, 38, 203-4, 208, 217 41 ff, —Past and Present, Hara Data, a Hindū Rāja, 67 124, tombs and towers at Harun, governor of Khwa -, 124-26, 126-28, shrines rızm, 192-93, 195-96 at -, 128, 174, 206, 215, Hasanak, see Abu 223, conquered by Sultan Hasan Sanjar, 230, invaded by Hasan bin Mehran, 225 Hasan Ghaznawi, Sayyad, Ghiyas-ud-Din of Ghur, 234 Herat, 17-18, 20, 28-30, 205, 216 Hindus, their aggression and defeat, 5, 21, defeated by Mahmüd, 51 ff,—under the Ghaznawids, 239-40 Hındū Shāhıya Dynasty, end of —, 73-74, 174, Hussain, grandson of Prophet Muhammad, 90 Hussain, bin Qatib, governor of Tus, 139

Hamid, Shaikh, chief

Ibn-ul-Asir, on Somnath expedition, 76, 160 Ibrāhim bin Marzūbān, 47 Ibrāhīm, Sultān of Ghaznīn, 224, 226-27 Idolatory, Mahmūd's efforts against, 102-4 Ilak Khān, ruler of Bukhārā, 19, 32-35 **43**, 58, 63 India, Subuktigin's invasions

Ibn-1-Khaldun, on Somnäth

Ibn-1-Khalkān, on Mahmūd,

expedition, 76,-77

110

of -, 15.16, Mahmud's -, 49-83, causes of Indian invasions, 85 ff, results -, 106-7, effects-107-8, 174 Indian Ocean, 174 Province, Indian under Mas ud 197-202, 207, 216, 217, 230, 258-60 Indus, the river, 44, 60, 81, islands of-, conquered by Mahmud, 83, 207 Iraj, 142 Irān, 101 Irāq, 174, 263 Isā, 193 Isā, bin Ma'adan, 45-46 Isfahān 48, 93, 113, 139, 174, 185, 194 Ishāq bin Alpugin, 240 Ishrāf-1-Augāf, 257. Ishrāf-ı-Mumlukat-ı-Khās, 249-50 Islām, propagation of -, by Mahmud, 97, ff, how presented in India, 99-100, 108 Ismāil Sāmānid, 2 Ismāil bin Mahmūd b111 Subuktigin, 26-29, 169 Israil bin Saljuq, 39 Izad Shāh bin Sultān Abul Hasan 'Ali, 232

Jaipal, Rāja of Lāhore, 9, alliance with Afghāns, 10, invasion of Ghaznīn and defeat, 12-14, treaty, perfidv and punishment, 15-16, 21, 26, 49, defeated by

Mahmūd, 50, 53, 85 Jaish (arms), 247 Jami-Aulivä, shrine of, 128 Jāts, the, \$2, defeated by Sultān Mahmūd, 83 Jauliar (rite), 70 f n Jibal, 45, 174 Jiliād (defensive war), 45 78, Quranic conception of -, 94-96, Mahmud's invasions of India distinguished from -, 95 ff, 173 Jisīα (tax), 262-63 Juq, father of Subuktigin, 7 Jurjan, 32, 44, 174, 194, 196 Jurjānnivah, 36, 38 Justice, Mahmud's sense of -, 1 0-71, administration of -, 251-53 Jūzjānān, 29, 41, 169

Kabul, 4 Kāfiristān 174 Kāh Kālji, sister of Sultan Mahmüd, 37 Kaikāūs, see 'Unsar-ul-Ma'ali Kālanjar, a fort near Kashmīr, 39 Kalıla wa Damna, the, 235 Kālinjar, a fort in Bundhelkhand, 15, 59, 71-75, 174 Kālisarag, 225 Kāmil, see Ibn-ul-Asīr Kanauj, 15, 45, 59, 69, 71, 72, 174 Kangra, 61, 62, 222

Karanātılaka, the, 116

Karbalā, desert of, 90

Karramite creed, 90 Kashgarh, 34-36, 38, 58-59 Kashmir, 57, — Pass, 65-66, 174 Katar, 34 Kithiawar, conquered by Mahmud, 75 82, 158 Khafif, ruler of Mansura, 81 Khail, — tāsh, 247 Khalaf bin Ahmad, 40 41 Khalanjan, 139 Khalifa (s) of Baghdad, 48, 49, 91-93, 157, 181, 191, 237 — of Cairo, 42, 174 Khaljis, 34 Khans of Turkistin, Mahmud's dealings with -, 33-37 Khāganī, (savant) tomb of, 128 Kharāj (tax), 26° Kharqini, see Abul Hasan Khargushi, see Abu Said Abdul Malik bin 'Usman [†] Khāsan, (treasurer), 255, 263 Khatib, 257 Klulāfat, (Caliphate), 92 Khozistán, 194 Khulam Pass, 3 Khurāsān, 2, 3, 7, 18, 23, 32-35, 39, 51, 62, 63, 92, Lohīrkotta, see Lohkot, 113, 178, 204, 225, 235, Lohkot, 67 262 63 Lubāb-ul Albāb, on Sultān Khusrau Malık, Sultan of Lutf Ali Azar, Allama, 143 Ghaznin, 2.6 Khusrau Shah, Sultan of Ghaznin, 205

Khutba, 37, 38, 257 Khutlan, 174 Khwabin, captured by Sultan Mahmad, 43 Khwarzim, 32, 37, 174, 193, 196 Khwarzım Shah, 38 Khyber Pass, 4, 13, captured by Mahmad, 60 Kirman, conquered by Mas'ad, 193, 233 Kıtāb fi Ghurar-ı-Mumlikat -1-Γurs, 117 Kıtāb ul Yamını, 117 Kotwal, duties of, 256 Krishna, Sri, 68 Kshatrīs, 13 Kuhistān, 31 Kul Chand, Raja, 67 68 Kurmaj, 8, 10 Lahore, 21, 72, 73, 85, 178, 215, 217, 218, 222-23, 227-28, 235, 236, 258-260 Lamgtan, 7, 13, 26, 49, 174 Law of succession, 2+0-42 Lawik, see Aba 'Ali and Abu Bakr Lingams, (phalli), of Somnāth, 73, 157 Libraries, 11, 123 Loharin, 66 f n

Ma'dan, 45

Mahmud, 109 10

Madrasahs (colleges), 122, 235, 253

Mahřban, (in Muttra) 67 Māhīpāl, Rāja of Delhi, 210-18

Mahmud, Abul Qasım, Sultan of Ghaznin, 8, 17, 18, 20, early life, 24-26, struggle for succession, 26-29, relations with Samanids, 20-33, — Khāns of Turkistān, 33-36, — Māmunids, 36-38, conquest of Gharshistan, 38-39, rise of Saljuqs, 39-40, conquest of Sistan, 40-41, assumption of title of 'Sultan' 41-42, conquest of Ghūr, 42-43, — Qusdār, 43, - valleys of Nur and Qīrāt, 43-44, expedition against Afghans, 44, relations with Zivarids, 445 45, - rulers of Mekran, 45-46, conquest of Raw, Hamadan and Isfahan, 46-48, invasions of India. 49-83, Khyber Pass, 50, Peshāwar, 50-53, Bhātīva, 53-56, Multan, 56-58, Bhatiya 58-59, Punjab, 59-61, Nagarkot, 61-63, Narāyan or Narāvanpur, 62, Multān, 63, Thānesar, 63-64, Nandāna, 65-66, Kashmir, 65, Baran, etc., 67-72, Kanauj and Kālınjar, 71-73, Punjāb, 73-74, Gwalior and Kalinjar,

74 75, Somnāth, 75-82, islands of the Indus, 82 83, Motives of Indian invasions, 84-108, political factors, 85-87, economic-, 87-88, religious—, 88-04, Jiliāds (?) 94-97, propagation of Islam (?), 97-102, conquest (?) 104-5, inspiring motive, 105-6, results of Indian invasions, 166-67, effects -, 167 8, cultural activities, 109-28, Mahmud's love of learning, 109-10, - patronage of letters, 110-11, renaissance of Persian literature, 112 14, encouragement of education, art and architecture, 122-28, legends about him, 129-60, relations with Firdausi, 130-51, charge of bastardy, 150-51, relations with Ayāz, 152-56, Somnāth, 156-5c, Dābishlim, 158-60, Mahmūd's illness death, 161-62, review of riches and grief, 162-64, character, 166 67, personal appearance, 167 68, virtues, 168-72, religious beliefs, 172-73, as a soldier, 173 75,- king, 175-7º, weaknesses, 179-82, estimate, 182, his tomb, 125, Tower of Victory, 127, 194-95, 197, 198-20+, 223-24, 235, 239, 253,

263, 237, 241, 242 Mahmud bin Maudud, 218 Mahmūd-1-Zabulī, 24, 151 Mahmud-ul-Warrag, an historian, 118 Maimandi, see Ahmad bin Hasan Majalladāt, the, 118, Majdud bin Mas'ud, 207 208 Maidud (Hakim Sanai), 128 Majd ud-Daulah bin Fakhrud Daulah, 46, 194 95 Majhawan, see Munj Majmā-ul Fusahā, the, 141 Makhzan i Asrār, 234 Maktabs (schools) 122, 235, 253 Malak-ush-Shu'ra, (poetlaureate), 121 Malak Shāh bin Alp Arslān, 226 Māmūnids, their relations with Mahmud, 36-38 Manaich, 70 f n Manî'î, see Abul Hasan Mansur bin Maudud, 218 Mansur bin Nuh 2, 3 Mansūra, 81 Maqāmāt-1-Abū Nasr Mushkānī, the, 118 Mardan Shah b/o Sultan Abul Hasan 'Alī, 222 Marv, 30, 31, 205, 206, rūd, 30 Marzubán bin Hasan, 47 Mastang (in Baluchistan) 39 Mastaufi 1-Mumālik, 260 Mas'ud I bin Mahmud, Sultan of Ghaznin, +0, 43, 47,

48, 93, sued in a court, 171, 181, 182, 183, strug gle for succession, 18+ 86. release and reinstatement Maimandi, 186 89, execution of Hasanak, 189 92, appointment of a new Wazir, 192-93, conquest of Mekran and Kirman, 193-94, rebellion of 'Alā ud Daulah, 194, -Majd-ud-Daulah, 194-95, recovery and loss Khwārzim, 195 96, subjugation of Jurjan and Tabarıstan, 196-97, condition of India, 197 202, capture of Hansi and Sonpat, 203-4, war with the Saljuqs, 204-6, flight to India, 207-8, Wizārat department under him. 208-10, patronage of learning and promotion education, 210 11, character and estimate, 211 12, 213, 241 Mas'ud II, Abu Ja'far, 221

Mas'ud III, 'Ala-ud-Daulah, Sultan of Ghaznin 227-28

Maudūd bin Mas'ūd, Sultān of Ghaznin, appointed governor of Balkh, 207, appointment of Wazirs, 714. - governor of the Punjāb, 214-15, recovery Transoxiana, 215 16, condition of India, 216-18, against campaign

the Saljuqs, 218-20 Mawasa' (convenant or contract of service), 257-58 Ma'zī, see Amīr Ma'azī Mecca, 93, 173 Mekran, 45-46, 174, conquered by Mas'ad I, 193 Ministers, see Wazirs Minüchihr, a poet 121 Minuchihr bin Qabus bin Washingir 45, 148 Mosques, 10S, 253 Motives of Mahmud's Indian invasions, St-105 Muiwwa, Ommayyade Khalifa, responsible for secularization of Islamic State. 99, 183 Mudhera (Mundher), 79 Mughīs ul-Khalq fi Ikhtivārul-Haq, the, 110 Muhammad bin 'Alī, an his torian, 50 Muhammad of Ghür, see Shahāb ud Din Muhammad of Ghur Muhammad Lashkari, 139 Muhammad Khan Qazwini, 142 Muhammad bin Sultin Mahmud, 181, defeated and imprisoned by Mas'ad I, 183-85, 195, 271 Muhammad bin Surī, ruler of Mandish, 42 Muhammad Sultan bin Malak Shāh, 229 Muhtasibs, 180, Diwani, Muhtasib, 253, duties of—

256-57 Mujāluds (holy warriors) 96 Main-ud-Din Chishti, 102 Multan, 33, conquered by Mahmud, 56-58, 59, re duced by -, 63, 78, 105. 174, 222, 236 Mumlikat i Khās, Dīwān i-260 Mundher (Mudhera), 79 Muni, 60, 70 Muntasır, see Abū Ibrāhim Ismāil al Muntasir Muqaddam 1 Pilbīnān, 246 Mushrifs, 249-50, 248-59 Mushrifan 1-Dargah, 249 Music, 212 Mu'tazilites, persecution of,

Muttra, 67-68 Nagarhar, 213 Nagarkot, captured by Mahmad, 61 62, 217, 222 Nāgaur (in Swālīk), 231 Nāib 1-'Arz, his duties, 245 Nămi, governor of the Punjáb, 214-15 Nandā, see Ganda Nandāna, 62, captured by Mahmud, 65-66 Napoleon, 111 Narāvan (pur), conquered by Mahmud, 62, 174 Nasar, a Wazir, 142 Nasır-ud Din-uad-Daulal, title of Subuktigin, 18 Nasr bin Ahmad, 2 Nasr bin Mahmud, 183

Nasr Inn Subuktigin, 41 Nasr, the Hajji, 6-7 Nath, a Hinda general in Muslim arms, 200 Nauroz (festival), 113, 224 Nawar, the river, 124 Nawasa Shah, see Sukhpal Nishapur, 18, 19, 26, 27, 29, 32, 48, 134, 205 Niy'iligin, see Ahmad Nizami al Aruzi al Samar qandi, on Mahmud and Firdausi, 132-35, - satire, 144-16, - Ayriz, 153 Nizāmī, Shaikh, 234 Nah, Amīr of Bukharā, 2, 16, 17, 19, 32 Nar, the river, 43-44 Nashtigin Kaj, 28 29, 100 Nushtigin Karkhi, 223-24, 225.

Ommayades, 89-90, 183 10+. Oxus, the river, 39, bridge over -, 124 Pand Namah, the, 25 Parichehra, 142 Paris, 111 Pāstān Nāmah, 138-39 Persia, 110, 'Arab conquest of -, 112 13 Persian, language, 6, renaissance of -, 110 ff,influence, 112 ff - literature, 110 ff Peshawar, 4, 10, 16, conquered by Subuktigin, 16, -Mahmad, 50 53,57, 107, 218, 221, 223, 236

Pesh-Imam (one who leads Muslim prayers), 257 (Piritigin), ruler of Piri Gha/nin 4-5, 240 Poetry, progress of -, under Mahmad, 119 ff Poets, 119 ff Postal system, see Salub 1-Provincial Government, 255-Sultan 256 works of Public Mahmud, 123 24 Punishments, 253 Punjab, the, attacked by Mahmud, 59, 61, 67, 72, annexed to the Ghaznawid Empire, 73 74, 105, 214 15, 216, 222

Qabus bin Washingir, 44, Qadır Billah, Al - , Khalifa of Brghdad, relations with Mahmad, 31, 41, 91 93 sec Yasuf Qadır Khan, Qadır Khan Qaid (military rank), 247 Qanun 1-Mas'udi, the, 116 Qara-Khanids, 33 Orratigin, 'Abdullah, 258 Qasi (-ul Quezat), 252-53, Qatwan, 19 257-58 Qazwin, 48 Qirat, the river, 43-44 Qubādiān, 174 Qusdar, conquered by Subuktigin, 9, 35, - Mahmud, 43, 174, 219 220 Quth-Minār at Delhi, 127 Qutb ud-Din Muhammad Sultan of Ghūr 232

Rahib, see Ruhut Rāi Hindu, 101 Rais (revenue collector), 200 Rais 18, 45, 40, 93, 194 95 Rājpūtāna 80, 104 Rājpūts, 107 Rājvīpīl, 50 71-72 Ramraja 64 Rāmganga, see Ruhut Ramzān bn Yūsuf (Shih Rum), shrine of - , 128 Rauza-i-Sultan a village in Ghaznin, 125 Rauzat us Safā, the, 211 Renaissance of Persian literature 112, ff Revenue officers, - system. 200 ff Rūdiki a poet 114 Ruhut (Rāhib), river, 72

Sa'dı, a poet, 128
Saghanıvan, 174
Sāl ib i Barid, 254
Sāl ib i Dîwān, 255
Sāliib i-Dīwān i- Arz, 245
Sāliib i-Dīwān i- Arz, 245
Sāliib i-Dīwār i-Islirāf iVlamlukat, 249-50
Sāliib i-Dīwān i-Risālat,
247
Sīliib i Dīwīn i-Wikālat,

Rupāl, 227

243, 250-51 Saif-ud-Daulali, title Sultān Mahmūd, 18 Suf-ud-Din, of Ghur, attacked Ghaznin, 233 Sākīva Sinhā, (Buddha), 44 Salar of Dallam, 47 Sālīr Hussam, 231-32 Saljugs, their rise, 30-40, 40 161, 164, 100 Mas'ad's campaign against them, 204-5, 715-16, Maudad's — 2.8-20, 225-27 Salt Range 62 Samanids the, 2, 3, 8, 16 ff; 22-23 26-27 relations with Mahmud, 20-33, 36, 39 113, as patrons of Persian literature, 174 Samargard, 36, 93 Sambhar, 81 Sanai see Maidud Sangramarājā, a ruler of Kashmir, 65-65 Sanjar, Sultan, 227-9, 235 Sarakhs, 30, 32 Sarhang, 2+7 Sarjahān, 46 Sarugh, governor of Nandana 66 Sats, practised by Hindus in Ghaznin, 104 Satire, on Mahmud, 144-48 Service, Government, 257 8 Seunra, 70 Shah of Kabul, 4-5 Shahāb-ud Din Muhammad

of Ghar, 236

Shih Malak, sent against

Harun, 196 Shah Muhammad-ash Shar, ruler of Gharshistan, 38 Shāhnāmah, 119, 121, 130 31, discrepancies in-,135 40, authorship of -, 141-44, 146, 149-50, changes ın - 141 44 Shahrazur, 46 Shāh Rūm, see Ramzān ben Yusuf Shaikh Attar, shrine of, 128 Shams ul-'Arifin, shrine of, 128 Shams ul-Kufāt, title, see Maimandi Shams ul Ma'alī Abul Qāsım Q ibas hin Washingir bin Znár, 44 Sharwa, 70 Sherzād, Sultan of Ghaznīn 228 Shuja', prince, 224 Sharijat (Mushim law), 102, 154, 175, 253 Shin's, 148 Sinhna (Muhtasib), 256 Shīrāzī Qazī, the, sec Abul Hasan Shnaran, 10 Shrings at Ghaznin, 128 Siälkot, 220 Sind, 1, desert of-, 81, 105, 174, 222 Sibah Salar (military rank), 236, 247, 258 Sırsawa, 67 Sīstān, 7, conquest of - by Mahmud 40-41, 45, 216,

223 Somnath, 36, 45, conquered by Mahmud, 75 82, idol of - broken by Mahmud, 80, 130, story of breaking the idol, 156 58, 160 Someshwar, idol, 79 Soni, 101 Sonpat, captured by Sultan Mahmud, 204 Sriswägarh, 70 f. n State, Quranic conception of, 89, under Mahmud, 89, -Ommayades, 89 90, -Sultan Mahmud, 96 97. Persian - 113 Subāshītigīn, 33 Subuktigin, Amir of Ghaz nin, 5, his reign, 6 ff, conquest of Bust, 8,- Qusdar 9, relations with the Afghans, 9 12, -, Jaipal of Lahore, 12-15, - the Samanids, 16-20, character and estimate, 20-22, 24, 26, 44, 45, 49, 50, 53, 85, 106, 107, tomb of Subuktigin, 125, 146, his mistake re succession 169, 224, 241 Sukhpāl (Nawāsa Shāh), 58 59 Sultan, title, 41-42, powers of - 27-28, limita-10ns - 237 38 Sunnis, 92 Sutley, the river, 64 Syria, 263

Tab. north-west part

INDEX

Ghar 43 Tabaristin, 44 45, 144, 174, 194, 196 Ta lir, 113 Tafrid ul Furü, a book attributed to Sultan Mah-	Tughral Beg, Saljūq, 196, 205, 215, 216 Turks, 5 Turkistīn, 6, 8, 39, 113, 263 Turkomāns, 195, 205, 235, Tūs, 138-39, 148-49
mūd, 110 Tahir bin Walī ud Daulah, governor of Sīstīn, 40 Tal qiq mā lil Hind, 115 Taskira i Haft Aglim, 141 Tārikh i Taltīri, 113 Taves, 262 63 Temples why, when and where destroyed, 102 104 Thanesar, captured by Mahmud, 63-4, 217 Tilak, a Hindū general of Muslim army, 200, his rise, 202-3	Ujjain, 59 Ulamā, hired by leaders 90 f n, 110, 2 2 Ulughbeg, tomb of —, 126 Uni, 79 University of Sultān Mihmud at Ghaznin, 179, 264 Unsarī, Hasin Inn Ahmad, 120 'Unsur ul Mi alī Kaikāūs, 148 'Usmān, Khalīfa, 6 Ubtī, Abū Nasar Muhammad Inn Muhammad, al-Jabbar-al —, 116-17
Toleration, under Mahmud, 100-104, — Mas'ud, 210, — other Ghaznwids, 230, 240 Transoniana, 2, 32, 36, 39, 40, 101, 113, 205, 216 Treasury, Government, 255 Trilochappa, so of seated, by	Vidhyadhara, 71 Vijāyanunda son of Javananda, 116 Viramā, see Virvārāma. Virvāramu, Rāja of Sāmbar, S1
Tritoch than, pal, 50-60, defeated by Mahmūd, 65 00, flight than Kashmir, 65-66, 71 Tughān, ruler of Bust, 8, 2 Tughāntigin, Sipāh Sāli of Lāhore, 227 Tughral, Ghaznawid commander, 919-220 Tughral, 'the Ingrate', 22 2+, 241	Waihand, 10, 49, 52, 60 Wakil, 250 Vali-ud-Daulah, 40 Walwali, 185 Wasavā-i-Nizām ul-Mulk, the, 159 Washmgir, 44

THE GHAZNAWIDS

others, 208 10, 261 62

Yamın-ud-Daulah wa Amin ul-Mıllah, title of Sultan Mahmad, 76 Yazd Jard, the last Sasanid King of Persia, 6 Yasuf Qadir Khan, ruler of Kashgarh, 34, 36

Zabulistan, 23, 146, 151 Zāil Tajārib il Umam, the, 118 Zainab, daughter of Sultan Mahmud, 36
Zalāt (tax), 172, 262 63
Zamīn Dāwar, 25
Zanjān, 46
Zimmir (non - Muslims), under Sultān Mahmud, 98 ff, 180, — other Ghaznawids, 239-40
Zinat ul Kultāb, the, 118
Zivārids, their relations with Mahmud, 44-45, 196
Zullullah, 238

in Muslim India" and "The Muglial Empire from Balar to Aurangzeb" has already shown that he is equal to the task of dealing with Indian history from a correct and unbiassed view-point. Thus the book under review is the third of the series, and as a matter of fact surpasses Mr laffar's previous works in breadth of view, erudition and logical sequence After reading Mr Jaffar's book one is almost shocked how much is hidden from the common view which would go to add a hundred times to the glory that was Ind in the Middle Ages Mr Jaffar has done ample justice to the subject he has taken up has conclusively proved that the Middle Ages of Indian history, when Indian Muslim Kings and Emperors ruled the land, was not a period of history dominated by a foreign people, but was a phase of the action and reaction which has produced Indian Culture as we know it and of which the Indian patriot of whatever religious belief might well be proud

The Pioneer, Lucknow -

"In this book the author has summed up the cultural achievements of Muslim rule in India If true facts about Muslim rule in India were made known to the Hindus much of their existing bitterness towards their Muslim fellow countrymen would quickly disappear Mr Jaffar's book should be read by all those who are anxious to learn the truth about Muslim rule in India"

The Hindustan Review, Patna -

"The author of this book has also written some other volumes dealing with Muslim affairs. He has now turned his attention to a subject of great interest to all Indians. There is doubtless need now a days for a cultural history of Muslim India which Mr Jaffar's work attempts to meet. This well written book deserves serious study at the hands of all students of Indo Muslim culture as it brings into prominent relief some of its leading characteristic features."

EDUCATION IN MUSLIM INDIA

(1000-1800 + C)

(Cloth bound, pp. 261 + xix (S Vo Crown) Price Rs 5/8)

Professor, H. K. Sherwani, M. A. (Oxon), F. R. Hist S., F. R. S., A., etc., Head of the Department of History and Politics. Usmania University Hyderabad (Deccan) in his Foreward to the book writes.

"I believe it was Froude who once said something to the effect that one should not raise one's pen to write unless one can add to human knowledge and there is no doub' that Mr S M Jaffar has done a great service to the cause of education in general and Indian culture in particular by writing this book on 'Education in Muslim India and thus made a distinctive contribution to the field of Indian historical literature

I am very glad to find that Mr Jaffar has brought out these and many other equally important and attractive truts in his valuable work by tapping the information contained in the contemporary chronicles and has thus filled a long-felt want. I am sure that the book will be of great use to the student of Indian history as well as to the general reader, and trust that it will receive the recognition it so fully deserves."

Extracts from some other opinions

Rt Hon Sir Akbar Hvdari, Kt, P C, etc -

"I congratulate vou (author) on the results of vour labours. The sincerity of scholarship with which the material has been handled places your book in a position, I should think, to bear valuable testimony to the progress and vitality of cultural India under the ægis of the Muslim Kings in India. I hope the book is receiving the welcome it so thoroughly deserves."

- Dr Sir Zia ud Din Ahmad Kt, C I E, MA, Ph D, D Sc, ex-Vice-Chancellor, Muslim University, Aligarh
- "I have read with great pleasure and profit an excellent treatise. I heartily congratulate its author on the merits of this important achievement."
- Dr P K Acharya, I E S, M A, Ph D, D Lit (London), Head of Oriental Departments, University of Allahabad —

The book will supply educationists and general public with a store of useful information all will be grateful to him (author) for his patient research and an interesting picture he has drawn a neatly printed volume will be treasured by all educationists."

- H L O Garrett, Esqr, C I E, I E S (retired), M A, F R Hist S, London -
- "He (author) has made a most useful contribution to the study of Muslim Rule in India"
- Dr Beni Prisad M A, Ph D, D Sc (London), University of Mahabid -
- "It is a very careful, painstaking and scholarly piece of work and should be welcome to students of Indian history"
- Dr. K G Saiyidain, D Ed, Director of Education, Immu and Kashuir -
- "Highly interesting and valuable book hasten to offer you my congratulations on completing a very useful piece of work Students of Indian educational history should be grateful to you for this work"
- Dr Zakir Hussain, M. A., Ph. D., Principal, Jamia Millia, Delhi —
- "Your admirable study Students of Indian history will welcome this treatise as a useful guide a valuable contribution to Indian historical literature"

THE MUGHAL EMPIRE

FROM LABAR TO AURANGZEB

(Clock bound pp 41 +xxxx (8 V0 Crown) Price Rs 5/-)

Extracts from Sir Abdul Qadir's Introduction -

- Students of Indian history owe a debt of gratitude to Mr S M Inffar of Peshawar for his book which gives a very readable account of 'The Mughal Empire', opening with the reign of Babar and coming down to Aurangeb. Mr Inffar has taken great pains to study the numerous books on the subject that are available in English, Persian and Urdu, and has beautifully summarised the majerial concained in them. The long list of books used or consulted by him, given at the end of his valuable work, will show the range of his vast study and research. The result is a book considerably different from and decidedly superior in treatment and style to the existing text-books on Indian history.
- "It can be safely said that the author has succeeded in giving to the students of Indian history an accurate as yell as an instructive account of the Mughal Rule in India in its palmy days. The book is a most useful contribution to Indian historical literature and should interest not only the general reader but also students of history in schools and colleges."

EXTRACTS FROM OTHER OPINIONS

Dr Sir Shafaat Ahmad Khan Kt, D Litt, F R Hist S, University of Allahabad —

"I have read 'The Mughal Empire' with great interest. The book displays great erudition and industry, and is marked by an originality of treatment and freshness of out look which are complete contrast to the existing works on the subject. I am greatly impressed with the book."

Dr E G Carpani, Ph D, The Indian Research Institute, (Italian Branch), Bologna, Italy —

" I cannot but congratulate you (author) on the

excellent work you have done Your able and scholarly investigations are a valuable and welcome contribution to the study of Indian history and culture, throwing much light on its dark places I entertain a very high opinion of your publications"

Professor H K Sherwani, M A, (Oxon), F R Hist S, etc, Usmania University, Hyderabad, Deccan -

"Your excellent book fills a gap in books on Indian history in that it delineates the episodes of the Mughal era from an unprejudiced and correct view-point, bringing out the cultural aspect of that unique period I heartily congratulate you on your achievement"

Islamic Culture, Hyderabad Deccan -

As far as it goes, his book is a useful corrective to several existing books on the period. In a concise and lucid manner, he tells the story of Mughal rule in India, its splendour, its tolerance, its concern for the people of all classes and creeds, its justice and cultural greatness—all find a place in the description. He is scrupulously fair to the Rajputs, the Sikhs and the Marathas, and his zeal for Islam does not blind him to the beauty and greatness of other creeds. There is no other book which, in the same compass, gives such a clear picture of the period."

Asiatic Review, London -

" an able and conscientious volume wherein is gathered up perhaps all that history knows of Mughal Rule in India "

The Pioneer, Lucknow -

"Mr Jaffar deserves credit for giving an honest account of the historical facts that dawned with Babar and reached their climax in the reign of Aurangzeb It becomes obvious to the reader of 'The Mughal Empire' that original sources have been tapped and statements in the book are based on a deep and impartial study of authorities"

S Mohd Sadıq Khan, Publisher, Khudadad St, Peshawar